LETTERS

OF

JUNIUS.

STAT NOMINIS UMBRA.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

V O L. II.

DUBLIN:
THOMASEWING.

1286

LET

LE

CONTENTS

OF

VOLUME THE SECOND.

LETTER 36. JUNIUS to the Duke of Grafton - Retrospect on his
conduct. page 3
LETTER 37. Junius on his Majesty's answer to
the remonstrance of the city of London, con-
fidered as the minister's answer. page 17
LETTER 38. Junius on the same, considered as
his Majesty's answer. The intrigues of the
일반 이 사람이 있는 가게 되었다면서 그 사람이 되었다. 그런 사람이 있는 이번 중에 되었다면서 하는 사람이 되었다면서 하지만 하는데 되었다면서 되었다.
cabinet page 24
LETTER 39. Junius on the conduct and pro-
ceedings of parliament. page 51
LETTER 40. Junius to Lord North on the ap-
pointment of Mr. Luttrell to be Adjutant-
General to the army in Ireland. page 67
LETTER 41. Junius to Lord Mansfield—Re-
view of his conduct as Lord Chief Juffice.
page 71
LETTER 42. Junius on the conduct of the mi-
nistry, with respect to the Falkland Islands.
page 88
Aa 2 LETTER

CONTENTS.

LET LET hi

Or ro

LET fr

pr to ti

LET to T S

LET

SeLET

LET

a

lo A

LETTER 43. Philo-Junius in support of the
foregoing against Anti-Junius page 100
LETTER 44. Junius on the privileges and con-
duct of the house of commons. page 105
LETTER 45. Philo-Junius in support of the
foregoing letter. page 121
LETTER 46. Philo-Junius on the proceedings
of the house of commons on the Middlesex
election. page 123
LETTER 47. Philo-Junius in vindication of Ju-
nius's letter (44) of April 22d 1771. page 127.
LETTER 48. Junius on the committal of the
Lord Mayor and Mr. Oliver to the Tower.
page 129
LETTER 49. Junius to the Duke of Grafton
on his being made Lord Privy Seal. page 134
LETTER 50. Junius to the Duke of Grafton
continued. page 141
LETTER 51. Mr. Horne to Junius on the latter
having charged him with being attached to
administration. page 147
LETTER 52. Junius to Mr. Horne in reply to
the foregoing. page 151
LETTER 53. Mr. Horne to Junius—farther
vindication of himfelf. page 155
LETTER 54. Junius to the printer, in defence
of his treatment of Mr. Horne. page 173
LETTER 55. Philo-Junius defends Junius
against the charge of impiety. page 187
LETTER

CONTENTS.

the

100

con-

105

the

121

ings

efex

123

Ju-

127.

the

wer.

129

fton

e 134

fton

141

atter

ed to

147

ly to

151

rther

155

fence

173

inius

187

TER

LETTER 56. Mr. Horne to Junius. page 191 LETTER 57. Junius to the Duke of Grafton on his Grace having opposed the felling of timber for the navy in Whittlebury Forest. p. 193 LETTER 58. Junius to the Livery of London on the election of a chief magistrate in the room of Alderman Crosby. page 202 LETTER 59. Junius on the same subject and on the divisions among the friends of liberty. page 205 LETTER 60. Philo-Junius vindicates Junius from the charge of having wilfully mifrepresented Lord Camden's opinion in relation to the King's power of suspending the operation of an act of the legislature during the recess of parliament. page 219 LETTER 61. Philo-Junius to Zeno, in answer to the latter's defence of Lord Mansfield-The practife of impressing seamen considered. See Appendix, letter 9. page 223 LETTER 62. Philo-Junius 'An advocate in the cause of the people' on pressing seamen .-See Appendix, letter 10. LETTER 63. A Friend of Junius, in answer to a Barrister at Law on Lord Mansfield having ordered a juryman to be passed by, &c. p. 233 LETTER 64. Junius gives his opinion on the right claimed by the legislature to tax the colonies.—On the legality of press warrants.— And on the game laws. page 236

LETTER

CONTENTS

CONTENTS.
LETTER 65. Junius to Lord Chief Justice
Mansfield on Mr. Eyre's being admitted to
bail. page 241
LETTER 66. Junius engages himself to the
public to make good his charge against Lord
Chief Justice Mansfield before the meeting of
parliament. page 241
LETTER 67. Junius to the Duke of Grafton
on his conduct towards the Duke of Port-
land. page 242

LETTER 68. Junius to Lord Chief Justice Mansfield—maintains that Mr. Eyre was illegally bailed. page 248

LETTER 69. Junius to Lord Camden—Calls on his Lordship to exert himself in the public cause, and engage Lord Manssield on the the question of Mr. Eyre's being bailable.

page 283

LE'

LE

LE LE

LE

LE

LE

LE

LE

C

LETTER 70. Junius to the supporters of the Bill of Rights, refutes an accusation of Mr. Horne's that he had avowed himself a friend to long parliaments and rotten boroughs.

page 286

APPENDIX.

LETTER 1. A Monody; or the tears of fedition on the death of Junius by Poetikastos.

LETTER 2. Junius to Poetikastos.

LETTER 3. Junia to Junius.

to

the ord

g of

241

fton

ort-

242

flice

ille-

248 Calls

the

ible.

283 the

Mr.

iend

ghs.

286

LETTER 4. Junius to Junia.

LETTER 5. Frances to Junius—relating an act of beneficene of the Duke of Grafton, see letter 28.

LETTER 6. Modestus to Junius on the arrest of General Gaunsell. See letters 29 and 32.

LETTER 7. Scævola to Junius, charging him with having mifreprefented Lord Camden's opinion in relation to the King's power of fuspending an act of parliament.

LETTER 8. Scævola to Junius on the same subiect. See letter 60.

Letter 9. Zeno to Junius on his treatment of Lord Mansfield. See letter 61.

LETTER 10. An advocate in the cause of the people to Junius on pressing seamen. See letter 62.

vinc are, But

try, had neve

mor

LETTERS

OF

JUNIUS, &c.

LETTER XXXVI.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

14. Feb. 1770.

F I were perfonally your enemy, I might pity and forgive you. You have every claim to compassion, that can arise from mifery and diffrefs. The condition you are reduced to would disarm a private enemy of his refentment, and leave no confolation to the most vindictive spirit, but that such an object, as you are, would difgrace the dignity of revenge. But in the relation you have borne to this country, you have no title to indulgence; and if I had followed the dictates of my own opinion, I never should have allowed you the respit of a moment. In your public character, you have injured. A 2

injured every subject of the empire; and tho' an individual is not authorifed to forgive the injuries done to fociety, he is called upon to affert his separate share in the public resentment. I submitted however to the judgment of men, more moderate, perhaps more candid than myself. For my own part, I do not pretend to understand those prudent forms of decorum, those gentle rules of discretion, which fome men endeavour to unite with the conduct of the greatest and most hazardous affairs. Engaged in the defence of an honourable cause, I would take a decifive part.—I should scorn to provide for a future retreat, or keep terms with a man, who preferves no measures with the public. Neither the abject submission of deferting his post in the hour of danger, nor even the * facred shield of cowardice should protect him. I would purfue him through life, and try the last exertion of my abilities to preferve the perishable infamy of his name, and make it immortal.

What then, my Lord, is the event of all the facrifices you have made to Lord Bute's patronage, and to your own unfortunate ambition? Was it for this you abandoned your earliest friendships,

frie you by 1 acq you hon ceiv out fole the reti tive fcen you a ru age. the

> well nefs fries We leav by o gair to j

> > and

and

^{*} _____Sacro tremuere timore. Every coward pretends to be planet-struck.

friendships,-the warmest connexions of your youth, and all those honourable engagements, by which you once folicited, and might have acquired the esteem of your country? Have you fecured no recompence for fuch a waste of honour?-Unhappy man! what party will receive the common deserter of all parties? Without a client to flatter, without a friend to confole you, and with only one companion from the honest house of Bloomsbury, you must now retire into a dreadful folitude. At the most active period of life, you must quit the busy fcene, and conceal yourfelf from the world, if you would hope to fave the wretched remains of a ruined reputation. The vices operate like age,-bring on disease before its time, and in the prime of youth leave the character broken and exhaufted.

YET your conduct has been mysterious, as well as contemptible. Where is now that sirmness, or obstinacy so long boasted of by your friends, and acknowledged by your enemies? We were taught to expect, that you would not leave the ruin of this country to be completed by other hands, but were determined either to gain a decisive victory over the constitution, or to perish bravely at least behind the last dike of the prerogative. You knew the danger, and might have been provided for it. You took sufficient

retends

tho'

e the

on to

ment

pre-

f devhich

nduct

En-

rn to

with

i the

f. de-

nor

life,

preand

of all

s na-

tion?

rlieft

hips,

the

and

fati

tee

ven

eve

me

acc

the

you

WO

thi

the

eve

you

to o

had

the

lab

the

per

be !

felf

to f

ciou

He

of i

ter.

fufficient time to prepare for a meeting with your parliament, to confirm the mercenary fidelity of your dependants, and to fuggest to your Sovereign a language fuited to his dignity at least, if not to his benevolence and wisdom. Yet, while the whole kingdom was agitated with anxious expectations upon one great point, you meanly evaded the question, and, instead of the explicit firmness and decision of a King, gave us nothing but the mifery of a ruined * grazier, and the whining piety of a Methodist. We had reason to expect, that notice would have been taken of the petitions which the king has received from the English nation; and although I can conceive some personal motives for not yielding to them, I can find none, in common prudence or decency, for treating them with contempt. Be affured, my Lord, the English people will not tamely submit to this unworthy treatment;—they had a right to be heard, and their petitions, if not granted, deferved to be confidered. Whatever be the real views and doctrine of a court, the Sovereign should be taught to preserve some forms of attention to his subjects, and if he will not redress their grievances, not make them a topic of jest and mockery among lords and ladies of the

^{*} There was fomething wonderfully pathetic in the menticn of the horned cattle.

ith

de-

our

at

om,

ted

int,

ead

ng,

ed *

dift.

ould

ing

al-

for

om-

hem

ing-

un-

be

de-

real

eign

f at-

t re-

topic

es of

men-

the

the bedchamber. Injuries may be atoned for and forgiven; but infults admit of no compenfation. They degrade the mind in its own efteem, and force it to recover its level by re-This neglect of the petitions was howvenge. ever a part of your original plan of government, nor will any confequences it has produced account for your deferting your Sovereign, in the midst of that distress, in which you and your * new friends had involved him. would think, my Lord, you might have taken this spirited resolution before you had dissolved the last of those early connexions, which once, even in your own opinion, did honour to your youth ;-before you had obliged Lord Granby to quit a service he was attached to ;-before you had discarded one chancellor, and killed ano-To what an abject condition have you laboured to reduce the best of Princes, when the unhappy man, who yields at last to fuch perfonal instance and folicitation, as never can be fairly employed against a subject, feels himfelf degraded by his compliance, and is unable to furvive the difgraceful honours which his gracious Sovereign has compelled him to accept. He was a man of spirit, for he had a quick sense of shame, and death has redeemed his character. I know your Grace too well to appeal to your

4

^{*} The Bedford party.

your feelings upon this event; but there is another heart, not yet, I hope, quite callous to the touch of humanity, to which it ought to be a dreadful lesson for ever *.

Now, my Lord, let us confider the fituation to which you have conducted, and in which you have thought it adviseable to abandon your royal mafter. Whenever the people have complained, and nothing better could be faid in defence of the measures of government, it has been the fashion to answer us, though not very fairly, with an appeal to the private virtues of your Sovereign. " Has he not, to relieve the " people, furrendered a considerable part of his " revenue?---Has he not made the judges inde-" pendent, by fixing them in their places for " life ?"---My Lord, we acknowledge the gracious principle, which gave birth to these concessions, and have nothing to regret, but that it has never been adhered to. At the end of feven years, we are loaded with a debt of above five hundred thousand pounds upon the civil lift, and we now fee the Chancellor of Great Britain tyrannically forced out of his office, not for want of abilities, not for want of intetegrity,

grit live the arif who of the the you eve cei Th you ola al. ten mo ma Ki Gr Yo

ret

me

yo

me cu

The most secret particulars of this detestable transaction shall, in due time, be given to the public. The people shall know what kind of man they have to deal with.

1 -

to

be

on

ch

ur

m-

in

as

ery

of

the his

defor

ra-

on-

hat.

ove

ivil

reat

nte-

ity,

facti-

eople

grity, or of attention to his duty, but for delivering his honest opinion in parliament, upon the greatest constitutional question, that has arisen since the revolution.—We care not to whose private virtues you appeal; the theory of fuch government is falsehood and mockery; the practife is oppression. You have laboured then (though I confess to no purpose) to rob your mafter of the only plaufible answer, that ever was given in defence of his government, -of the opinion, which the people had con. ceived of his personal honour and integrity.-The Duke of Bedford was more moderate than your Grace. He only forced his master to violate a folemn promife made to an * individu-But you, my Lord, have fuccessfully extended your advice to every political, every moral engagement, that could bind either the magistrate or the man. The condition of a King is often miserable, but it required your Grace's abilities to make it contemptible.-You will fay perhaps that the faithful fervants. in whose hands you have left him, are able to retrieve his honour, and to support his government. You have publicly declared, even fince your refignation, that you approved of their measures, and admired their conduct, --- particularly that of the Earl of Sandwich. What a pity

^{*} Mr. Stuart Mackenzie.

a pity it is, that, with all this appearance, you should think it necessary to separate yourself from fuch amiable companians. You forget, my Lord, that while you are lavish in the praise of men whom you defert, you are publicly opposing your conduct to your opinions, and depriving yourfelf of the only plaufible pretence you had for leaving your Sovereign overwhelmed with diffress; I call it plausible, for, in truth, there is no reason whatsoever, less than the frowns of your master, that could justify a man of spirit for abandoning his post at a moment fo critical and important? It is in vain to evade the question. If you will not speak out, the public have a right to judge from appearances. We are authorized to conclude, that you either differed from your colleagues, whose measures you still affect to defend, or that you thought the administration of the King's affairs no longer tenable. You are at liberty to choose between the hypocrite and the coward. best friends are in doubt which way they shall incline. Your country unites the characters. and gives you credit for them both. For my own part, I fee nothing inconfistent in your conduct. You began with betraying the people, ---- you conclude with betraying the King.

IN

I

you

ract

mai

pro

was

fuc

to

pri

and

and

aff

laf

po

I-h

rity ma

for

tho

tot

Fie

per

Br

he

po

you rfelf get, raife opdeence lmin han fy a noain eak aphat ofe

ou irs ofe our all rs,

ny our eohe

N

In your treatment of particular persons, you you have preserved the uniformity of your character. Even Mr. Bradshaw declares, that no man was ever fo ill used as himself. As to the provision * you have made for his family, he was intitled to it by the house he lives in. The fuccesfor of one Chancellor might well pretend to be the rival of another. It is the breach of private friendship which touches Mr. Bradshaw; and to fay the truth, when a man of his rank and abilities had taken fo active a part in your affairs, he ought not to have been let down at last with a miserable pension of sisteen hundred pounds a year. Colonel Luttrell, Mr. Onflow, and

* A pension of 1500l. per annum, insured upon the 4 I-half per cents, (he was too cunning to trust to Irish security) for the lives of himself and all his sons. This gentleman, who a very few years ago was clerk to a contractor for forage, and afterwards exalted to a petty post in the war office, thought it necessary (as soon as he was appointed Secretary to the Treasury) to take that great house in Lincoln's-Inn-Fields, in which the Earl of Northington had refided, while he was Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain. As to the pension, Lord North very solemnly assured the house of commons, that no pension was ever so well deserved as Mr. herst are not near so well provided for, and Sir Edward Hawke, who faved the ftate, retires with two thousand pounds a year, on the Irish establishment, from which he in fact receives less than Mr. Bradhaw's pension.

and to fay the truth, when a man of his rank and abilities had taken so active a part in your affairs, he ought not to have been let down at last with a miserable pension of sifteen hundred pounds a year. Colonel Luttrell, Mr. Onflow, and Governor Burgoyne, were equally engaged with you, and have rather more reason to complain than Mr. Bradihaw. These are men, my Lord, whose friendship you should have adhered to on the fame principle, on which you deferted Lord Rockingham, Lord Chatham, Lord Camden, and the Duke of Portland. We can easily account for your violating your engagements with men of honour, but why should you betray your natural connexions? Why feparate yourfelf from Lord Sandwich, Lord Gower, and Mr. Rigby, or leave the three worthy gentlemen abovementioned to shift for themselves? With all the fashionable indulgence of the times, this country does not abound in characters like theirs; and you may find it a difficult matter to recruit the black catalogue of your friends.

THE recollection of the royal patent you fold to Mr. Hine, obliges me to fay a word in defence of a man whom you have taken the most dishonourable means to injure. I do not refer to the sham prosecution which you affected to carry on against him. On that ground, I doubt

not

not

crir

jury

rac

rev

fold

hov

way

wh

the

cies

cou

hac

and

pai

. ow

che

Va

juf

ed

Gr

du

thi

ha

at

afi

ke

G

he

not he is prepared to meet you with tenfold re-

ank our at lred OW, ged omien, adyou am, We enould feord ree for lulnot

fold
denoft
efer
to
ubt

not

nay

ack

crimination, and fet you at defiance. The injury you had done him affects his moral character. You knew that the offer to purchase the reversion of a place, which has heretofore been fold under a decree of the court of Chancery, however imprudent in his fituation, would no way tend to cover him with that fort of guilt which you wished to fix upon him in the eyes of the world. You laboured then, by every species of false suggestion, and even by publishing counterfeit letters, to have it understood that he had proposed terms of accommodation to you, and had offered to abandon his principles, his party, and his friends. You consulted your own breast for a character of consummate treachery, and gave it to the public for that of Mr. Vaughan. I think myself obliged to do this justice to an injured man, because I was deceived by the appearances thrown out by your Grace, and have frequently spoken of his conduct with indignation. If he really be, what I think him, honest, though mistaken, he will be happy in recovering his reputation, though at the expence of his understanding. Here, I fee, the matter is likely to reft. Your Grace is afraid to carry on the profecution. Mr. Hine keeps quiet possession of his purchase; and Governor Burgoyne, relieved from the apprehension of refunding the money, sits down, for the the remainder of his life, INFAMOUS AND CONTENTED.

I BELIEVE, my Lord, I may now take my leave of you for ever. You are no longer that resolute minister, who had spirit to support the most violent measures; who compensated for the want of good and great qualities, by a brave determination, (which some people admired and relied on) to maintain himself without them. The reputation of obstinacy and per feverance might have supplied the place of all the absent virtues. You have now added the last negative to your character, and meanly confessed that you are destitute of the common spirit of a man. Retire then, my Lord, and hide your blushes from the world; for, with fuch a load of shame, even BLACK may change its colour. A mind fuch as yours, in the folitary hours of domestic enjoyment, may still find topics of consolation. You may find it in the memory of violated friendship; in the afflictions of an accomplished prince, whom you have difgraced and deferted, and in the agitations of a great country, driven, by your councils, to the brink of destruction.

THE palm of ministerial firmness is now transferred to Lord North. He tells us so him-self.

felf . Iam can fuad min min Maj you the t that this they His fubj amo Tha the is e unh odiu rect. they

Dem

lum

The

on,

ND my hat the for ave red out er. all the onnon ind ith ige olind the ons if-

fa

the

OW .

m-

elf

* This eloquent person has got as far as the discipline of Demosthenes. He constantly speaks with pebbles in his mouth, to improve his articulation.

felf with the plenitude of the ore rotundo; * and I am ready enough to believe, that, while he can keep his place, he will not easily be perfuaded to refign it. Your Grace was the firm minister of yesterday: Lord North is the firm minister of to-day. To-morrow, perhaps, his Majesty, in his wisdom, may give us a rival for you both. You are too well acquainted with the temper of your late allies, to think it possible that Lord North should be permitted to govern this country. If we may believe common fame, they have flewn him their superiority already. His Majesty is indeed too gracious to infult his subjects, by chusing his first minister from among the domestics of the Duke of Bedford. That would have been too gross an outrage to the three kingdoms. Their purpose, however, is equally answered by pushing forward this unhappy figure, and forcing it to bear the odium of measures, which they in reality direct. Without immediately appearing to govern, they possess the power, and distribute the emoluments of government as they think proper. They still adhere to the spirit of that calculation, which made Mr. Luttrell representative of Middlesex. Far from regretting your retreat, they assure us very gravely, that it increases the real strength of the ministry. According to this way of reasoning, they will probably grow ftronger, and more flourishing, every hour they exist; for I think there is hardly a day passes in which some one or other of his Majesty's servant's does not leave them to improve by the loss of his assistance. But, alas! their countenances speak a different language. When the members drop off, the main body cannot be insensible of its approaching diffolution. Even the violence of their proceedings is a fignal of despair. Like broken tenants, who have had warning to quit the premises, they curse their landlord, destroy the fixtures, throw every thing into confusion, and care not what mischief they do to the estate.

JUNIUS.

LET-

TO

fitu ther or f tifie call vigo to t the amo ple, hou its o

> thei chec

dom and fubj difp

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR,

19. March, 1770.

BELIEVE there is no man, however indifferent about the interests of this country, who will not readily confess that the fituation, to which we are now reduced, whether it has arisen from the violence of faction, or from an arbitrary fystem of government, justifies the most melancholy apprehensions, and calls for the exertion of whatever wisdom or vigour is left among us. The King's answer to the remonstrance of the city of London, and the measures fince adopted by the ministry, amount to a plain declaration, that the principle, on which Mr. Luttrell was feated in the house of commons, is it to be supported in all its consequences, and carried to its utmost extent. The fame spirit, which violated the freedom of election, now invades the declaration and bill of rights, and threatens to punish the subject for exercising a privilege, hitherto undisputed, of petitioning the crown. The grievances of the people are aggravated by infults; their complaints not merely difregarded, but checked by authority; and every one of those VOL. II. acts.

IUS.

re-

t in-Ac-

pro-

ning,

re is

other

them

But,

erent

, the

oach-

r pro-

roken

t the

eftroy

usion,

to the

E T-

acts, against which they remonstrated, confirmed by the King's decifive approbation. such a moment, no honest man will remain filent or inactive. However distinguished by rank or property, in the rights of freedom we are all equal. As we are Englishmen, the least confiderable man among us has an interest equal to the proudest nobleman, in the laws and conflitution of his country, and is equally called upon to make a generous contribution in fupport of them; -whether it be the heart to conceive, the understanding to direct, or the hand to execute. It is a common cause, in which we are all interested, in which we should all be engaged. The man, who deferts it at this alarming crisis, is an enemy to his country, and, what I think of infinitely less importance, a traitor to his Sovereign. The subject, who is truly loyal to the chief magistrate, will neither advise nor submit to arbitrary measures. The city of London have given an example, which, I doubt not, will be followed by the whole kingdom. The noble fpirit of the metropolis is the life-blood of the state, collected at the heart: from that point it circulates, with health and vigour, through every artery of the constitution. The time is come, when the body of the English people must assert their own cause: conscious of their strength, and animated by a sense of their duty, they will not furrender their birth-right to to ministers, parliaments, or kings.

THE

7

fent

hav

ligh

cou

cou

they

on,

lian

tion

fent

that

be a

of t

and

ftan

gilla

tion

cies

mai

the

corr

upo

the

was

thar

Cha

· that

con-At main d by n we least equal conalled fupconhand e are aged. fis, is of ineign. f maarbihave ill be noble f the int it ough ne is eople their

r du-

ht to

THE

THE city of London have expressed their fentiments with freedom and firmness; they have spoken truth boldly; and, in whatever light their remonstrance may be represented by courtiers, I defy the most subtle lawyer in this country to point out a fingle instance, in which. they have exceeded the truth. Even that affertion, which we are told is most offensive to parliament, in the theory of the English constitution, is strictly true. If any part of the reprefentative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole. If there be a defect in the representation of the people, · that power, which alone is equal to the making of the laws in this country, is not complete, and the acts of parliament, under that circumflance, are not the acts of a pure and entire legislature. I speak of the theory of our constitution; and whatever difficulties or inconveniencies may attend the practice, I am ready to maintain, that, as far as the fact deviates from the principle, so far the practice is vicious and corrupt. I have not heard a question raised upon any other part of the remonstrance. That the principle, on which the Middlesex election was determined, is more pernicious in its effects, than either the levying of ship-money, by Charles the First, or the suspending power B 2 affumed

assumed by his fon, will hardly be disputed by any man, who understands or wishes well to the English constitution. It is not an act of open violence done by the King, or any direct or palpable breach of the laws attempted by his minister, that can ever endanger the liberties of this country. Against such a King or minister the people would immediately take the alarm, and all the parties unite to oppose him. The laws may be grossly violated in particular instances, without any direct attack upon the whole fystem. Facts of that kind stand alone: they are attributed to necessity, not defended by principle. We can never be really in danger, until the forms of parliament are made use of to destroy the substance of our civil and political liberties; -until parliament itself betrays its trust, by contributing to establish new principles of government, and employing the very weapons, committed to it by the collective body, to flab the constitution.

As for the terms of the remonstrance, I prefume it will not be affirmed, by any person less polished than a gentleman usher, that this is a season for compliments. Our gracious King indeed is abundantly civil to himself. Instead of an answer to a petition, his majesty very gracefully pronounces his own panegyric; and I confess, that, as far as his personal behaviour

port too, une peti fay, ceiv riffs it w no t be a mon is th the deci ever diffo the I trine form have the . to af

of h

ting

a ge

or t

cerr

the

not

spec.

uted ll to open A or his rties ninithe him. cular the one; d by nger, fe of olitiys its incivery ody,

pren less is a g inad of racend I viour

or

or the royal purity of his intentions is concerned, the truth of those declarations, which the minister has drawn up for his master, cannot decently be disputed. In every other refpect, I affirm, that they are absolutely unsupported, either in argument or fact. I must add too, that supposing the speech were otherwise unexceptionable, it is not a direct answer to the petition of the city. His Majesty is pleased to fay, that he is always ready to receive the receive the requests of his subjects; yet the sheriffs were twice fent back with an excuse, and it was certainly debated in council whether or no the magistrates of the city of London should be admitted to an audience. Whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to parliament, is the very question between the parliament and the people, and fuch a question as cannot be decided by the affertion of a third party, however respectable. That the petitioning for a dissolution of parliament is irreconcileable with the principles of the constitution is a new doctrine. His Majesty perhaps has not been informed, that the house of commons themselves have, by a formal refolution, admitted it to be the right of the subject. His Majesty proceeds to affure us that he has made the laws the rule of his conduct .- Was it in ordering or permitting his ministers to apprehend Mr. Wilkes by a general warrant?-Was it in suffering his ministers

ministers to revive the the obsolete maxim of nullum tempus to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, and thereby give a decifive turn to a county election ?-Was it in erecting a chamber confultation of furgeons, with authority to examine into and superfede the legal verdict of a jury? Or did his Majesty consult the laws of this country, when he permitted his fecretary of state to declare, that whenever the civil magiftrate is trifled with, a military force must be fent for, without the delay of a moment, and effectually employed? Or was it in the barbarous exactness with which this illegal, inhuman doctrine was carried into execution?-If his Majesty had recollected these facts, I think he would never have faid, at least with any reference to the measures of his government, that he had made the laws the rule of his conduct. To talk of preserving the affections, or relying on the support of his subjects, while he continues to act upon these principles, is indeed paying a compliment to their loyalty, which I hope they have too much spirit and understanding to deferve.

His Majesty, we are told, is not only punctual in the performance of his own duty, but careful not to assume any of those powers which the constitution has placed in other hands. Admitting this last affertion to be strictly true, it is no

way not in c fee 1 titio thei auth for him cafe hap truf er 1 will ftra Ma of ! fup haz his ple, lon feco

> Lord hand insta laug

> > N

is,

way

n of

f his

to a

nber

ex-

of a

vs of

ry of

agif-

t be

l ef-

rous

man

his

k he

efer-

at he

luct.

ying

onti-

deed

ich I

der-

ictu-

care-

the 1

mit-

s no

way

way to the purpose. The city of London have not defired the King to assume a power placed in other hands. If they had, I should hope to fee the person, who dared to present such a petition, immediately impeached. They folicit their Sovereign to exert that constitutional authority, which the laws have vested in him, for the benefit of his subjects. They call upon him to make use of his lawful prerogative in a case, which our laws evidently supposed might happen, fince they have provided for it by trusting the Sovereign with a discretionary power to dissolve the parliament. This request will, I am confident, be supported by remonfrances from all parts of the kingdom. His Majesty will find, at last, that this is the sense of his people, and that it is not his interest to fupport either ministry or parliament, at the hazard of a breach with the collective body of his fubjects .- That he is the King of a free people, is indeed his greatest glory. That he may long continue the King of a free people, is the fecond wish that animates my heart. The first is, THAT THE PEOPLE MAY BE FREE. *

JUNIUS.

* When his Majesty had done reading his speech the Lord Mayor, &c. had the honour of kissing his Majesty's hand; after which, as they were withdrawing, his Majesty instantly turned round to his courtiers, and burst out a laughing.

Nero fiddled, wbile Rome was burning. JOHN HORNE.

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

3. April, 1770.

T

with quen mate

own

a m

fince

ples,

feru

Sove

alty

peri

bite

of a

with

the

ral

infic

his

to h

finc

leaf

fove

a di

I

N my last letter I offered you my opinion of the truth and propriety of his Majesty's answer to the city of London, considering it merely as the speech of a minister, drawn up in his own defence, and delivered, as usual, by the chief magistrate. I would separate, as much as possible, the King's personal character and behaviour from the acts of the present government. I wish it to be understood, that his Majesty had in effect no more concern in the substance of what he said, than Sir James Hodges had in the remonstrance, and that as Sir James, in virtue of his office, was obliged to speak the fentiments of the people, his Majesty might think himself bound, by the same official obligation, to give a graceful utterance to the fentiments of his minister. The cold formality of a well repeated lesson is widely distant from the animated expression of the heart.

THIS

This diffinction, however, is only true with respect to the measure itself. The consequences of it reach beyond the minister, and materially affect his Majesty's honour. In their own nature they are formidable enough to alarm a man of prudence, and difgraceful enough to afflict a man of spirit. A subject, whose fincere attachment to his Majesty's person and family is founded upon rational principles, will not, in the present conjuncture, be ferupulous of alarming, or even of afflicting his Sovereign. I know there is another fort of loyalty, of which his Majesty has had plentiful experience. When the loyalty of Tories, Jacobites, and Scotchmen, has once taken possession of an unhappy Prince, it feldom leaves him without accomplishing his destruction. When the poison of their doctrines has tainted the natural benevolence of his disposition, when their infidious counfels have corrupted the stamina of his government, what antidote can restore him to his political health and honour, but the firm fincerity of his English subjects?

It has not been usual in this country, at least since the days of Charles the first, to see the sovereign personally at variance, or engaged in a direct altercation with his subjects. Acts of

grace

CHIS

R

0.

you

his

ler-

wn

ual,

as

cter

go-

his

the

lges

nes,

the

ight

oblienti-

y of

the

grace and indulgence are wifely apropriated to him, and should constantly be performed by He never should appear but in an amiable light to his subjects. Even in France, as long as any ideas of a limited monarchy were thought worth preferving, it was a maxim, that no man should leave the royal presence discontented. They have loft or renounced the moderate principles of their government, and now, when their parliaments venture to remonstrate, the tyrant comes forward, and answers absolutely for himself. The spirit of their present constitution requires, that the King should be feared, and the principle, I believe, is tolerably fupported by the fact. But, in our political fystem, the theory is at variance with the practice, for the King should be beloved. Measures of greater feverity may, indeed, in some circumstances, be necessary; but the minister, who advises, should take the execution and odium of them entirely upon himself. He not only betrays his master, but violates the spirit of the English constitution, when he exposes the chief magistrate to the personal hatred or contempt of his subjects. When we speak of the firmness of government, we, mean an uniform fystem of measures, deliberately adopted, and resolutely maintained by the fervants of the crown, not a peevish asperity in the language or behaviour of the fovereign. The government of a weak, irrefolute

refolu firm ; the co relaxe depen not u not fi vice shoul fairs. doctr false. cafior fhall grate cenfu the (create princ stratio of the King ration him a Wha decisi

parlia

a rec

own

He !

e

t

-

t

e

S

-

0

n

y

ef

of

of

of

y

a

rta resolute monarch may be wife, moderate and firm ;-that of an obstinate capricious prince, on the contrary, may be feeble, undetermined and relaxed. The reputation of public measures depends upon the minister, who is responsible, not upon the King, whose private opinions are not supposed to have any weight against the advice of his counsel, whose personal authority should therefore never be interposed in public affairs.—This, I believe, is true, constitutional doctrine: but for a moment let us suppose it Let it be taken for granted, that an occasion may arise, in which a King of England shall be compelled to take upon himself the ungrateful office of rejecting the petitions, and censuring the conduct of his subjects; and let the City remonstrance be supposed to have created fo extraordinary an occasion. On this principle, which I presume no friend of administration will dispute, let the wisdom and spirit of the ministry be examined. They advise the King to hazard his dignity, by a positive declaration of his own fentiments?—they suggest to him a language full of feverity and reproach. What follows? When his Majesty had taken so decifive a part in support of his ministry and parliament, he had a right to expect from them a reciprocal demonstration of firmness in their own cause, and of their zeal for his honour. He had reason to expect (and such, I doubt not.

not, were the bluftering promises of Lord North) that the persons, whom he had been advised to charge with having failed in their respect to him, with having injured parliament, and violated the principles of the constitution, should not have been permitted to escape without some fevere marks of the displeasure and vengeance of parliament. As the matter stands, the minister, after placing his sovereign in the most unfavourable light to his subjects, and after attempting to fix the ridicule and odium of his own precipitate measures upon the royal character, leaves him a folitary figure upon the fcene, to recal, if he can, or to compensate, by future compliances, for one unhappy demonstration of ill-supported firmness, and ineffectual refentment. As a man of spirit, his Majesty cannot but be fenfible, that the lofty terms, in which he was perfuaded to reprimand the city, when united with the filly conclusion of the business, resemble the pomp of a mock-tragedy, where the most pathetic fentiments, and even the fufferings of the hero are calculated for derision.

SUCH has been the boasted firmness and consistency of a minister, * whose appearance in the the ho the K fluenc fuade, mand. has be of M was fu Ellis, for th the co have l him, and p cuftod would this g preffe grace. fuffer

> big for proport fuppole

with i

* Ab bill of p riffs, o told the

^{*} This graceful minister is oddly constructed. His tongue is a little too big for his mouth, and his eyes a great deal too big

0

0

d

d

e

e

ie

f-

of

al

le

y

1-

al

y

n

i,

1,

n e-

n-

ne

ue

oo

the house of commons was thought essential to the King's fervice; -whose presence was to influence every division; -who had a voice to perfuade, an eye to penetrate, a gesture to command. The reputation of these great qualities has been fatal to his friends. The little dignity of Mr. Ellis has been committed. The mine was funk ;-combustibles provided, and Welbore Ellis, the Guy Faux of the fable, waited only for the fignal of command. All of a fudden the country gentlemen discover how grossly they have been deceived;—the minister's heart fails him, the grand plot is defeated in a moment, and poor Mr. Ellis and his motion taken into custody. From the event of Friday last, one would imagine, that fome fatality hung over this gentleman. Whether he makes or fuppresses a motion, he is equally sure of his disgrace. But the complexion of the times will fuffer no man to be vice-treasurer of Ireland with impunity. *

I DO

ment,

big for their fockets. Every part of his person sets natural proportion at defiance. At this present writing, his head is supposed to be much too heavy for his shoulders.

* About this time, the courtiers talked of nothing but a bill of pains and penalties against the Lord Mayor and Sheriffs, or impeachment at the least. Little Mannikin Ellis told the King that, if the business were left to his manage-

I no not mean to express the smallest anxiety for the minister's reputation. He acts separate. ly for himself, and the most shameful inconsistency may perhaps be no difgrace to him. But when the Sovereign, who represents the majesty of the state, appears in person, his dignity should be supported: the occasion should be important;-the plan well confidered;-the execution steady and confistent. My zeal for his Majesty's real honour compels me to affert, that it has been too much the fystem of the present reign, to introduce him personally, either to act for, or to defend his fervants. They perfuade him to do what is properly their business, and desert him in the midst of

ment, he would engage to do wonders. It was thought very odd that a motion of so much importance should be intrusted to the most contemptible little piece of machinery in the whole kingdom. His honest zeal however was disappointed. The minister took fright, and at the very instant that little Ellis was going to open, sent him an order to sit down. All their magnanimous threats ended in a ridiculous vote of censure, and a still more ridiculous address to the King. This shameful desertion so afflicted the genenerous mind of George the Third, that he was obliged to live upon potatoes for three weeks, to keep off a malignant sever.—Poor man!—quis talia fando temperet a lacrymis!

of it.
which
adhere
or une
they h
interp
refour
them
to ren
of th
mafter
the en
office,

the clo

is prof

" of h

" ties

* Aft Yorke, " My I N. B. H

overcame

of it. * Yet this is an inconvenience, to which he must for ever be exposed, while he adheres to a ministry divided among themselves, or unequal in credit and ability to the great task they have undertaken. Instead of reserving the interposition of the royal personage, as the last resource of government, their weakness obliges them to apply it to every ordinary occasion, and to render it cheap and common in the opinion of the people. Instead of supporting their master, they look to him for support; and, for the emoluments of remaining one day more in office, care not how much his facred character is prosituted and dishonoured.

it

y

ty

be

he

is

rt,

he

y,

ts.

dit

of

very

fled

the

int-

that fit

icu-

s to

live

ever,

the closet, I would venture to appeal at once to his Majesty's judgment. I would ask him, but in the most respectful terms, "As you are "a young man, Sir, who ought to have a life "of happiness in prospect;—as you are a huse band;—as you are a father, [your filial duties I own have been religiously performed] is it bona fide for your interest or your honour to

^{*} After a certain person had succeeded in cajolling Mr. Yorke, he told the Duke of Graston, with a witty smile, "My Lord, you may kill the next Percy yourself."---N. B. He had but that instant wiped the tears away, which overcame Mr. Yorke.

"to facrifice your domestic tranquillity, and to live in a perpetual disagreement with your people, merely to preserve such a chain of beings as North, Barrington, Weymouth. Gower, Ellis, Onslow, Rigby, Jerry Dyson, and Sandwich? Their very names are a satire upon all government, and I defy the gravest of your chaplains to read the catalogue without out laughing."

For my own part, Sir, I have always confidered addresses from parliament as a fashionable, unmeaning formality. Usurpers, ideots, and tyrants have been fuccessively complimented with almost the same professions of duty and affection. But let us suppose them to mean exactly what they profess. The consequences deserve to be considered. Either the sovereign is a man of high spirit and dangerous ambition, ready to take advantage of the treachery of his parliament, ready to accept of the furrender they make him of the public liberty; -or he is a mild, undefigning prince, who, provided they indulge him with a little state and pageantry, would of himself intend no mischief. On the first supposition, it must soon be decided by the fword, whether the constitution should be loft or preserved. On the second, a prince no way qualified for the execution of a great and hazardous

hazaro mined driver lead d a shan violen The n tisfied with t tenant heritar by oth to a fu nal ter hoftage nature testatio precari zard of family is the really 1 liamen founda the vair of the

* Eve

family h

Vol

d

h

in

h.

n,

re

eft

h-

n-

nts,

ed

nd

an

ces

gn

n.

his der

is

led

an-

On

by be

no

and

ous

hazardous enterprize, and without any determined object in view, may nevertheless be driven into fuch desperate measures, as may lead directly to his ruin, or difgrace himself by a shameful fluctuation between the extremes of violence at one moment, and timidity at another. The minister perhaps may have reason to be satisfied with the fuccess of the present hour, and with the profits of his employment. He is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in the in-The fovereign himself is bound heritance. by other obligations, and ought to look forward to a superior, a permanent interest. His paternal tenderness should remind him, how many hostages he has given to society. The ties of nature come powerfully in aid of oaths and pro-The father, who confiders his own testations. precarious state of health, and the possible hazard of a long minority, will wish to see the family estate free and unincumbered. * What is the dignity of the crown, though it were really maintained; -what is the honour of parliament, supposing it could exist without any foundation of integrity and justice; -or what is the vain reputation of firmness, even if the scheme of the government were uniform and confift-VOL. II.

^{*} Every true friend of the House of Brunswick sees with affliction, how rapidly some of the principal branches of the samily have dropped off.

ent, compared with the heart-felt affections of the people, with the happiness and security of the royal family, or even with the grateful acclamations of the populace! Whatever fivle of contempt may be adopted by ministers or parliaments, no man fincerely despises the voice of the English nation. The house of commons are only interpreters, whose duty it is to convey the fense of the people faithfully to the crown. If the interpretation be false or imperfect, the constituent powers are called upon to deliver their own fentiments. Their speech is rude, but intelligible; - their gestures sierce, but full of explanation. Perplexed by fophistries, their honest eloquence rises into action. Their first appeal was to the integrity of the representatives :- the fecond to the King's justice ;- the last argument of the people, whenever they have recourse to it, will carry more perhaps than persuasion to parliament, or supplication to the throne.

IUNIUS.

LET.

TO T

SI

fitting perha the p proce condu confid and c cause portec thorit groun fuffici vour c comm the m but tl gard t ents.

ness,

LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR,

of of

of li-

of

are the

If

onieir

in-

ex-

eir

firft

ita-

the.

hev

aps

tion

JS.

T.

28. May 1770.

HILE parliament was fitting, it would neither have been fafe, nor perhaps quite regular, to offer any opinion to the public, upon the justice or wisdom of their proceedings. To pronounce fairly upon their conduct, it was necessary to wait until we could 'confider, in one view, the beginning, progress, and conclusion of their deliberations. cause of the public was undertaken and supported by men, whose abilities and united authority, to fay nothing of the advantageous ground they stood on, might well be thought sufficient to determine a popular question in favour of the people. Neither was the house of commons fo absolutely engaged in defence of the ministry, or even of their own resolutions, but that they might have paid some decent regard to the known disposition of their constituents, and, without any dishonour to their firmness, might have retracted an opinion too hastily adopted, when they faw the alarm it had created

created, and how strongly it was opposed by the general fense of the nation. The ministry too would have confulted their own immediate interest, in making some concession satisfactory to the moderate part of the people. Without touching the fact, they might have confented to guard against, or give up the dangerous principle, on which it was established. state of things, I think it was highly improbable at the beginning of the fession, that the complaints of the people upon a matter, which, in their apprehension at least, immediately affected the life of the constitution, would be treated with as much contempt by their own representatives, and by the house of lords, as they had been by the other branch Despairing of their inteof the legislature. grity, we had a right to expect something from their prudence, and fomething from their fears. The Duke of Grafton certainly did not foresec to what an extent the corruption of a parliament might be carried. He thought, perhaps, that there was still some portion of shame or virtue left in the majority of the house commons, or that there was a line in public proftitution, beyond which they would fcruple to proceed. Had the young man been a little more practised in the world, or had he ventured to mea-·fure the characters of other men by his own, he

he v

T calls to co left ti have finess have fysten reign fistent the na of the longe the m ment, memb upon quenc the vi heat o that p delibe on, th to beli questic

least p

certain

he would not have been so easily discouraged.

1

0-

to

ut

ed

n-

is

2-

he

er,

ne-

n,

by

ufe

ch

te-

om

irs.

fee

ia-

ps,

vir-

ns,

on,

ed.

rac-

lea-

wn,

he

THE prorogation of parliament naturally calls upon us to review their proceedings, and to confider the condition in which they have left the kingdom. I do not question but they have done what is usually called the King's bufiness, much to his Majesty's satisfaction. We have only to lament, that, in consequence of a fystem introduced or revived in the present reign, this kind of merit should be very confistent with the neglect of every duty they owe to the nation. The interval between the opening of the last and close of the former fession was longer than usual. Whatever were the views of the minister in deferring the meeting of parliament, sufficient time was certainly given to every member of the house of commons, to look back upon the steps he had taken, and the confequences they had produced. The zeal of party, the violence of personal animosities, and the heat of contention had leifure to subfide. that period, whatever refolution they took was deliberate and prepenfe. In the preceding fession, the dependents of the ministry had affected to believe, that the final determination of the question would have satisfied the nation, or at least put a stop to their complaints; as if the certainty of an evil could diminish the sense of it,

it, or the nature of injuffice could be altered by decision. But they found the people of England were in a temper very distant from submisfion; and, although it was contended that the house of commons could not themselves reverse a refolution, which had the force and effect of a judicial fentence, there were other conflituti. onal expedients, which would have given a fecurity against any similar attempts for the future. The general proposition, in which the whole country had an interest, might have been reduced to a particular fact, in which Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Luttrell would alone have The house of lords might been concerned. interpose;-the King might dissolve the parliament, -or, if every other resource failed, there still lay a grand constitutional writ of error, in behalf of the people, from the decision of one court to the wisdom of the whole legislature. Every one of these remedies has been successively attempted. The people performed their part with dignity, spirit and perseverance. many months his majesty heard nothing from his people but the language of complaint and refentment; -unhappily for this country, it was the daily triumph of his courtiers that he heard it with an indifference approaching to contempt.

THE

T powe term insta trine fact a ever herea this in th be fu injuf they knov one open that i comp ber * the la treat femb

> house; ces of to ack

innum

being

ignor

16

EL.

10

h

e

r.

10

ht

1-

re

in

ne

e.

e-

irt

or

m

re-

as

ird n-

HE

THE house of commons having assumed a power unknown to the constitution, were determined not merely to support it in the single instance in question, but to maintain the doctrine in its utmost extent, and to establish the fact as a precedent in law, to be applied in whatever manner his Majesty's servants should hereafter think fit. Their proceedings upon this occasion are a strong proof that a decision, in the first instance illegal and unjust, can only be supported by a continuation of falsehood and injustice. To support their former resolutions, they were obliged to violate some of the best known and established rules of the house. one instance they went so far as to declare, in open defiance of truth and common sense, that it was not the rule of the house to divide a complicated question, at the request of a member*. But after trampling upon the laws of the land, it was not wonderful that they should treat the private regulations of their own affembly with equal difregard. The speaker, being young in office, began with pretended ignorance, and ended with deciding for the ministry

^{*} This extravagant resolution appears in the Votes of the house; but, in the minutes of the committees, the instances of resolutions contrary to law and truth, or of resulas to acknowledge law and truth when proposed to them, are innumerable.

nistry. We were not surprized at the decision; but he hesitated and blushed at his own baseness, and every man was assonished *.

The interest of the public was vigorously supported in the house of lords. Their right to defend the constitution against an incroachment of the other estates, and the necessity of exerting it at this period, was urged to them with every argument, that could be supposed to instruct the heart or the understanding. But it soon appeared, that they had already taken their part, and were determined to support the house of commons, not only at the expence of truth and decency, but even by a surrender of their own most important rights. Instead of performing

When the King first made it a measure of his government to destroy Mr. Wilkes, and when for this purpose it was necessary to run down privilege, Sir Fletcher Norton, with his usual prostituted effrontery, assured the house of commons, that he should regard one of their votes, no more than a resolution of so many drunken porters. This is the very Lawyer, whom Ben Johnson describes in the following lines:

" On either band, and puts it up.

perforn expecte and in for the legislat mon c the pe as falfe ous to Middle folving " a juc " cafe " peter that co troul o other, importa of the tates: the hou let thei grantly subject, ish siler terpose. they ca

A cond

tradicto

tion, c

[&]quot; Gives forked counsel; takes provoking gold,

[&]quot; So wife, fo grave, of fo perplex'd a tongue,

[&]quot; And loud withal, that would not wag, nor fearce

[&]quot; Lie fill without a fee."

t

f

n

0

it

n

e

f

f

of

g

nit

n,

of

re

he

N -

performing that duty which the constitution expected from them, in return for the dignity and independence of their station, in return for the hereditary share it has given them in the legislature, the majority of them made common cause with the other house in oppressing the people, and established another doctrine as false in itself, and if possible more pernicious to the constitution, than that, on which the Middlesex election was determined. folving, "that they had no right to impeach " a judgment of the house of commons in any " cafe whatfoever, where that house has a com-" petent jurisdiction," they in effect gave up that constitutional check and reciprocal controul of one branch of the legislature over the other, which is perhaps the greatest and most important object provided for by the division of the whole legislative power into three eftates; and now, let the judicial decisions of the house of commons be ever so extravagant, let their declarations of the law be ever fo flagrantly false, arbitrary, and oppressive to the subject, the house of lords have imposed a slavish silence upon themselves; -they cannot interpose,-they cannot protect the subject,they cannot defend the laws of their country. A concession so extraordinary in itself, so contradictory to the principles of their own institution, cannot but alarm the most unsuspecting mind.

mind. We may well conclude, that the lords would hardly have yielded fo much to the other house, without the certainty of a compensation, which can only be made to them at the expence of the people *. The arbitrary power they have assumed of imposing fines and committing, during pleafure, will now be exercifed in its full extent. The house of commons are too much in their debt to question or interrupt their proceedings. The crown too, we may be well affured, will lose nothing in this new distribution of power. After declaring, that to petition for a diffolution of parliament is irreconcilable with the principles of the constitution, his Majesty has reason to expect that fome extraordinary compliment will be returned to the Royal prerogative. The three branches of the legislature seem to treat their separate rights and interests as the Roman Triumvirs They reciprocally facrifice did their friends. them to the animolities of each other, and establish a detestable union among themselves, upon the ruin of the laws and liberty of the commonwealth.

THROUGH

own di and gro Mufgra that can or offen mation firmnef The te Mr. Hi turally integrit impeac in thei would and the Saville to their Sheriffs

THE

house o

apparer

which l

* The for Almost most inte support be came the

^{*} The man who refifts and overcomes this iniquitous power, assumed by the lords, must be supported by the whole people. We have the laws of our side, and want nothing but an intrepid leader. When such a man stands forth, let the nation look to it. It is not bis cause, but our own.

er a-

ne

er

n-

ns

erwe

nis

g,

ent

n-

nat

rnch-

ate

irs

fice

fta-

noc

mı-

GH

tous

hole

hing

, let

THROUGH the whole proceedings of the house of commons in this session, there is an apparent, a palpable consciousness of guilt, which has prevented their daring to affert their own dignity; where it has been immediately and grossly attacked. In the course of Doctor Musgrave's examination, he said every thing that can be conceived mortifying to individuals. or offensive to the house. They voted his information frivolous, but they were awed by his firmness and integrity, and funk under it *. The terms, in which the fale of a patent to Mr. Hine were communicated to the public, naturally called for a parliamentary enquiry. The integrity of the house of commons was directly impeached; but they had not courage to move in their own vindication, because the enquiry would have been fatal to Colonel Burgoyne, and the Duke of Grafton. When Sir George Saville branded them with the name of traitors to their constituents, when the Lord Mayor, the Sheriffs, and Mr. Trecothick, expressly avow-

^{*} The examination of this firm, honest man, is printed for Almon. The reader will find it a most curious, and a most interesting tract. Doctor Musgrave, with no other support but truth, and his own firmness, resisted, and overcame the whole house of commons.

ed and maintained every part of the city remonstrance, why did they tamely submit to be infulted? Why did they not immediately expel those refractory members? Conscious of the motives, on which they had acted, they prudently preferred infamy to danger, and were better prepared to meet the contempt, than to rouze the indignation of the whole people. Had they expelled those five members, the confequences of the new doctrine of incapacitation would have come immediately home to every The truth of it would then have been fairly tried, without any reference to Mr. Wilkes's private character, or the dignity of the house, or the obstinacy of one particular county. These topics, I know, have had their weight with men, who, affecting a character of moderation, in reality confult nothing but their own immediate ease; -who are weak enough to acquiesce under a flagrant violation of the laws, when it does not directly touch themselves, and care not what injustice is practifed upon a man whose moral character they piously think themselves obliged to condemn. In any other circumstances the house of commons must have forfeited all credit and dignity, if, after such gross provocation, they had permitted those five gentlemen to fit any longer among them. We should then have seen and felt the operation of a precedent, which is represented to be perfectly barren barrer
tnis co
violat
not by
direct
I prefi
apprel
reason
these
tune
have o
have o
mome

fion, the do The e liamer dom, Neither nor the habita defence ment's acts, the particular terms of the ment's acts, the particular terms of the partic

crown

2-

e

el

ne

1-

re

to

e.

n-

n

ry

en

r.

he

n-

eir

of

gh

he

m-

ed

fly

ny ust

ch

ve

Ve

fa

tly

en

barren and harmles. But there is a set of men in this country, whose understandings measure the violation of law, by the magnitude of the instance, not by the important confequences, which flow directly from the principle, and the minister, I presume, did not think it safe to quicken their Had Mr. Hampden apprehensions too foon. reasoned and acted like the moderate men of these days, instead of hazarding his whole fortune in a law-fuit with the crown, he would have quietly paid the twenty shillings demanded of him,-the Stuart family would probably have continued upon the throne, and, at this moment, the imposition of ship-money would have been an acknowledged prerogative of the crown.

What then has been the business of the session, after voting the supplies, and confirming the determination of the Middlesex election? The extraordinary prorogation of the Irish parliament, and the just discontents of that kingdom, have been passed by without notice. Neither the general situation of our Colonies, nor that particular distress which forced the inhabitants of Boston to take up arms in their desence, have been thought worthy of a moment's consideration. In the repeal of those acts, which were most offensive to America, the parliament have done every thing but re-

move the offence. They have relinquished the revenue, but judiciously taken care to preserve the contention. It is not pretended that the continuation of the tea duty is to produce any direct benefit whatsoever to the mother country. What is it then but an odious, unprofitable exertion of a speculative right, and sixing a badge of slavery upon the Americans, without service to their masters? But it has pleased God to give us a ministry and a parliament, who are neither to be persuaded by argument, nor instructed by experience.

LORD North, I presume, will not claim an extraordinary merit from any thing he has done this year in the improvement or application of the revenue. A great operation, directed to an important object, though it should fail of success, marks the genius and elevates the character of a minister. A poor contracted understanding deals in little schemes, which dishonour him if they fail, and do him no credit when they fucceed. Lord North had fortunately the means in his possession of reducing all the four per cents at once The failure of his first enterprize in finance is not half fo difgraceful to his reputation as a minister, as the enterprize itself is injurious to the public. Inflead of striking one decifive blow, which would have cleared the market at once, upon terms proportioned

to the he has modit ed bu holder govern it by to hole plan o be dro creasir nister' the th of the fell. In terest c and bu

to constrogation porary adopted the fep retire difgrate for the a perm

can po

ne

ve

he

ny

y.

T-

ge

ice

to

are

in-

an

one

the

m-

efs.

r of ing

n it

Suc-

eans

per

ter-

his

tfelf

king

ared

oned

to

to the price of the four per cents fix weeks ago, he has tampered with a pitiful portion of a commodity, which ought never to have been touched but in gross;—he has given notice to the holders of that stock, of a design formed by government to prevail upon them to furrender it by degrees, consequently has warned them to hold up and inhance the price; - fo that the plan of reducing the four per cents must either be dropped entirely, or continued with an increasing disadvantage to the public. The minister's fagacity has served to raise the value of the thing he means to purchase, and to fink that of the three per cents, which it is his purpose to fell. In effect, he has contrived to make it the interest of the proprietor of four percents to fellout and buy three per cents in the market, rather than subscribe his stock upon any terms, that can possibly be offered by government.

The state of the nation leads us naturally to consider the situation of the King. The prorogation of parliament has the effect of a temporary dissolution. The odium of measures adopted by the collective body sits lightly upon the separate members, who composed it. They retire into summer quarters, and rest from the disgraceful labours of the campaign. But, as for the Sovereign, it is not so with him. He has a permanent existence in this country; He can-

not withdraw himself from the complaints, the discontents, the reproaches of his subjects. They pursue him to his retirement, and invade his domestic happiness, when no address can be obtained from an obsequious parliament to encourage or confole him. In other times the interest of the King and people of England was, as it ought to be, entirely the same. A new system has not only been adopted in fact, but professed upon principle. Ministers are no longer the public fervants of the state, but the private domestics of the Sovereign. + One particular class of men are permitted to call themselves the King's friends, as if the body of the people were the King's enemies; or as if his Majesty looked for a refource or confolation, in the attachment of a few favourites, against the general contempt and detestation of his subjects. Edward, and Richard the second, made the fame distinction between the collective body of the people, and a contemptible party who furrounded the throne. The event of their mistaken conduct might have been a warning to their fuccesfors.

Davenant.

fucc were false and The mure their were thou the d we f whic the fe meaf gular and w fipid ble of fecure he ha him a Seclu fancy he can ions,

> which unders narch

> > Voi

charac

that c

^{† &}quot;An ignorant, mercenary, and fervile crew; unanimous in evil, diligent in mischief, variable in principles, confiant to flattery, talkers for liberty, but slaves to power; ---stilling themselves the court party, and the prince's only friends."

)-

1-

1-

S,

f-

0-

er

te

ar

res

ole

fty

at-

ne-

ts.

the

of

ur-

ak-

eir

ors.

nous

con-

wer;

only

mant.

Yet the errors of those princes fucceffors. were not without excuse. They had as many false friends, as our present gracious Sovereign, and infinitely greater temptations to feduce them. They were neither fober, religious, nor demure. Intoxicated with pleasure, they wasted their inheritance in pursuit of it. Their lives were like a rapid torrent, brilliant in prospect, though useless or dangerous in its course. In the dull, unanimated existence of other princes. we fee nothing but a fickly, stagnant water. which taints the atmosphere without fertilizing the foil.—The morality of a King is not to be measured by vulgar rules. His situation is singular. There are faults which do him honour. and virtues that difgrace him. A faultless, infipid equality in his character, is neither capable of vice nor virtue in the extreme; but it fecures his fubmission to those persons, whom he has been accustomed to respect, and makes him a dangerous instrument of their ambition. Secluded from the world, attached from his infancy to one set of persons, and one set of ideas, he can neither open his heart to new connexions, nor his mind to better information. character of this fort is the foil fittest to produce that obstinate bigotry in politics and religion. which begins with a meritorious facrifice of the understanding, and finally conducts the monarch and the martyr to the block. Vol. II. AT

AT any other period, I doubt not, the fcanda lous diforders, which have been introduced into the government of all the dependencies in the Empire, would have rouzed the attention of the public. The odious abuse and prostitution of the prerogative at home,—the unconstitutional employment of the military, --- the arbitrary fines and commitments by the house of lords, and cours of king's bench; -the mercy of a chafte and pious Prince extended cheerfully to a wilful murderer, because that murderer is the brother of a common profitute +, would, I think, at any other time, have excited univerfal indignation. But the daring attack upon the constitution, in the Middlesex election, makes us callous and indifferent to inferior grievances. No man regards an eruption upon the furface, when the noble parts are invaded, and he feels a mortification approaching to his heart. The free election of our representatives in parliament comprehends, because it is, the source and fecurity of every right and privilege of the English nation. The ministry have realized the compendious ideas of Caligula. They know that the liberty, the laws, and property of an Englishman have in truth but one neck, and that to v

the c Graf ward The tion ble to You branc

himfe was ments young mittin

unive

to

at the

to violate the freedom of election strikes deeply at them all.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XL.

TO LORD NORTH.

MY LORD,

2

-

7

a

to he

1

ar-

on

m,

ior

noc

and

art.

oar-

the

the

now

an

that

to

22. Aug. 1770.

R. Luttrell's fervices were the chief support and ornament of the Duke of Grafton's administration. The honour of rewarding them was reserved for your Lordship. The Duke, it seems, had contracted an obligation he was ashamed to acknowledge, and unable to acquit. You, my Lord, had no scruples. You accepted the succession with all its incumbrances, and have paid Mr. Luttrell his legacy, at the hazard of ruining the estate.

WHEN this accomplished youth declared himself the champion of government, the world was busy in enquiring what honours or emoluments could be a sufficient recompence, to a young man of his rank and fortune, for submitting to mark his entrance into life with the universal contempt and detestation of his coun-

D 2

try.

try.—His noble father had not been so precipitate.—To vacate his seat in parliament;—to intrude upon a county in which he had no interest or connexion;—to possess himself of another man's right, and to maintain it in defiance of public shame as well as justice, bespoke a degree of zeal or of depravity, which all the favour of a pious Prince could hardly requite. I protest, my Lord, there is in this young man's conduct a strain of prostitution, which, for its singularity, I cannot but admire. He has discovered a new line in the human character;—he has degraded even the name of Luttrell, and gratised his father's most fanguine expectations.

The Duke of Grafton with every possible disposition to patronise this kind of merit, was contented with pronouncing Colonel Luttrell's panegyric. The gallant spirit, the disinterested zeal of the young adventurer, were echoed through the house of lords. His Grace repeatedly pledged himself to the house, as an evidence of the purity of his friend Mr. Luttrell's intentions;—that he had engaged without any prospect or personal benefit, and that the idea of compensation would mortally offend him *.

The

The but bega his r nego ward and King GEN mana on to ous (verni pular owe lity,

public.
lreland
Scotch
of Kir
Ireland
him to
conten
once in
until a
Colone
Luttrel
motion

comma

My]

^{*} He now fays that his great object is the rank of Colonel and that he will have it.

The noble Duke could hardly be in earnest; but he had lately quitted his employment, and began to think it necessary to take some care of his reputation. At that very moment the Irish negociation was probably begun.-Come forward, thou worthy representative of Lord Bute, and tell this infulted country, who advised the King to appoint Mr. Luttrell, ADJUTANT-GENERAL to the army in Ireland? By what management was Colonel Cuninghame prevailed on to refign his employment, and the obsequious Gifborne to accept of a pension for the government of Kinsale? + Was it an original stipulation with the princess of Wales, or does he owe his preferment to your Lordship's partiality, or to the Duke of Bedford's friendship? My Lord, though it may not be possible to trace

à

1-

of

1-

1-

's ed

ed

t-

i-

l's

ny

ea

he

onel

† This infamous transaction ought to be explained to the public. Colonel Gisborne was quarter-master-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend persuades him to resign to a Scotch officer, one Fraser, and gives him the government of Kinsale.—Colonel Cuninghame was adjutant-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend offers him a pension, to induce him to resign to Luttrell. Cuninghame treats the offer with contempt. What's to be done? Poor Gisborne must move once more.—He accepts of a pension of 500 l. a year, until a government of greater value shall become vacant. Colonel Cuninghame is made governor of Kinsale; and Luttrell, at last, for whom the whole machinery is put in motion, becomes adjutant-general, and in effect takes the command of the army in Ireland.

this measure to its fource, we can follow the stream, and warn the country of its approaching destruction. The English nation must be rouzed, and put upon its guard. Mr. Luttrell has already shewn us how far he may be trusted, whenever an open attack is to be made upon the liberties of this country. I do not doubt that there is a deliberate plan formed. Your Lordship best knows by whom;—the corruption of the legislative body on this fide-a military force on the other-and then, Farewel to England! It is impossible that any minister shall dare to advise the King to place such a man as Luttrell in the confidential post of Adjutant-General, if there were not fome fecret purpose in view, which only fuch a man as Luttrell is fit to pro-The infult offered to the army in general is as gross as the outrage intended to the people of England. What! Lieutenant-colonel Luttrell, Adjutant-general of an army of fixteen thousand men! one would think his Majefty's campaigns at Blackheath and Wimbledon might have taught him better .- I cannot help w: shing General Harvey joy of a colleague, who does fo much honour to the employment .-But, my Lord, this measure is too daring to pass unnoticed, too dangerous to be received with indifference or fubmission. You shall not have time to new-model the Irish army. will not fubmit to be garbled by Colonel Luttrell.

tre on, the ly with my bli her affit for

diff

the

то

M

lett and I and dea Ac trell. As a mischief to the English constitution, (for he is not worth the name of enemy) they already detest him. As a boy, impudently thrust over their heads, they will receive him with indignation and contempt.—As for you, my Lord, who perhaps are no more than the blind, unhappy instrument of Lord Bute and her royal Highness the Princess of Wales, be assured that you shall be called upon to answer for the advice, which has been given, and either discover your accomplices, or fall a facrifice to their security.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLI.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD MANS-FIELD.

MY LORD,

14. November 1770.

THE appearance of this letter will attract the curiofity of the public, and command even your Lordship's attention. I am considerably in your debt, and shall endeavour, once for all, to balance the account. Accept of this address, my Lord, as a prologue

to more important scenes, in which you will probably be called upon to act or suffer.

You will not question my veracity, when I affure you, that it has not been owing to any particular respect for your person that I have abstained from you so long. Besides the distress and danger with which the press is threat ened, when your lordship is party, and the party is to be judge, I confess I have been deterred by the difficulty of the task. Our language has no term of reproach, the mind has no idea of detestation, which has not already been happily applied to you, and exhausted .- Ample justice has been done by abler pens than mine to the separate merits of your life and character. Let it be my humble office to collect the scattered sweets, till their united virtue tortures the fense.

PERMIT me to begin with paying a just tribute to Scotch fincerity, wherever I find it. I own I am not apt to confide in the professions of gentlemen of that country, and, when they smile, I feel an involuntary emotion to guard myself against mischief. With this general opinion of an ancient nation, I always thought it much to your lordship's honour, that, in your earlier days, you were but little infected with the prudence

dence attac oppo rit of princ and f I co in w hone whic birth The ment ment begi his p loyal follo With of th migh

> worth freque

racte

This brothe

dence of your country. You had some original attachments, which you took every proper opportunity to acknowledge. The liberal fpirit of youth prevailed over your native discretion. Your zeal in the cause of an unhappy prince was expressed with the sincerity of wine, and some of the solemnities of religion. * This, I conceive, is the most amiable point of view, in which your character has appeared. Like an honest man, you took that part in politics, which might have been expected from your birth, education, country and connexions. There was fomething generous in your attachment to the banished house of Stuart. We lament the mistakes of a good man, and do not begin to detest him until he affects to renounce his principles. Why did you not adhere to that loyalty you once professed? Why did you not follow the example of your worthy brother? + With him, you might have shared in the honour of the Pretender's confidence-with him, you might have preserved the integrity of your character, and England, I think, might have spared you

I

f

i, If

of

0

T

1-

ce

^{*} This man was always a rank Jacobite. Lord Ravensworth produced the most satisfactory Evidence of his having frequently drank the Pretender's health upon his knees.

[†] CONFIDENTIAL Secretary to the late Pretender. This circumstance confirmed the friendship between the brothers.

and

leadin

the 1

juries (for t

let th

ing is

ment

to the

tion !

the b

jury pay r

parti

—tha

to a

mech

trine.

falfh

if it

cal c

arbit

ons a

man

of a equa

you without regret. Your friends will fay, perhaps, that, altho' you deferted the fortune of your liege Lord, you have adhered firmly to the principles which drove his father from the throne; -that without openly fupporting the person, you have done essential service to the cause, and consoled yourself for the loss of a favourite family by reviving and establishing the maxims of their government. This is the way, in which a Scotchman's understanding corrects the error of his heart.-My lord, I acknowledge the truth of the defence, and can trace it through all your conduct. I fee, through your whole life, one uniform plan to enlarge the power of the crown, at the expence of the liberty of the subject. To this object, your thoughts, words and actions have been constantly directed. In contempt or ignorance of the common law of England, you have made it your fludy to introduce into the court, where you preside, maxims of jurisprudence unknown to Englishmen. The Roman code, the law of nations, and the opinion of foreign civilians, are your perpetual theme; -but whoever heard you mention Magna Charta or the Bill of Rights with approbation or respect? By such treacherous arts, the noble simplicity and free spirit of our Saxon laws were first corrupted. The Norman conquest was not complete, until Norman lawyers had introduced their laws, and

of.

le

c

e

ic

le

.11

h

re

ie

ur

of

it

re

m

of

s,

rd

of

ch

ee d.

til

S,

 $^{\rm nd}$

and reduced flavery to a system .- This one leading principle directs your interpretation of the laws, and accounts for your treatment of juries. It is not in political questions only (for there the courtier might be forgiven) but let the cause be what it may, your understanding is equally on the rack, either to contract the power of the jury, or to mislead their judgment. For the truth of this affertion, I appeal to the doctrine you delivered in Lord Grofvenor's cause. An action for criminal conversation being brought by a peer against a prince of the blood, you were daring enough to tell the jury that, in fixing the damages, they were to pay no regard to the quality or fortune of the parties; -that it was a trial between A. and B. -that they were to confider the offence in a moral light only, and give no greater damages to a peer of the realm, than to the meanoft mechanic. I shall not attempt to refute a doctrine, which, if it was meant for law, carries falshood and absurdity upon the face of it; but, if it was meant for a declaration of your political creed, is clear and confistent. Under an arbitrary government, all ranks and diffinctions are confounded. The honour of a nobleman is no more confidered than the reputation of a peafant, for, with different liveries, they are equally flaves.

EVEN in matters of private property, we fee the same by as and inclination to depart from the decisions of your predecessors, which you certainly ought to receive as evidence of the common law. Instead of those certain, positive rules, by which the judgment of a court of law should invariably be determined, you have fondly introduced your own unsettled notions of equity and substantial justice. Decisions given upon fuch principles do not alarm the public fo much as they ought, because the consequence and tendency of each particular instance, is not observed or regarded. In the mean time the practice gains ground; the court of King's Bench becomes a court of equity, and the judge, instead of consulting strictly the law of the land, refers only to the wisdom of the court, and to the purity of his own conscience. The name of Mr. Justice Yates, will naturally revive in your mind some of those emotions of fear and detestation, with which you always beheld him. That great lawyer, that honest man, faw your whole conduct in the light that I do. years of ineffectual refistance to the pernicious principles introduced by your Lordship, and uniformly supported by your bumble friends upon the bench, he determined, to quit a court, whose proceedings and decisions he could neither ther fucce

fome apt to princ Print knew a par cape : nity : great where have incor confe two y an Er had 1 my lo racter timid fions

> * T the fam quently

creatu

ther affent to with honour, nor oppose with fuccess.

e

V

e

f

n

0

e

t

e

1,

0

e

n

id

n.

ır

er

us

nd p-

rt.

ei-

er.

* THE injustice done to an individual is fometimes of fervice to the public. Facts are apt to alarm us more than the most dangerous principles. The fufferings and firmness of a Printer have roused the public attention. You knew and felt, that your conduct would not bear a parliamentary inquiry, and you koped to efcape it by the meanest, the basest facrifice of dignity and confiftency, that ever was made by a great magistrate. Where was your firmness, where was that vindictive spirit, of which we have feen fo many examples, when a man, fo inconfiderable as Bingley, could force you to confess, in the face of this country, that, for two years together, you had illegally deprived an English subject of his liberty, and that he had triumphed over you at last? Yet I own, my lord, that yours is not an uncommon character. Women, and men like women, are timid, vindictive, and irrefolute. Their paffions counteract each other, and make the fame creature, at one moment hateful, at another contemptible.

^{*} THE oppression of an obscure individual gave birth to the famous Habeas Corpus Act of 31. Car. 2. which is frequently considered as another Magna Charta of the Kingdom. Blackstone, 3. 135.

contemptible. I fancy, my Lord, fome time will elapse before you venture to commit another Englishman for refusing to answer interrogatories. *

THE doctrine you have constantly delivered, in cases of libel, is another powerful evidence of a fettled plan to contract the legal power of juries, and to draw questions, inseparable from fact, within the arbitrium of the Here, my Lord, you have fortune of your fide. When you invade the province of the jury, in matter of libel, you, in effect, attack the liberty of the prefs, and, with a fingle stroke, wound two of your greatest enemies. -In fome inflances you have fucceeded, because jurymen are too often ignorant of their own rights, and too apt to be awed by the authority of a chief justice. In other criminal profecutions, the malice of the defign is confesiedly as much the subject of consideration to a jury, as the certainty of the fact. If a different doctrine fay you one)
protect perty of pile be in With ful dicife o

aggra

Bu (and of the which which guilt-tention if you with ment

^{*} BINGLEY was committed for contempt in not submitting to be examined: He lay in prison two years, until the Crown thought the matter might occasion some serious complaint, and therefore he was let out, in the same contumelious state he had been put in, with all his sins about him, unanointed and unannealed.—There was much coquetry between the Court and the Attorney General, about who should undergo the ridicule of letting him escape.——Vide ansiber Letter to Almon, p. 189.

trine prevails in the case of libels, why should it not extend to all criminal cases?—Why not to capital offences? I see no reason (and I dare say you will agree with me that there is no good one) why the life of the subject should be better protected against you, than his liberty or property. Why should you enjoy the sull power of pillory, sine, and imprisonment, and not be indulged with hanging or transportation? With your Lordship's fertile genius and merciful disposition, I can conceive such an exercise of the power you have, as could hardly be aggravated by that which you have not.

1

.

e

f t,

1-

5.

Se

n i-

ely

y,

C-

ne

nit-

the

m-

eli-

im,

etry

Vide

But, my Lord, fince you have laboured, (and not unfuccefsfully) to destroy the substance of the trial, why should you suffer the form of the werdict to remain? Why force twelve honest men, in palpable violation of their oaths, to pronounce their fellow-subject a guilty man, when, almost at the same moment, you forbid their enquiring into the only circumstance, which, in the eye of law and reason, constitutes guilt—the malignity or innocence of his intentions?—But I understand your Lordship.—If you could succeed in making the trial by jury useless and ridiculous, you might then with greater safety introduce a bill into parliament for enlarging the jurissiction of the court,

and

and extending your favourite trial by interrogatories to every question, in which the life or liberty of an Englishman is concerned.*

Your charge to the jury, in the profecution against Almon and Woodfall, contradicts the highest legal authorities, as well as the plainest dictates of reason. In Miller's cause, and still more expressly in that of Baldwin, you have proceeded a step farther, and grossly contradicted yourfelf. You may know perhaps, though I do not mean to infult you by an appeal to your experience, that the language of truth is uniform and confistent. To depart from it fafely, requires memory and discretion. In the two last trials, your charge to the jury began, as usual, with affuring them that they had nothing to do with the law,-that they were to find the bare fact, and not to concern themselves about the

 The philosophical poet, doth notably describe the damnable and damned proceedings of the Judge of Hell,

"Gnoffius hæc Rhadamanthus habet durissima regna,

" Castigatque, auditque dolos, subigitque fateri."

First he punisheth, and then he heareth: and lastly compelleth to confess, and makes and mars laws at his pleasure; like as the Centurion, in the holy history did to St. Paul, for the text saith, "Centurio apprehendi Paulum justit, & se catenis eligari, et tunc INTERROGABAT, quis suisset, & quid fecisset;" but good Judges and Justices abhor these Courses. Coke 2. Inst. 55.

the le of the confif will y the ju " up " mig " the " tou " the positio were : crimin their j the m tions 1 the fe difficu dicial of the decisio

> * Directained in on of the the deciand never question

power

the po

e

It

11

ve

-f

gh

ur

i-

y,

CV

as

ng he

out

the

am-

ia,

pelure;

, for

& se

t, &

thefe

the legal inferences drawn from it, or the degree of the defendant's guilt .- Thus far you were confistent with your former practice.—But how will you account for the conclusion? You told the jury that, " if, after all, they would take " upon themselves to determine the law, they " might do it, but they must be very fure that " they determined according to law, for it " touched their consciences, and they acted at " their peril."-If I understand your first proposition, you meant to affirm, that the jury were not competent judges of the law in the criminal case of a libel—that it did not fall within their jurisdiction; and that, with respect to them, the malice or innocence of the defendants intentions would be a question coram non judice. - but the fecond proposition clears away your own difficulties, and restores the jury to all their judicial capacities. * You make the competence of the court to depend upon the legality of the decision. In the first instance you deny the power absolutely. In the second, you admit the power, provided it be legally exercised. Now

^{*} Directly the reverse of the doctrine he constantly maintained in the house of lords and elsewhere, upon the decision of the Middlesex election. He invariably afferted that the decision must be legal, because the court was competent; and never could be prevailed on to enter farther into the question.

Now, my Lord, without pretending to reconcile the diffinctions of Westminster-hall with the simple information of common-sense, or the integrity of fair argument, I shall be understood by your Lordship, when I affert that, if a jury or any other court of judicature (for jurors are judges) have no right to entertain a cause, or question of law, it signifies nothing whether their decision be or be not according to law. Their decision is in itself a mere nullity: the parties are not bound to submit to it; and, if the jury run any risque of punishment, it is not for pronouncing a corrupt or illegal verdict, but for the illegality of meddling with a point, on which they have no legal authority to decide †.

I CANNOT quit this subject without reminding your Lordship of the name of Mr. Benson. Without offering any legal objection, you ordered a special juryman to be set aside in a cause, where the King was prosecutor. The novelty of the sact required explanation. Will you condescend to tell the world by what law or custom

† These iniquitous prosecutions cost the best of princes six thousand pounds, and ended in the total deseat and disgrace of the prosecutors. In the course of one of them Judge Aston had the unparallelled impudence to tell Mr. Morris (a gentleman of unquestionable honour and integrity, and who was then giving his evidence on oath) that be should pay very little regard to any affidavit be should make.

deed thip charter and with this elap

reco

are law fucl did! min tle ant ed i nion pen deci the the

con

able

le

he

n-

bo

ry

re

Of

er

eir

es

ry

o-

on

d-

n.

ſe,

ty

ou

m

ces lif-

em

Mr.

gri-

t be

custom you were authorised to make a peremptory challenge of a juryman? The parties indeed have this power, and perhaps your Lordship, having accustomed yourself to unite the characters of judge and party, may claim it in virtue of the new capacity you have assumed, and profit by your own wrong. The time, within which you might have been punished for this daring attempt to pack a jury, is, I fear, elapsed; but no length of time shall erase the record of it.

THE mischiefs, you have done this country, are not confined to your interpretation of the You are a minister, my Lord, and, as fuch, have long been confulted. Let us candidly examine what use you have made of your ministerial influence. I will not descend to little matters, but come at once to those important points, on which your resolution was waited for, on which the expectation of your opinion kept a great part of the nation in fufpence. A constitutional question arises upon a declaration of the law of parliament, by which the freedom of election, and the birthright of the subject were supposed to have been invaded. The King's fervants are accused of violating the constitution. The nation is in a ferment. ablest men of all parties engage in the question, and

and exert their utmost abilities in the discussion of it. What part has the honest Lord Mansfield acted? As an eminent judge of the law, his opinion would have been respected. As a peer, he had a right to demand an audience of his fovereign, and inform him that his ministers were pursuing unconstitutional measures. Upon other occasions, my Lord, you have no difficulty in finding your way into the closet. The pretended neutrality of belonging to no party, will not fave your reputation. In questions merely political, an honest man may stand neuter. But the laws and constitution are the general property of the subject; not to defend is to relinquish; -and who is there so senseless as to renounce his share in a common benefit, unless he hopes to profit by a new division of the spoil? As a lord of parliament you were repeatedly called upon to condemn or defend the new law declared by the house of commons. You affected to have scruples, and every expedient was attempted to remove them. The question was proposed and urged to you in a thousand different shapes. Your prudence still supplied you with evafion; -your resolution was invincible. For my own part I am not anxious to penetrate this folemn fecret. I care not to whose wisdom it is intrusted, nor how soon you carry it

field real f oppo accou heart know Yet whic. whic of w to tal lity, Here of a open. and and g not f gross mini cellor of th

it w

traye

taker

fhould terward dence, 2

-

e

100

n

1

.

0

S

5

y

V

ıt

n

d

d

-

co se

y

ît

it with you to your grave +. You have betrayed your opinion by the very care you have taken to conceal it. It is not from Lord Mansfield that we expect any referve in declaring his real fentiments in favour of government, or in opposition to the people; nor is it difficult to account for the motions of a timid, dishonest heart, which neither has virtue enough to acknowledge truth, nor courage to contradict it. Yet you continue to support an administration, which you know is univerfally odious, and which, on fome occasions, you yourfelf speak of with contempt. You would fain be thought to take no share in government, while, in reality, you are the main spring of the machine. Here too we trace the little, prudential policy of a Scotchman. - Instead of acting that open, generous part, which becomes your rank and flation, you meanly skulk into the closet and give your Sovereign fuch advice, as you have not spirit to avow or defend. You secretly ingross the power, while you decline the title of minister; and though you dare not be Chancellor, you know how to fecure the emoluments of the office. Are the feals to be for ever in commission,

[†] He faid in the house of lords, that he believed he should carry his opinion with him to the grave. It was afterwards reported, that he had intrusted it, in special considence, to the ingenious Duke of Cumberland.

commission, that you may enjoy five thousand pounds a year? I beg pardon, my Lord;your fears have interposed at last, and forced you to refign .- The odium of continuing fpeaker of the house of lords, upon such terms, was too formidable to be refifted. What a multitude of bad passions are forced to submit to a constitutional infirmity! But though you have relinquished the falary, you still assume the rights of a minister. Your conduct, it seems, must be defended in parliament. For what other purpose is your wretched friend, that miferable serjeant, posted to the house of commons? Is it in the abilities of Mr. Leigh to defend the great Lord Mansfield? Or is he only the punch of a puppet-shew, to speak as he is prompted, by the CHIEF JUGGLER behind the curtain *?

In public affairs, my Lord, cunning, let it be ever so well wrought, will not conduct a man honourably through life. Like bad money, it may be current for a time, but it will soon be cried down. It cannot confist with a liberal spirit, though it be sometimes united with extraordinary

dina
your
I fee
gifte
Yet
foon
mire
tefte
that
fupe
ed r
you
law.
you

and rifht fupp

Pau
I wition
ons
ed t
peri

fact

This paragraph gagged poor Leigh. I really am concerned for the man, and wish it were possible to open hi mouth.—He is a very pretty orator.

dinary qualifications. When I acknowledge your abilities, you may believe I am fincere. I feel for human nature, when I fee a man, fo gifted as you are, descend to such vile practise. Yet do not fuffer your vanity to confole you too foon. Believe me, my good Lord, you are not admired in the same degree, in which you are detested. It is only the partiality of your friends, that balances the defects of your heart with the fuperiority of your understanding. No learned man, even among your own tribe, thinks you qualified to prefide in a court of common law. Yet it is confessed that, under Justinian, you might have made an incomparable Prator. -It is remarkable enough, but I hope not ominous, that the laws you understand best, and the judges you affect to admire most, flourished in the decline of a great empire, and are supposed to have contributed to its fall.

2

e

le

s,

at

1-

1-

to

he

d

it

an it

be

i-

)r-

ry

on-

hi

Here, my Lord, it may be proper for us to pause together.—It is not for my own sake that I wish you to consider the delicacy of your situation. Beware how you indulge the first emotions of your resentment. This paper is delivered to the world, and cannot be recalled. The persecution of an innocent printer cannot alter sacts, nor resute arguments.—Do not surnish me with farther materials against yourself.—An honest

honest man, like the true religion, appeals to the understanding, or modestly consides in the internal evidence of his conscience. The impostor employs force instead of argument, imposes silence where he cannot convince, and propagates his character by the sword.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR,

January 30, 1771.

If we recollect in what manner the King's Friends have been constantly employed, we shall have no reason to be surprised at any condition of disgrace, to which the once-respected name of Englishmen may be degraded. His Majesty has no cares, but such as concern the laws and constitution of this country. In his Royal breast there is no room left for resentment, no place for hostile sentiments against the natural enemies of his crown. The system of government is uniform.—Violence and oppression at home can only be supported by treachery

chery rights one fi politie in the plan o riably Majef of his of the ing, closet unfav and d which ment fchem has b folem only own that with

> Tr fome as th ferted

they

chery and submission abroad. When the civil rights of the people are daringly invaded on one fide, what have we to expect, but that their political rights should be deferted and betrayed, in the fame proportion, on the other? plan of domestic policy, which has been invariably purfued, from the moment of his present Majesty's accession, engrosses all the attention of his fervants. They know that the fecurity of their places depends upon their maintaining, at any hazard, the fecret fystem of the closet. A foreign war might embarrass, an unfavourable event might ruin the minister, and defeat the deep-laid scheme of policy, to which he and his affociates owe their employments. . Rather than fuffer the execution of that scheme to be delayed or interrupted, the King has been advised to make a public surrender, a folemn facrifice, in the face of all Europe, not only of the interests of his subjects, but of his own personal reputation, and of the dignity of that crown, which his predeceffors have worn with honour. These are strong terms, Sir, but they are supported by fact and argument.

THE King of Great-Britain had been for fome years in possession of an island, to which, as the ministry themselves have repeatedly asserted, the Spaniards had no claim of right.

The

The importance of the place is not in question, If it were, a better judgment might be formed of it from the opinion of Lord Anfon and Lord Egmont, and from the anxiety of the Spaniards, than from any fallacious infinuations thrown out by men, whose interest it is to undervalue that property, which they are determined to relinquish. The pretensions of Spain were a subject of negociation between the two courts. They had been discussed, but not admitted. The King of Spain, in thefe circumstances, bids adieu to amicable negotiation, and appeals directly to the fword. The expedition against Port Egmont does not appear to have been a fudden ill-concerted enterprise. It seems to have been conducted not only with the usual military precautions, but in all the forms and ceremonies of war. A frigate was first employed to examine the ftrength of the place. A message was then sent, demanding immediate possession, in the Catholic King's name, and ordering our people to depart. At last a military force appears, and compels the garrison to furrender. A formal capitulation ensues, and his Majesty's ship, which might at least have been permitted to bring home his troops immediately, is detained in port twenty days, and her rudder forcibly taken away. This train of facts carries no appearance of the rashness or violence of a Spanish governor. On the contrary, the whole plan feems to have been formed and executed,

in cor gular Bucar ed as for th that c Wher A Ki placin verno justice reput chara a con ty th to his duty. man One Inste coura equa

trode of i mean on, impri

no

the f

d

ñ

e

)-

S.

s,

ls

A

a

to

al

d

V -

A

te

r-

ry

na-

r-

15

r-

es

a

le

d,

in

in consequence of deliberate orders, and a regular instruction from the Spanish court. Mr. Bucarelli is not a pirate, nor has he been treated as fuch by those who employed him. I feel for the honour of a gentleman, when I affirm that our King owes him a fignal reparation .-Where will the humiliation of this country end! A King of Great Britain, not contented with placing himself upon a level with a Spanish governor, descends so low as to do a notorious injustice to that governor. As a salvo for his own reputation, he has been advifed to traduce the character of a brave officer, and to treat him as a common robber, when he knew with certainty that Mr. Bucarelli had acted in obedience to his orders, and had done no more than his duty. Thus it happens in private life, with a man who has no spirit nor sense of honour.-One of his equals orders a fervant to strike him. Instead of returning the blow to the master, his courage is contented with throwing an aspersion, equally false and public, upon the character of the fervant.

This short recapitulation was necessary to introduce the consideration of his Majesty's speech, of 13. November, 1770, and the subsequent measures of government. The excessive caution, with which the speech was drawn up, had impressed upon me an early conviction, that no serious resentment was thought of, and that

that the conclusion of the business, whenever it happened, must, in some degree, be dishonourable to England. There appears through the whole speech a guard and reserve in the choice of expression, which shews how careful the ministry were not to embarrass their future projects by any firm or spirited declaration from the When all hopes of peace are loft, his Majesty tells his parliament, that he is preparing,-not for barbarous war, but (with all his mother's foftness,) for a different Situation .- An open hostility, authorised by the Catholic King, is called an act of a governor. This act, to avoid the mention of a regular fiege and furrender, passes under the piratical description of seizing by force; and the thing taken is described, not as a part of the King's territory or proper dominion, but merely as a possession, a word expressly chosen in contradistinction to, and exclusion of the idea of right, and to prepare us for a future furrender both of the right and of the possession. Yet this speech, Sir, cautious and equivocal as it is, cannot, by any fophistry, be accommodated to the measures, which have fince been adopted. It feemed to promise, that whatever might be given up by fecret stipulation, some care would be taken to fave appearances to the public. The event shews us, that to depart, in the minutest article, from the nicety and strictness of punctilio, is as dangerous to national honour, as to female

femal famili what count they co accele minif fpeech accede

the ri Spani Session, servin portun

fince

of fat to do must Septe tainly done speak of his

The continu

it

T-

10

ce i-

ts

ie is

ris

n

d

r,

i-

y

e

-

t

,

0

e

d

e

0

e

female virtue. The woman who admits of one familiarity, feldom knows where to stop, or what to refuse; and when the counsels of a great country give way in a single instance,—when they once are inclined to submission, every step accelerates the rapidity of the descent. The ministry themselves, when they framed the speech, did not forse, that they should ever accede to such an accommodation, as they have since advised their master to accept of.

THE King says, The bonour of my crown and the rights of my people are deeply affected. The Spaniard in his reply, says, I give you back possession, but I adhere to my claim of prior right, referving the affertion of it for a more favourable opportunity.

The speech says, I made an immediate demand of satisfaction, and, if that fails, I am prepared to do myself justice. This immediate demand must have been sent to Madrid on the 12th of September, or in a sew days after. It was certainly resused, or evaded, and the King has not done himself justice.—When the first magistrate speaks to the nation, some care should be taken of his apparent veracity.

THE speech proceeds to say, I shall not discontinue my preparations until I have received proper reparation for the injury. If this assurance may

may be relied on, what an enormous expence is entailed, fine die, upon this unhappy country! Restitution of a possession, and reparation of an injury are as different in substance, as they are in language. The very act of restitution may contain, as in this instance it palpably does, a shameful aggravation of the injury. A man of spirit does not measure the degree of an injury by the mere positive damage he has sustained. He considers the principle on which it is founded; he resents the superiority afferted over him; and rejects with indignation the claim of right, which his adversary endeavours to establish, and would force him to acknowledge.

THE motives, on which the Catholic King makes restitution, are, if possible, more infolent and difgraceful to our Sovereign, than even the declaratory condition annexed to it. After taking four months to confider, whether the expedition was undertaken by his own orders or not, he condescends to disavow the enterprize, and to restore the island, -not from any regard to justice; -not from any regard he bears to his Britannic Majesty, but merely from the persuasion, in which he is, of the pacific sentiments of the King of Great Britain .- At this rate, if our King had discovered the spirit of a man,-if he had made a peremptory demand of fatisfaction, the King of Spain would have given him a peremptory this riches been in he attained be attained be attained be attained by the attained by the

thing

AF'

rempto

has the posing been a if Mr. ed dea tution the off court,

IF
ed, the
ticula
upon
of ag
Media
aversi
affairs
of wa
Catho

15

y!

an

are

ay

a

an

iu-

ed.

idm;

ht,

ind

ing

10-

ven

ter

exor

ze,

ard

his

asi-

the

cur

he

on,

pe-

ory

remptory refusal. But why this unseasonable. this ridiculous mention of the King of Great Britain's pacific intentions? Have they ever been in question? Was He the aggressor? Does he attack foreign powers without provocation? Does he even refift, when he is infulted? No, Sir, if any ideas of strife or hostility have entered his royal mind, they have a very different direction. The enemies of England have nothing to fear from them.

AFTER all, Sir, to what kind of disavowal has the King of Spain at last consented? Supposing it made in proper time, it should have been accompanied with instant restitution; and if Mr. Bucarelli acted without orders, he deferv-Now, Sir, instead of immediate restitution, we have a four months negociation, and the officer, whose act is disavowed, returns to court, and is loaded with honours.

If the actual fituation of Europe be confidered, the treachery of the King's fervants, particularly of Lord North, who takes the whole upon himself, will appear in the strongest colours of aggravation. Our allies were masters of the Mediterranean. The King of France's present aversion from war, and the distraction of his affairs are notorious. He is now in a state of war with his people. In vain did the Catholic King folicit him to take part in the

quarrel

quarrel against us. His finances were in the last disorder, and it was probable that his troops might find fufficient employment at home. these circumstances, we might have dictated the law to Spain. There are no terms, to which fhe might not have been compelled to fubmit. At the worst, a war with Spain alone, carries the fairest promise of advantage. One good effect at least would have been immediately produced by it. The defertion of France would have irritated her ally, and in all probability have dissolved the family compact. The scene is now fatally changed. The advantage is thrown The most favourable opportunity is lost. ---Hereafter we shall know the value of it. When the French King is reconciled to his fubjects; when Spain has completed her preparations; when the collected strength of the house of Bourbon attacks us at once, the King himfelf will be able to determine upon the wifdom or imprudence of his present conduct. As far as the probability of argument extends, we may fafely pronounce, that a conjuncture, which threatens the very being of this country, has been wilfully prepared and forwarded by our own ministry. How far the people may be animated to refistance under the present administration, I know not; but this I know with certainty, that, under the present administration, or if any thing like it should continue, it is of

very

of m to was Let us that the reder that a fall verei

I me

* 7 declara by the his lif posed not in fense, of his as any queste ing a " un e pre " le c than f the ft have !

" avo

very little moment whether we are a conquered nation or not *.

the

ops In

the

ich

nit.

ries

boo

ro-

uld

ity

ene

wn oft. it.

ıb-

ra-

use

m-

om

far

we

ch

125

ur

ni-

ra-

er-

n,

of ry

HAVING travelled thus far in the high road of matter of fact, I may now be permitted to wander a little into the field of imagination. Let us banish from our minds the persuasion that these events have really happened in the reign of the best of princes. Let us consider them as nothing more than the materials of a fable, in which we may conceive the Sovereign or some other country to be concerned. I mean to violate all the laws of probability,

* The King's acceptance of the Spanish ambassador's declaration, is drawn up in barbarous French, and figned by the Earl of Rochford. This diplomatic Lord has spent his life in the study and practice of Etiquettes, and is supposed to be a profound master of the ceremonies. I will not infult him by any reference to grammar or common fense, if he were even acquainted with the common forms of his office, I should think him as well qualified for it, as any man in his Majesty's service. - The reader is requested to observe Lord Rochford's method of authenticating a public instrument. " En foi de quoi, moi soussigné, " un des principaux Secretaires d' Etat S. M. B. ai figné la " presente de ma signature ordinaire, et icelle fait apposer " le cachet de nos Armes." In three lines there are no less than feven false concords. But the man does not even know the stile of his office; --- If he had known it, he would have said " nous, soussigné Secretaire d'Etat de S. M. B. " avons figné &c."

when I suppose that this imaginary King, after having voluntarily difgraced himfelf in the eyes of his subjects, might return to a fense of his dishonour; -that he might perceive the snare laid for him by his ministers, and feel a spark of shame kindling in his breast.—The part he must then be obliged to act, would overwhelm him with confusion. To his parliament he must say, I called you together to receive your advice, and have never asked your opinion.—To the merchant, -I have distressed your commerce; I have dragged your seamen cut of your ships, I bave loaded you with a grievous weight of infurances .- To the landholder, -I told you war was too probable, when I was determined to Submit to any terms of accommodation; I extorted new taxes from you before it was possible they could be wanted, and am now unable to account for the application of them .- To the public creditor, --- I have delivered up your fortunes a gray to foreigners and to the wilest of your fellow subjects. Perhaps this repenting Prince might conclude with one general acknowledgment to them all, --- I have involved every rank of my subjects in anxiety and distress, and have nothing to offer you in return, but the certainty of national dishonour, an armed truce, and peace without security.

If these accounts were settled, there would still remain an apology to be made to his navy

and

1

Se

25

le

g

1

fti

di

an

ha

fed

I

ufe

Ki

ho

no

un

the

and to his army. To the first he would say, you were once the terror of the world. But go back to your harbours. A man dispensared, as I am, has no use for your service. It is not probable that he would appear again before his soldiers, even in the pacific ceremony of a review *. But whenever he appeared, the humiliating confession would be extorted from him. I have received a blow,---and had not spirit to resent it. I demanded satisfastion, and have accepted a declaration, in which the right to strike me again is asserted and confirmed. His countenance at least would speak this language, and even his guards would blush for him.

But to return to our argument. The minifity, it seems, are labouring to draw a line of distinction between the honour of the crown and the rights of the people. This new idea has yet been only started in discourse, for in effect both objects have been equally facrificed. I neither understand the distinction, nor what use the ministry propose to make of it. The King's honour is that of his people. Their real honour and real interest are the same. I am not contending for a vain puncilio. A clear, unblemished character comprehends not only

* A Mistake. He appears before them every day, with the mark of a blow upon his face. ______ prob pudor!

d

the integrity that will not offer, but the spirit that will not submit to an injury; and whether it belongs to an individual or to a community, it is the soundation of peace, of independence, and of safety. Private credit is wealth;—public honour is security.—The feather that adorns the royal bird, supports his slight. Strip him of his plumage and you fix him to the earth.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER

SIR,

6. February, 1771.

HOPE your correspondent Junius is better employed than in answering or reading the criticisms of a news-paper. This is a task, from which, if he were inclined to submit to it, his friends ought to relieve him. Upon this principle, I shall undertake to answer Anti-Junius; more, I believe, to his conviction than to his satisfaction. Not daring to attack the main body of Junius's last letter, he triumps in having, as he thinks, surprised an out-post, and cut off a detached argument, a mere straggling proposition. But even in this

petty

m

P

al

h

cr

B

ti

lu

petty warfare, he shall find himself defeated.

JUNIUS does not speak of the Spanish nation as the natural enemies of England. He applies that description with the strictest truth and justice, to the Spanish Court. From the moment, when a Prince of the House of Bourbon ascended that throne, their whole system of government was inverted and became hostile to this country. Unity of possession introduced a unity of politics, and Lewis the sourteenth had reason when he said to his grandson, "The Py-"renees are removed." The history of the present century is one continued confirmation of the prophecy.

THE Affertion "That violence and oppression " at home can only be supported by treachery and " submission abroad," is applied to a free people, whose rights are invaded, not to the government of a country, where despotic, or absolute power is confessedly vested in the prince; and with this application, the affertion is true. An absolute monarch having no points to carry at home, will naturally maintain the honour of his crown in all his transactions with foreign powers. But if we could suppose the Sovereign of a free nation possessed with a design to make himself absolute, he would be inconsistent with himself if

he suffered his projects to be interrupted or embarrafied by a foreign war; unless that war tended, as in some cases it might, to promote his principal defign. Of the three exceptions to this general rule of conduct, (quoted by Anti-Junius) that of Oliver Cromwel is the the only one in point. Harry the Eighth, by the fubmission of his parliament, was as absolute a prince as Lewis the Fourteenth. Queen Elizabeth's government was not oppressive to the people; and as to her foreign wars, it ought to be confidered that they were unavoidable. The national honour was not in question. She was compelled to fight in defence of her own perfon and of her title to the crown. In the common cause of selfish policy, Oliver Cromwel should have cultivated the friendship of foreign powers, or at least have avoided disputes with them, the better to establish his tyranny at home. Had he been only a bad man, he would have facrificed the honour of the nation to the fuccefs of his domestic policy. But, with all his crimes, he had the spirit of an Englishman. The conduct of fuch a man must always be an exception to vulgar rules. He had abilities fufficient to reconcile contradictions, and to make a great nation at the same moment unhappy and formidable. If it were not for the respect I bear the minister, I could name a

b

1

b

t

0

tl

t

man, who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwel.

WHETHER or no there be a fecret fystem in the closet, and what may be the object of it, are questions, which can only be determined by appearances, and on which every man must decide for himself.

The whole plan of Junius's letter proves that he himself makes no distinction between the real honour of the crown and the real interest of the people. In the climax, to which your correspondent objects, Junius adopts the language of the Court, and, by that conformity, gives strength to his argument. He says that, "the King has not only sacrificed the interests of his people, but, (what was likely to touch him more nearly) his personal reputation and the

" dignity of his crown."

THE queries, put by Anti-Junius, can only be answered by the ministry. Abandoned as they are, I fancy they will not confess that they have for so many years, maintained possession of another man's property. After admitting the affertion of the ministry—viz. that the Spaniards had no rightful claim, and after justifying them for saying so;—it is his business, not mine,

104

mine, to give us some good reason for their suffering the pretensions of Spain to be a subject of negociation. He admits the sacts;—let him reconcile them if he can.

THE last paragraph brings us back to the original question, whether the Spanish declaration contains such a satisfaction as the King of Great Britain ought to have accepted. was the field, upon which he ought to have encountered Junius openly and fairly. But here he leaves the argument, as no longer defensible. I shall therefore conclude with one general admonition to my fellow subjects;-that, when they hear these matters debated, they should not fuffer themselves to be misled by general declamations upon the conveniences of peace, or the miferies of war. Between peace and war, abstractedly, there is not, there cannot be a question in the mind of a rational being. real questions are, Have we any security that the peace we have so dearly purchased will last a twelvementh? and, if not,-have we, or have we not, sacrificed the fairest opportunity of making war with advantage?

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET-

ta

ur

an

by

on

I

m

pe

te

qu

on

fe

fp tre

m fee wi

LETTER XLIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR.

22. April 1771.

To write for profit without taxing the press;-to write for fame and to be unknown;-to support the intrigues of faction and to be difowned, as a dangerous auxiliary, by every party in the kingdom, are contradictions, which the minister must reconcile, before I forfeit my credit with the public. I may quit the fervice, but it would be abfurd to suspect me of defertion. The reputation of these papers is an honourable pledge for my attachment to the people. To facrifice a respected character, and to renounce the efteem of fociety, requires more than Mr. Wedderburne's resolution; and though, in him, it was rather a profession than a desertion of his principles, [I fpeak tenderly of this gentleman, for when treachery is in question, I think we should make allowances for a Scotchman,] yet we have feen him in the house of commons overwhelmed with confusion, and almost bereft of his faculties. But in truth, Sir, I have left no room for an accommodation with the piety of St. James's. My offences are not to be redeemed by recantation or repentance. On one fide, our warmest patriots would disclaim me as a burthen to their honest ambition. On the other, the vilest profitution, if Junius could descend to it, would lose its natural merit and influence in the cabinet, and treachery be no longer a recommendation to the royal favour.

THE persons, who, till within these few years, have been most distinguished by their zeal for high church and prerogative, are now, it feems, the great affectors of the privileges of This fudden alteration the house of commons. of their fentiments or language carries with it a suspicious appearance. When I hear the undefined privileges of the popular branch of the legislature exalted by Tories and Jacobites, at the expence of those strict rights, which are known to the subject and limited by the laws, I cannot but suspect, that some mischievous scheme is in agitation, to destroy both law and privilege, by opposing them to each other. They, who have uniformly denied the power of the whole legislature to alter the descent of the crown, and whose ancestors, in rebellion against his Majesty's family, have defended that doctrine at the hazard of their lives, now tell us that privilege of parliament is the only rule of right,

righ dom ther ftan thef noul on.men non fion. be h cern ftart of c ficar kne ing degr exar fas priv on c feaf

that focie mod prej

vent

right, and the chief fecurity of the public freedom .- I fear, Sir, that, while forms remain, there has been some material change in the substance of our constitution. The opinions of these men were too absurd to be so easily renounced. Liberal minds are open to conviction .- Liberal doctrines are capable of improvement.-There are profelites from atheism, but none from superstition. If their present profesfions were fincere, I think they could not but be highly offended at feeing a question, concerning parliamentary privilege, unnecessarily started at a season so unfavourable to the house of commons, and by to very mean and infignificant a person as the minor Onslow. knew that the prefent house of commons, having commenced hostilities with the people, and degraded the authority of the laws by their own example, were likely enough to be refifted, per fas & nefas. If they were really friends to privilege, they would have thought the question of right too dangerous to be hazarded at this feafon, and, without the formality of a convention, would have left it undecided.

I HAVE been filent hitherto, though not from that shameful indifference about the interests of society, which too many of us profess, and call moderation. I confess, Sir, that I selt the prejudices of my education, in savour of a house house of commons, still hanging about me. I thought that a question, between law and privilege, could never be brought to a formal decifion, without inconvenience to the public fervice, or a manifest diminution of legal liberty; -that it ought therefore to be carefully avoided: and when I faw that the violence of the house of commons had carried them too far to retreat, I determined not to deliver a hafty opinion upon a matter of fo much delicacy and importance.

THE state of things is much altered in this country, fince it was necessary to protect our representatives against the direct power of the crown. We have nothing to apprehend from prerogative, but every thing from undue influence. Formerly it was the interest of the people, that the privileges of parliament should be left unlimited and undefined. At present it is not only their interest, but I hold it to be effentially necessary to the preservation of the constitution, that the privileges of parliament should be strictly ascertained, and confined within the narrowest bounds the nature of their institution will admit of. Upon the same principle, on which I would have refifted prerogative in the last century, I now resist privilege. It is indifferent to me, whether the crown, by its own immediate act, imposes new, and dispenses with old laws, or whether the same ar-

bitrary.

bitra

the

truft

their

der :

carry

enem

deav

privi

that

at an

proft prece

To t

are i

righ

no w

the

noth

and

state

On

ble,

lege

pofit

of c

dend

tativ

ture

I ow

bitrary power produces the fame effects through the medium of the house of commons. We trusted our representatives with privileges for their own defence and ours. We cannot hinder their desertion, but we can prevent their carrying over their arms to the service of the enemy .- It will be faid, that I begin with endeavouring to reduce the argument concerning privilege to a mere question of convenience;that I deny at one moment what I would allow at another; and that to refift the power of a profituted house of commons may establish a precedent injurious to all future parliaments .-To this I answer generally, that human affairs are in no instance governed by strict positive right. If change of circumstances were to have no weight in directing our conduct and opinions, the mutual intercourse of mankind would be nothing more than a contention between positive and equitable right. Society would be a state of war, and law itself would be injustice. On this general ground, it is highly reasonable, that the degree of our fubmission to privileges, which have never been defined by any positive law, should be considered as a question of convenience, and proportioned to the confidence we repose in the integrity of our represen-As to the injury we may do to any future and more respectable house of commons, I own I am not now fanguine enough to expect a more a more plentiful harvest of parliamentary virtue in one year than another. Our political climate is feverely altered; and, without dwelling upon the depravity of modern times, I think no reasonable man, will expect that, as human nature is constituted, the enormous influence of the crown should cease to prevail over the virtue of individuals. The mischief lies too deep to be cured by any remedy, less than some great convulsion, which may either carry back the constitution to its original principles, or utterly destroy it. I do not doubt that, in the first fession after the next election, some popular meafures may be adopted. The present house of commons have injured themselves by a too early and public profession of their principles; and if a strain of prostitution, which had no example, were within the reach of emulation, it might be imprudent to hazard the experiment too foon. But after all, Sir, it is very immaterial whether a house of commons shall preserve their virtue for a week, a month, or a year. The influence, which makes a feptennial parliament dependent upon the pleasure of the crown, has a permanent operation, and cannot fail of fuccefs.-My premises, I know, will be denied in argument, but every man's conscience tells him they are true. It remains then to be confidered, whether it be for the interest of the people

peoplin rethere should or we cy o and

Cn voca

crow

" p

ce fr

" ce

" aga

" add

" dor

ee bo

people that privilege of parliament (which, * in respect to the purposes, for which it has hitherto been acquiesced under, is merely nominal) should be contracted within some certain limits, or whether the subject shall be left at the mercy of a power, arbitrary upon the face of it, and notoriously under the direction of the crown.

I no not mean to decline the question of right. On the contrary, Sir, I join issue with the advocates for privilege and affirm, that, "excepting the cases, wherein the house of commons are a court of judicature, [to which,
from the nature of their office, a coercive
power must belong] and excepting such contempts as immediately interrupt their proceedings, they have no legal authority to
imprison any man for any supposed violation
of

^{* &}quot;The necessity of securing the house of commons against the King's power, so that no interruption might be given either to the attendance of the members in parliment, or to the freedom of debate, was the soundation of parliamentary privilege; and we may observe, in all the addresses of new appointed Speakers to the Sovereign, the utmost privilege they demand is liberty of speech and free-dom from arrests. The very word privilege, means ne more than immunity, or a safeguard to the party who possesses it, and can never be construed into an active power of invading the rights of others."

n

ft

n

to

b

re

hi

pi

th

fo

ho

I

pe

W

m

fre

za

pr

ho

In

kn

th

ne

OW

tit

ab

an

lay

" of privilege whatfoever."-It is not pretended that privilege, as now claimed, has ever been defined or confirmed by statute; neither can it be faid, with any colour of truth, to be a part of the common law of England, which had grown into prescription, long before we knew any thing of the existence of a house of commons. As for the law of parliament it is only another name for the privilege in question; and fince the power of creating new privileges has been formally renounced by both houses,fince there is no code, in which we can fludy the law of parliament, we have but one way left to make ourselves acquainted with it;that is, to compare the nature of the institution of a house of commons, with the facts upon record. To establish a claim of privilege in either house, and to distinguish original right from usurpation, it must appear that it is indispensably necessary for the performance of the duty they are employed in, and also that it has been uniformly allowed. From the first part of this description it follows clearly, that whatever privilege does of right belong to the present house of commons, did equally belong to the first assembly of their predecessors, was as completely vested in them, and might have been exercifed in the fame extent. From the fecond we must infer that privileges, which for several centuries, were not only never allowed, but never

never even claimed by the house of commons, must be founded upon usurpation. The conflitutional duties of a house of commons, are not very complicated nor mysterious. They are to propose or assent to wholesome laws for the benefit of the nation. They are to grant the necessary aids to the King ;-petition for the redrefs of grievancess, and profecute treason or high crimes against the state. If unlimited privilege be necessary to the performance of these duties, we have reason to conclude that, for many centuries after the institution of the house of commons, they were never performed. I am not bound to prove a negative, but I appeal to the English history when I affirm that, with the exceptions already stated, (which yet I might fafely relinquish) there is no precedent, from the year 1265 to the death of Queen Elizabeth, of the house of commons having imprisoned any man (not a member of their house) for contempt or breach of privilege. In the most flagrant cases, and when their acknowledged privileges were most grossly violated, the poor Commons, as they then stiled themselves, never took the power of punishment into their own hands. They either fought redrefs by petition to the King, or, what is more remarkable, applied for justice to the house of lords; and when fatisfaction was denied them or delayed, their only remedy was to refuse proceeding Vol. II.

ing upon the King's business. So little conception had our ancestors of the monstrous doctrines, now maintained concerning privilege, that, in the reign of Elizabeth, even liberty of speech, the vital principle of a deliberative assembly, was restrained, by the Queen's authority, to a simple aye or no, and this restriction, though imposed upon three successive parliaments, * was never once disputed by the house of commons.

I know there are many precedents of arbitrary commitments for contempt. But, befides that they are of too modern a date to warrant a prefumption that fuch a power was originally vested in the house of commons,-Fast alone does not conflitute Right. If it does, general warrants were lawful .- An ordinance of the two houses has a force equal to law; and the criminal jurisdiction assumed by the Commons in 1621, in the case of Edward Loyd is a good precedent, to warrant the like proceedings against any man, who shall unadvisedly mention the folly of a King, or the ambition of a Princefs.—The truth is, Sir, that the greatest and most exceptionable part of the privileges now contended for, were introduced and afferted by a house of commons which abolished both monarchy

la

a

C

n Si

pa

ap ill

la

W

ed

th

^{*} In the years 1593--- 1597--- and 1601.

commons?

monarchy and peerage, and whose proceedings, although they ended in one glorious act of fubfantial justice, could no way be reconciled to the forms of the constitution. Their successors profited by the example, and confirmed their power by a moderate or a popular use of it. Thus it grew by degrees, from a notorious innovation at one period, to be tacitly admitted as the privilege of parliament at another.

IF however it could be proved, from confiderations of necessity or convenience, that an unlimited power of commitment ought to be intrusted to the house of commons, and that in fatt they have exercised it without opposition, still, in contemplation of law, the presumption is strongly against them. It is a leading maxim of the laws of England (and, without it, all laws are nugatory) that there is no right without a remedy, nor any legal power without a legal course to carry it into effect. Let the power, now in question, be tried by this rule. Speaker issues his warrant of attachment. party attached either refifts force with force, or appeals to a magistrate, who declares the warrant illegal, and discharges the prisoner. Does the law provide no legal means for inforcing a legal warrant? Is there no regular proceeding pointed out in our law books to affert and vindicate the authority of fo high a court as the house of G 2

1

a

st

es

t-

h

y

commons? The question is answered directly by the fact. Their unlawful commands are refisted, and they have no remedy. The imprisonment of their own members is revenge indeed, but it is no affertion of the privilege they contend for. * Their whole proceeding stops, and there they stand, ashamed to retreat, and unable to advance. Sir, these ignorant men should be informed that the execution of the laws of England is not left in this uncertain, defenceless condition. If the process of the courts of Westminster-hall be resisted, they have a direct course, sufficient to inforce submission. The court of King's Bench commands the Sheriff to raise the posse comitatus. The courts of Chancery and Exchequer iffue a writ of rebellion, which must also be supported, if necessary, by the power of the county.-To whom will our honest representatives direct their writ of rebellion? The guards, I doubt not, are willing enough to be employed, but they know nothing of the doctrine of writs, and may think it necessary to wait for a letter from Lord Barrington.

IT

te

W

"

" j

"

" t

^{*} Upon their own principles, they should have committed Mr. Wilkes, who had been guilty of a greater offence than even the Lord-Mayor or Alderman Oliver. But after repeatedly ordering him to attend, they at last adjourned beyond the day appointed for his attendance, and by this mean, pitiful evasion, gave up the point.---Such is the force of conscious guilt!

IT may now be objected to me, that my arguments prove too much; for that certainly there may be instances of contempt and insult to the house of commons, which do not fall within my own exceptions, yet, in regard to the dignity of the house, ought not to pass unpunished. Be it fo .- The courts of criminal jurisdiction are open to profecutions, which the Attorney General may commence by information or indictment. A libel, tending to asperse or vilify the house of commons, or any of their members, may be as feverely punished in the court of King's-bench, as a libel upon the King. Mr. De Grey thought fo, when he drew up the information upon my letter to his Majesty, or he had no meaning in charging it to be a fcandalous libel upon the house of commons. In my opinion, they would confult their real dignity much better by appealing to the laws when they are offended, than by violating the first principle of natural justice, which forbids us to be judges, when we are parties to the cause. *

IDO

d

2-

2-

n,

of

^{* &}quot;If it be demanded, in case a subject should be com"mitted by either house, for a matter manifestly out of their
"jurisdiction, what remedy can be have? I answer, that it
"cannot well be imagined that the law, which savours no"thing more than the liberty of the subject, should give us
"a remedy

I no not mean to pursue them through the remainder of their proceedings. In their first resolutions, it is possible they might have been deceived by ill-considered precedents. For the rest, there is no colour of palliation or excuse. They have advised the King to resume a power of dispensing with the laws by royal proclamation; * and Kings we see are ready enough to follow such advice. By mere violence, and without the shadow of right, they have expunged the

"a remedy against commitments by the King himself, ap"pearing to be illegal, and yet give us no manner of re"dress against a commitment by our fellow subjects, equally
"appearing to be unwarranted. But as this is a case, which
"I am persuaded will never happen, it seems needless over
"nicely to examine it.---Harvkins 2. 110."—N. B. He
was a good larvyer, but no prophet.

K

fi

r

ju

* That their practice might be every way conformable to their principles, the house proceeded to advise the crown to publish a proclamation universally acknowledged to be illegal. Mr. Moreton publicly protested against it before it was issued; and Lord Manssield, though not scrupulous to an extreme, speaks of it with horror. It is remarkable enough that the very men, who advised the proclamation, and who hear it arraigned every day both within doors and without, are not daring enough to utter one word in its defence, nor have they ventured to take the least notice of Mr. Wilkes for discharging the persons apprehended under it.

the record of a judicial proceeding. * Nothing remained, but to attribute to their own vote a power of stopping the whole distribution of criminal and civil justice.

THE public virtues of the chief magistrate have long fince ceased to be in question. But it is faid that he has private good qualities, and I myfelf have been ready to acknowledge them. They are now brought to the test. If he loves his people, he will dissolve a parliament, which they can never confide in or respect.-If he has any regard for his own honour, he will disdain to be any longer connected with fuch abandoned prostitution. But if it were conceivable, that a King of this country had loft all fense of personal honour, and all concern for the welfare of his fubjects, I confess, Sir, I should be contented to renounce the forms of the constitution once more, if there were no other way to obtain substantial justice for the people. †

JUNIUS.

^{*} Lord Chatham very properly called this the act of a mob, not of a senate.

[†] When Mr. Wilkes was to be punished, they made no fcruple about the privileges of parliament; and although it was as well known as any matter of public record and uninterrupted

terrupted custom could be, that the members of either bouse are privileged except in case of treason, felony, or breach of peace, they declared without hefitation that privilege of parliament did not extend to the case of a seditious libel; and undoubtedly they would have done the fame, if Mr. Wilkes had been profecuted for any other misdemeanor whatsoever. ministry are of a sudden grown wonderfully careful of privileges, which their predecessors were as ready to invade. The known laws of the land, the rights of the subject, the fanctity of charters, and the reverence due to our magistrates, must all give way, without question or resistance, to a privilege of which no man knows either the origin or the extent. The house of commons judge of their own privileges without appeal :--- they may take offence at the most innocent action, and imprison the person who offends them, during their arbitrary will and pleafure. The party has no remedy ; --- he cannot appeal from their jurisdiction; and if he questions the privilege, which he is supposed to have violated, it becomes an aggravation of his offence. this doctrine is not to be found in Magna Charta. If it be admitted without limitation, I affirm that there is neither law nor liberty in this kingdom. We are the flaves of the house of commons, and, through them, we are the flaves of the King and his ministers. Anonymous.

LET.

ta

th

pa

ev

to

po in

ne bl

fol bu

LETTER XLV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR.

1. May, 1771.

THEY, who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter, either do not mean him fairly, or have not confidered the general scope and course of his argument. There are degrees in all the private vices .--Why not in public profitution ?- The influence of the crown naturally makes a feptennial parliament dependent .- Does it follow that every house of commons will plunge at once into the lowest depths of prostitution? - Junius supposes that the present house of commons, in going fuch enormous lengths, have been imprudent to themselves, as well as wicked to the public; -that their example is not within the reach of emulation; -and that in the first fession after the next election, some popular measures may probably be adopted. He does not expect that a diffolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but that at least it will be a check and terror to their

their fuccessors, who will have seen that, in flagrant cases, their constituents can and will interterpose with effect .- After all, Sir, will you not endeavour to remove or alleviate the most dangerous symptoms, because you cannot eradicate the disease? Will you not punish treason or parricide, because the fight of a gibbet does not prevent highway robberies? When the main argument of Junius is admitted to be unanswerable. I think it would become the minor critic, who hunts for blemishes, to be a little more distrustful of his own fagacity. - The other objection is hardly worth an answer. When Junius observes that Kings are ready enough to follow fuch advice, he does not mean to infinuate that, if the advice of parliament were good, the King would be fo ready to follow it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET-

ADD

S

upo

state supp

frau

" be

" hi

LETTER XLVI.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUB-

SIR,

22. May, 1771.

VERY early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election. it was well observed by Junius, that the house of commons had not only exceeded their boafted precedent of the expulsion and subsequent incapacitation of Mr. Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr. Dyson of giving a false quotation from the journals, and having explained the purpose, which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself, by which Mr. Walpole's supposed incapacity was declared, -- viz. " Re-"folved, That Robert Walpole, Efq; having " been this fession of parliament committed a " prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this house " for a high breach of trust in the execution of "his office, and notorious corruption when fe-" cretary at war, was and is incapable of being " elected a member to serve in this present parliament."

" liament,"—And then observes that, from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex the incapacitation to the expulsion only, for that, as the proposition stands, it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to the Tower. I believe, Sir, no man, who knows any thing of dialectics, or who understands English, will dispute the truth and fairness of this construction. But Junius has a great authority to support him, which, to speak with the Duke of Grafton, I accidentally met with this morning in the course of my reading. It contains an admonition, which cannot be repeated too often. Lord Sommers, in his excellent tract upon the rights of the people, after reciting the votes of the convention, of the 28th of January, 1689, viz .- " That King James "the Second having endeavoured to subvert " the constitution of this kingdom by breaking " the original contract between King and peo-" ple; and by the advice of jesuits and other "wicked persons having violated the fun-" damental laws, and having withdrawn him-" felf out of this kingdom, hath abdicated "the government, &c."-makes this observa-"The word abdicated relates tion upon it. " to all the clauses aforegoing, as well as to his " deferting the kingdom, or else they would " have been wholly in vain." And that there might be no pretence for confining the abdication merely

fai to bei

it.

M

ca

m be of

46

VC

lic T ed to

w af

co

n

merely to the withdrawing, Lord Sommers farther observes, That King James, by refusing to govern us according to that law, by which he held the crown, did implicitly renounce his title to it.

IF Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walpole be now admitted, (and indeed I cannot comprehend how it can honestly be disputed) the advocates of the house of commons must either give up their precedent entirely, or be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the grossest absurdaties imaginable, viz. "That a commitment to the Tower is a con"fituent part of, and contributes half at least "to the incapacitation of the person who "fuffers it."

t

S

r

d

e

I NEED not make you any excuse for endeavouring to keep alive the attention of the public to the decision of the Middlesex election. The more I consider it, the more I am convinced that, as a fact, it is indeed highly injurious to the rights of the people; but that, as a precedent, it is one of the most dangerous that ever was established against those who are to come after us. Yet I am so far a moderate man, that I verily believe the majority of the house of commons, when they passed this dangerous vote, neither understood the question, nor knew the consequence

consequence of what they were doing. Their motives were rather despicable than criminal in the extreme. One effect they certainly did not foresee. They are now reduced to such a situation, that if a member of the present house of commons were to conduct himself ever so improperly, and in reality deserve to be fent back to his conflituents with a mark of difgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people, in order to try again the great question of right, or to thwart an odious house of commons, would probably overlook his immediate unworthiness, and return the same person to parliament.-But, in time, the precedent will gain strength. A future house of commons will have no fuch apprehensions, confequently will not fcruple to follow a precedent, which they did not establish. The mifer himself seldom lives to enjoy the fruit of his extortion; but his heir succeeds to him of course, and takes possession without censure. No man expects him to make restitution, and no matter for his title, he lives quietly upon the eftate.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET-

Fu

ing

lic,

laid

er (

ten

pec

lege

the

this

int

pag

full

Att

" lo

" a " ii

LETTER XLVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-TISER.

SIR,

25. May 1771.

CONFESS my partiality to Junius, and feel a considerable pleasure in being able to communicate any thing to the public, in support of his opinions. The doctrine, laid down in his last letter, concerning the power of the house of commons to commit for contempt, is not fo new as it appeared to many people, who, dazzled with the name of privilege, had never fuffered themselves to examine the question fairly. In the course of my reading this morning, I met with the following passage in the journals of the house of commons. (Vol. 1st. page 603.) Upon occasion of a jurisdiction unlawfully assumed by the house in the year 1621, Mr. Attorney-General Noye gave his opinion as fol-"lows. No doubt but, in some cases, this house " may give judgment; -in matters of returns, " and concerning members of our house, or fall-" ing out in our view in parliament; but, for " foreign matters, knoweth not how we can " judge it.—Knoweth not that we have been used to give judgment in any case, but those beforementioned."

SIR Edward Coke, upon the same subject, says, (page 604) "No question but this is a "house of record, and that it hath power of judicature in some cases;—have power to judge of returns and members of our house; one, no member, offending out of the parsiliament, when he came hither and justified it, "was censured for it."

Now, Sir, if you will compare the opinion of these great sages of the law with Junius's doctrine, you will find they tally exactly.— He allows the power of the house to commit their own members; (which however they may grossly abuse.) He allows their power in cases where they are acting as a court of judicature, viz. elections, returns, &c.—and he allows it in such contempts as immediately interrupt their proceedings, or, as Mr. Noye expresses it, falling out in their view in parliament.

ve

pa

le

or me ha

in

gu

THEY, who would carry the privileges of parliament farther than Junius, either do not mean well to the public, or know not what they are doing. The government of England

land is a government of law. We betray ourselves, we contradict the spirit of our laws, and we shake the whole system of English jurisprudence, whenever we entrust a discretionary power over the life, liberty, or fortune of the subject, to any man, or set of men whatsoever, upon a presumption that it will not be abused.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

0

it

y

er

of id

ly

ve

r-

of

ot

at

gnd 28. May 1771.

A NY man, who takes the trouble of perusing the journals of the house of commons, will soon be convinced, that very little, if any regard at all, ought to be paid to the resolutions of one branch of the legislature, declaratory of the law of the land, or even of what they call the law of parliament. It will appear that these resolutions have no one of the properties, by which, in this country, particularly, law is distinguished from mere will and pleasure; but that, Vol. II.

on the contrary they bear every mark of a power arbitrarily assumed and capriciously applied: -That they are usually made in times of contest, and to serve some unworthy purpose of pasfion or party;—that the law is feldom declared until after the fact, by which it is supposed to be violated; -that legislation and jurisdiction are united in the same persons, and exercised at the fame moment; -and that a court, from which there is no appeal, assumes an original jurisdiction in a criminal case; -in short, Sir, to collect a thousand absurdities into one mass, "we have a law, which cannot be known be-" cause it is ex post facto, the party is both le-" gislator and judge, and the jurisdiction is "without appeal." Well might the judges fay, The law of parliament is above us.

You will not wonder, Sir, that, with these qualifications, the declaratory refolutions of the house of commons should appear to be in perpetual contradiction, not only to common fense and to the laws we are acquainted with, (and which alone we can obey) but even to one another. I was led to trouble you with these observations by a passage, which, to speak in lutestring, I met with this morning in the course of my reading, and upon which I mean to put a question to the advocates for privilege. -On the 8th of March 1701, (vide Journals, Vol. 14.

p. 565.)

66

66

. 66

lav

lazu

my l

very it be

ena&t

above

3d of

" Co " ma

" reft

es cil,

p. 565.) the house thought proper to come to the following resolutions.—1. "That no com"moner of England, committed by the house
"of commons for breach of privilege or con"tempt of that house, ought to be, by any
"writ of Habeas Corpus, made to appear in
"any other place, or before any other judica"ture, during that session of parliament, where"in such person was so committed."

2. "THAT the Serjeant at Arms, attend"ing this house do make no return of or yield
"any obedience to the said writs of Habeas
"Corpus, and for such his resusal, that he have
"the protection of the house of commons." *

Welbore Ellis, What fay you? Is this the law of parliament or is it not? I am a plain H 2 man,

* If there be in reality any such law in England, as the law of parliament, which, (under the exceptions stated in my letter on privilege) I confess, after long deliberation, I very much doubt, it certainly is not constituted by, nor can it be collected from the resolutions of either house, whether enasting or declaratory. I desire the reader will compare the above resolution of the year 1704, with the following of the 3d of April, 1628.—"Resolved, That the writ of Habeas "Corpus cannot be denied, but ought to be granted to every "man, that is committed or detained in prison, or otherwise "restrained, by the command of the King, the Privy Council, or any other, he praying the same."

e

man, Sir, and cannot follow you through the phlegmatic forms of an oration. Speak out, Grildrig,-fay yes, or no.-If you fay yes, I shall then inquire by what authority Mr. De Grey, the honest Lord Mansfield, and the Barons of the Exchequer, dared to grant a writ of Habeas Corpus for bringing the bodies of the Lord Mayor and Mr. Oliver before them, and why the Lieutenant of the Tower made any return to a writ, which the house of commons had, in a fimilar instance, declared to be unlawful.-If you fay no, take care you do not at once give up the cause, in support of which you have fo long and fo laboriously tortured your understanding. Take care you do not confess that there is no test by which we can distinguish,-no evidence by which we can determine what is, and what is not, the law of par-The resolutions I have quoted stand liament, upon your journals, uncontroverted and unrepealed; -they contain a declaration of the law of parliament by a court, competent to the queftion, and whose decision, as you and Lord Mansfield say, must be law, because there is no appeal from it, and they were made, not haftily, but after long deliberation upon a constituonal question.-What farther fanction or folemnity will you annex to any refolution of the present house of commons, beyond what ap-

pears

pe

leg

tha

Qu

pro

obli

agre

the

ly.

are i

have

mon

leges

10, C

you c

that

quent

the 1

urged

advise

ful not

constitu

Queen

her pi

whofe

assemb

membe

reason.

pears upon the face of those two resolutions, the legality of which you now deny? If you fay that parliaments are not infallible, and that Queen Anne, in consequence of the violent proceedings of that house of commons, was obliged to prorogue and dissolve them, I shall agree with you very heartily, and think that the precedent ought to be followed immediately. But you, Mr. Ellis, who hold this language, are inconfistent with your own principles. You have hitherto maintained that the house of commons are the fole judges of their own privileges, and that their declaration does, ipso facto, constitute the law of parliament; yet now you confess that parliaments are fallible, and that their refolutions may be illegal, confequently that their resolutions do not constitute the law of parliament. When the King was urged to dissolve the present parliament, you advised him to tell his subjects, that he was careful not to assume any of those powers, which the constitution had placed in other hands, &c. Yet Queen Anne, it seems, was justified in exerting her prerogative to stop a house of commons, whose proceedings, compared with those of the affembly, of which you are a most worthy member, were the perfection of justice and reason. .

N

d

0

1-

1-

)-

rs

In what a labyrinth of nonsense does a man involve himself who labours to maintain falsehood by argument? How much better would it become the dignity of the house of commons to speak plainly to the people, and tell us at once, that their will must be obeyed, not because it is lawful and reasonable, but because it is their will. Their constituents would have a better opinion of their candour, and, I promise you, not a worse opinion of their integrity.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLIX.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD, 22. June 1771.

THE profound respect I bear to the gracious Prince, who governs this country with no less honour to himself than satisfaction to his subjects, and who restores you to your rank under his standard, will save you from a multitude of reproaches. The attention I should have paid to your failings is involuntarily attracted to the hand that rewards them;

fron I fion

ties

W

y

Y

th

th

ha no it v

had

tha

be a

ten

able

them; and though I am not fo partial to the royal judgment, as to affirm, that the favour of a King can remove mountains of infamy, it ferves to lessen at least, for undoubtedly it divides the burthen. While I remember how much is due to bis facred character, I cannot, with any decent appearance of propriety, call you the meanest and the basest fellow in the kingdom. I protest, my Lord, I do not think you fo. You will have a dangerous rival, in that kind of fame to which you have hitherto fo happily directed your ambition, as long as there is one man living, who thinks you worthy of his confidence, and fit to be trufted with any share in his government. I confess you have great intrinsic merit; but take care you do not value it too highly. Confider how much of it would have been loft to the world, if the King had not graciously affixed his stamp, and given it currency among his fubjects. If it be true that a virtuous man, struggling with adversity, be a scene worthy of the gods, the glorious contention, between you and the best of Princes. deserves a circle, equally attentive and respect. able. I think I already fee other gods rifing from the earth to behold it.

1.

nis

an

res

ave

s is

ards

m;

Bur this language is too mild for the occafion. The King is determined, that our abilities shall not be lost to society. The perpetration.

th

ou

th

na

er in

ful

tre

ry

thi

ab

of

of

per

nec

frie

felli

Cha

ard

don

stan

plea

you

Wil

pow righ

tion and description of new crimes will find employment for us both. My Lord, if the perfons, who have been loudest in their professions of patriotism, had done their duty to the public with the fame zeal and perseverance that I did, I will not affert that government would have recovered its dignity, but at least our gracious Sovereign must have spared his subjects this last infult *, which, if there be any feeling left among us, they will refent more than even the real injuries they received from every meafure of your Grace's administration. In vain would he have looked round him for another character fo confummate as yours. Lord Manffield shrinks from his principles; -his ideas of government perhaps go farther than your own, but his heart difgraces the theory of his understanding .- Charles Fox is yet in bloffem; and as for Mr. Wedderburne, there is fomething about him, which even treachery cannot trust. For the present therefore, the best of Princes must have contented himself with Lord Sandwich .- You would long fince have received your final difmission and reward; and I, my Lord, who do not esteem you the more for the high office you possess, would willingly have followed you to your retirement. There is furely fomething

^{*} The Duke was lately appointed Lord Privy Seal.

thing fingularly benevolent in the character of our Sovereign. From the moment he ascended the throne, there is no crime, of which human nature is capable, (and I call upon the Recorder to witness it) that has not apeared venial in his fight. With any other Prince, the shameful desertion of him, in the midst of that diftress, which you alone had created, -in the very crifis of danger, when he fancied he faw the throne already furrounded by men of virtue and abilities, would have outweighed the memory of your former fervices. But his Majesty is full of juffice, and understands the doctrine of compensations. He remembers with gratitude how foon you had accommodated your morals to the necessity of his service; -how cheerfully you had abandoned the engagements of private friendship, and renounced the most solemn professions to the public. The facrifice of Lord Chatham was not loft upon him. Even the cowardice and perfidy of deferting him may have done you no differvice in his efteem. The instance was painful, but the principle might pleafe.

You did not neglect the magistrate, while you flattered the man. The expulsion of Mr. Wilkes predetermined in the cabinet;—the power of depriving the subject of his birthright, attributed to a resolution of one branch

lie

no

L

Y

its

Lo

at

fo

tra

Y

an

lic

pe.

in

aq

no

clo

of

my

prec

hur

few

And

the legislature; -- the constitution impudently invaded by the house of commons; -the right of defending it treacherously renounced by the house of lords .- These are the strokes, my Lord, which, in the present reign, recommend to office, and constitute a minister. They would have determined your Sovereign's judgment, if they had made no impression upon his heart.— We need not look for any other species of merit to account for his taking the earliest opportunity to recal you to his councils. Yet you have other merit in abundance. --- Mr. Hine, --- the Duke of Portland,—and Mr. Yorke.---Breach of truft, robbery, and murder. You would think it a compliment to your gallantry, if I added rape to the catalogue; ---- but the file of your amours fecures you from refistance. I know how well these several charges have been defended. In the first instance, the breach of trust is supposed to have been its own reward. Mr. Bradshaw affirms upon his honour, (and fo may the gift of smiling never depart from him!) that you referved no part of Mr. Hine's purchase-money for your own use, but that every shilling of it was scrupulously paid to governor Burgoyne .-- Make hafte, my Lord,another patent, applied in time, may keep the OAKS * in the family. If not, Birnham Wood, I fear, must come to the Macaroni.

^{*} A superb villa of Col. Burgoyne, about this time advertised for sale.

THE Duke of Portland was in life your earliest friend. In defence of his property he had nothing to plead, but equity against Sir James Lowther, and prescription against the crown. You selt for your friend; but the law must take its course. Posterity will scarce believe that Lord Bute's son-in-law had barely interest enough at the treasury to get his grant completed before the general election *.

ENOUGH has been said of that detestable transaction, which ended in the death of Mr. Yorke,---I cannot speak of it without horror and compassion. To excuse yourself, you publicly impeach your accomplice, and to bis mind perhaps the accusation may be stattery. But in murder you are both principals. It was once a question of emulation, and if the event had not disappointed the immediate schemes of the closet, it might still have been a hopeful subject of jest and merriment between you.

I

n

d

n,s

2-

)-

ne

d,

E

er-

This letter, my Lord, is only a preface to my future correspondence. The remainder of the fummer

^{*} It will appear by a subsequent letter, that the Duke's precipitation, proved fatal to the grant. It looks like the hurry and confusion of a young highwayman, who takes a few shillings, but leaves the purse and watch behind him. And yet the Duke was an old offender!

fummer shall be dedicated to your amusement. I mean now and then to relieve the severity of your morning studies, and to prepare you for the business of the day. Without pretending to more than Mr. Bradshaw's sincerity, you may rely upon my attachment, as long as you are in office.

WILL your Grace forgive me, if I venture to express some anxiety for a man, whom I know you do not love? My Lord Weymouth has cowardice to plead, and a desertion of a later date than your own. You know the privy seal was intended for him; and if you consider the dignity of the post he deserted, you will hardly think it decent to quarter him on Mr. Rigby. Yet he must have bread, my Lord; or rather he must have wine. If you deny him the cup, there will be no keeping him within the pale of the ministry.

JUNIUS.

LETTER

Λ

Gr

Mr I fp fom hav not cause while leav

lude even on a abar

* Treas

theriff

LETTER L.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

9. July, 1771.

HE influence of your Grace's fortune still seems to preside over the treafury .- The genius of Mr. Bradshaw inspires Mr. Robinson. * How remarkable it is, (and I speak of it not as matter of reproach, but as fomething peculiar to your character) that you have never yet formed a friendship, which has not been fatal to the object of it, nor adopted a cause, to which, one way or other, you have not done mischief Your attachment is infamy while it lasts, and, which ever way it turns, leaves ruin and difgrace behind it. The deluded girl, who yields to fuch a profligate, even while he is constant, forfeits her reputation as well as her innocence, and finds herfelf abandoned at last to misery and shame .- Thus

^{*} By an intercepted Letter from the Secretary of the Treasury it appeared, that the friends of government were to be very active in supporting the ministerial nomination of sheriffs.

it happened with the best of Princes. Poor Dingley too!—I protest I hardly know which of them we ought most to lament;—The unhappy man, who sinks under the sense of his dishonour, or him who survives it. Characters, so sinished, are placed beyond the reach of panegyric. Death has fixed his seal upon Dingley, and you, my Lord, have set your mark upon the other.

THE only letter I ever addressed to the King was fo unkindly received, that I believe I shall never prefume to trouble his Majesty, in that way, again. But my zeal for his fervice is fuperior to neglect, and like Mr. Wilkes's patriotism, thrives by persecution. Yet his Majesty is much addicted to useful reading, and, if I am not ill-informed, has honoured the Public Advertiser with particular attention. I have endeavoured therefore, and not without fuccess, (as perhaps you may remember) to furnish it with such interesting and edifying intelligence, as probably would not reach him through any other channel. The fervices you have done the nation, -your integrity in office, and fignal fidelity to your approved good mafter, have been faithfully recorded. Nor have his own virtues been intirely neglected. Thefe letters, my Lord, are read in other countries and , in other languages; and I think I may affirm without with
the l
feetl
unde
fpeet
head
feem
of th
expo

at de

Y the o omir Wne he n alon mali cils. Will fenta in o fhrie cerne cess, ment own Mak

mini

without vanity, that the gracious character of the best of Princes is by this time not only perfectly known to his subjects, but tolerably well understood by the rest of Europe. In this respect alone, I have the advantage of Mr. Whitehead. His plan, I think, is too narrow. He seems to manufacture his verses for the sole use of the hero, who is supposed to be the subject of them, and, that his meaning may not be exported in foreign bottoms, sets all translation at defiance.

ì

t

1-

1-

1,

16

I

ut

to

n-

im

ou

ce,

er,

his

et-

ind

rm

nout

Your Grace's re-appointment to a feat in the cabinet was announced to the public by the ominous return of Lord Bute to this country. When that noxious planet approaches England, he never fails to bring plague and pestilence along with him. The King already feels the malignant effect of your influence over his coun-Your former administration made Mr. Wilkes an Alderman of London, and Reprefentative of Middlesex. Your next appearance in office is marked with his election to the shrievalty. In whatever measure you are concerned, you are not only disappointed of succefs, but always contrive to make the government of the best of Princes contemptible in his own eyes, and ridiculous to the whole world. Making all due allowance for the effect of the minister's declared interposition, Mr. Robinfon's

son's activity, and Mr. Horne's new zeal in support of administration, we still want the genius of the Duke of Grafton to account for committing the whole interest of government in the city, to the conduct of Mr. Harley. I will not bear hard upon your faithful friend and emissary Mr. Touchet, for I know the difficulties of his fituation, and that a few lottery tickets are of use to his economy. There is a proverb concerning persons in the predicament of this gentleman, which however cannot be strictly applied to him. They commence dupes, and finish knaves. Now Mr. Touchet's character is uniform. I am convinced that his fentiments never depended upon his circumstances, and that, in the most prosperous state of his fortune, he was always the very man he is at present.—But was there no other person of rank and consequence in the city, whom government could confide in, but a notorious Jacobite? Did you imagine that the whole body of the Dissenters, that the whole Whig-interest of London would attend at the levy, and submit to the directions of a notorious Jacobite? Was there no Whig magistrate in the city, to whom the fervants of George the Third could intrust the management of a business, so very interesting to their master as the election of sheriffs? Is there no room at St. James's, but for Scotchmen and Jacobites? My

go pp tid the bee are min rei am woo thee the

my dile tura The Seco

of

the

the ty o

Horn rial his p

V

in

e-

1-

si

ot

ry

115

of

11-

n-

ed

es.

am

led

cft

avs

ere

111

in,

hat

ole

the

ori-

in

ufi-

the St.

es? My

My Lord, I do not mean to question the fincerity of Mr. Harley's attachment to his Majesty's government. Since the commencement of the present reign, I have seen still greater contradictions reconciled. The principles of these worthy Jacobites, are not fo abfurd, as they have been represented. Their ideas of divine right are not fo much annexed to the person or family, as to the political character of the Sovereign. Had there ever been an honest man among the Stuarts, his Majesty's present friends would have been Whigs upon principle. the conversion of the best of Princes has removed their scruples. They have forgiven him the fins of his Hanoverian ancestors, and acknowledge the hand of providence in the descent of the crown upon the head of a true Stuart. In you, my Lord, they also behold, with a kind of predilection, which borders upon loyalty, the natural representative of that illustrious family. The mode of your descent from Charles the Second is only a bar to your pretentions to the crown, and no way interrupts the regularity of your succession to all the virtues of the Stuarts.

THE unfortunate success of the reverend Mr. Horne's endeavours, in support of the ministerial nomination of sheriffs, will I fear obstruct his preferment. Permit me to recommend him Vol. II.

to your Grace's protection. You will find him copiously gifted with those qualities of the heart, which usually direct you in the choice of your friendships. He too was Mr. Wilkes's friend, and as incapable as you are of the liberal resentment of a gentleman. No, my Lord,—it was the solitary, vindictive malice of a monk, brooding over the infirmities of his friend, until he thought they quickened into public life; and seasting with a rancorous rapture, upon the fordid catalogue of his distresses. Now, let him go back to his cloister. The church is a proper retreat for him. In his principles he is already a Bishop.

The mention of this man has moved me from my natural moderation. Let me return to your Grace. You are the pillow, upon which I am determined to rest all my resentments. What idea can the best of Sovereigns form to himself of his own government?—in what repute can he conceive that he stands with his people, when he sees, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that, whatever be the office, the suspicion of his favour is fatal to the candidate, and that, when the party he wishes well to has the fairest prospect of success, if his royal inclination should unfortunately be discovered, it drops like an acid, and turns the election. This event, among others, may perhaps contri-

bute

bi

ho

in

ve

ty

de

be

his

gedy

fam

odds

thei

that

for t

cloth

dily

for n

bute to open his Majesty's eyes to his real honour and interest. In spite of all your Grace's ingenuity, he may at last perceive the inconvenience of selecting, with such a curious selicity, every villain in the nation to fill the various departments of his government. Yet I should be forry to confine him in the choice either of his footmen or his friends.

JUNIUS ..

LETTER LI.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

e

S

is

pis.

115

mee

on

ntgns

-in

ith

lity

the

ate,

has

in-

, it

ion.

itri-

bute

13. July, 1771-

FARCE, Comedy, and Tragedy,—Wilkes, Foote, and Junius, united at the fame time, against one poor Parson, are fearful odds. The two former are only labouring in their vocation, and may equally plead in excuse, that their aim is a livelihood. I admit the plea for the second; his is an honest calling, and my clothes were lawful game; but I cannot so readily approve Mr. Wilkes, or commend him for making patriotism a trade, and a fraudulent

lent trade. But what shall I say to Junius? The grave, the solemn, the didactic! ridicule, indeed, has been ridiculously called the test of truth; but surely, to confess that you lose your natural moderation when mention is made of the man, does not promise much truth or justice when you speak of him yourself.

You charge me with "a new zeal in sup"port of administration," and with "en"deavours in support of the ministerial nomi"nation of Sherists." The reputation which
your talents have deservedly gained to the signature of Junius, draws from me a reply, which
I distained to give to the anonymous lies of
Mr. Wilkes. You make frequent use of the
word Gentleman; I only call myself a Man, and
desire no other distinction: if you are either,
you are bound to make good your charges, or
to confess that you have done me a hasty injustice upon no authority.

I pur the matter fairly to issue.—I say, that so far from any "new zeal in support of ad"ministration," I am possessed with the utmost abhorrence of their measures; and that I have ever shewn myself, and am still ready, in any rational manner, to lay down all I have—my life, in opposition to those measures. I say, that I have not, and never have had any communication

for tra

You abust to p and know and (white in judice)

lent

por

munication or connexion of any kind, directly or indirectly, with any courtier or ministerial man, or any of their adherents: that I never have received, or folicited, or expected, or defired, or do now hope for, any reward of any fort, from any party or fet of men in administration or opposition: I say, that I never used any "endeavours in support of the ministerial nomination of Sheriss." That I did not solicit any one liveryman for his vote for any one of the candidates; nor employ any other person to solicit: and that I did not write one single line or word in savour of Messrs. Plumbe and Kirkman, whom I understand to have been supported by the ministry.—

7-

ch

of

he nd

er,

or

in-

that

ad-

most

have

any

-my

fay,

ation

You are bound to refute what I here advance, or to lose your credit for veracity: You must produce facts; surmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs. You have every advantage, and I have every disadvantage: you are unknown, I give my name: all parties, both in and out of administration, have their reasons (which I shall relate hereafter) for uniting in their wishes against me, and the popular prejudice is as strongly in your favour, as it is violent against the Parson.

SINGULAR

Singular as my present situation is, it is neither painful, nor was it unforeseen. He is not fit for public business who does not even at his entrance prepare his mind for such an event. Health, tortune, tranquillity, and private connexions I have facrificed upon the altar of the public; and the only return I receive, because I will not concur to dupe and mislead a sense-less multitude, is barely, that they have not yet torn me in pieces. That this has been the only return, is my pride; and a source of more real satisfaction than honours or prosperity. I can practise, before I am old, the lessons I learned in my youth; nor shall I ever forget the words of my ancient Monitor,

-" 'Tis the last key-stone

I am, SIR,

Your humble Servant, JOHN HORNE.

LET-

ter

I

pla

to th:

in

die

WC

ha

Wr

cai

fha

ge

co

pe

yo

yo

to

ce

yo

fer

[&]quot;That makes the arch: the rest, that there were
put,

[&]quot; Are nothing till that comes to bind and shut.

[&]quot;Then stands it a triumphal mark! then men

[&]quot;Observe the strength, the height, the why

[&]quot; It was erected; and still walking under,

[&]quot;Meet fome new matter to look up and "wonder!"

LETTER LII.

TO THE REVEREND MR. HORNE.

SIR.

24. July 1771.

CANNOT descend to an altercation with you in the news-papers. But fince I have attacked your character, and you complain of injustice, I think you have some right to an explanation. You defy me to prove, that you ever folicited a vote, or wrote a word in support of the ministerial aldermen. did never suspect you of such gross folly. would have been impossible for Mr. Horne to have folicited votes, and very difficult to have written for the news-papers in defence of that cause, without being detected and brought to Neither do I pretend to any intelligence concerning you, or to know more of your conduct, than you yourfelf have thought proper to communicate to the public. It is from your own letters I conclude that you have fold yourself to the ministry: or, if that charge be too fevere, and supposing it possible to be deceived by appearances fo very strongly against you, what are your friends to fay in your defence? must they not confess that, to gratify vour your personal hatred of Mr. Wilkes, you sacrificed, as far as depended upon your interest and abilities, the cause of the country? I can make allowance for the violence of the passions, and if ever I should be convinced that you had no motive but to destroy Wilkes, I shall then be ready to do justice to your character, and to declare to the world, that I despise you somewhat less than I do at present .- But, as a public man, I must for ever condemn you. You cannot but know,-nay you dare not pretend to be ignorant, that the highest gratification of which the most detestable in this nation is capable, would have been the defeat of Wilkes. I know that man much better than any of you. Nature intended him only for a good humoured A fystematical education, with long practice, has made him a confummate hypocrite. Yet this man, to fay nothing of his worthy ministers, you have most assiduously laboured to gratify. To exclude Wilkes, it was not necessary you should solicit votes for his opponents. We incline the balance as effectually by lessening the weight in one scale, as by increasing it in the other.

THE mode of your attack upon Wilkes (tho' I am far from thinking meanly of your abilities) convinces me that you either want judgment extremely, or that you are blinded by your refentment.

men you mife ries a pi —a ham lic f his s his l he i men enfla in t fetti quel a m the done ough the mini not 1 Joy i have

ques

to fa

perfo

man,

ment. You ought to have foreseen, that the charges you urged against Wilkes could never do him any mischief. After all, when we expected discoveries highly interesting to the community, what a pitiful detail did it end in !- Some old cloaths -a Welsh poney-a French footman, and a hamper of claret. Indeed Mr. Horne, the public should, and will torgive him his claret and his footmen, and even the ambition of making his brother chamberlain of London, as long as he stands forth against a ministry and parliament, who are doing every thing they can to enflave the country, and as long as he is a thorn in the King's fide. You will not suspect me of fetting up Wilkes for a perfect character. The question to the public is, where shall we find a man, who, with purer principles, will go the lengths, and run the hazards that he has done? The feafon calls for fuch a man, and he ought to be supported. What would have been the triumph of that odious hypocrite and his minions, if Wilkes had been defeated! It was not your fault, reverend Sir, that he did not enjoy it completely. But now I promise you, you have fo little power to do mischief, that I much question whether the ministry will adhere to the promises they have made you. It will be in vain to fay that I am a partizan of Mr. Wilkes, or personally your enemy. You will convince no man, for you do not believe it yourself. Yet, I confess.

I confess, I am a little offended at the low rate, at which you seem to value my understanding. I beg, Mr. Horne, you will hereafter believe that I measure the integrity of men, by their conduct, not by their professions. Such tales may entertain Mr. Oliver, or your grandmother, but trust me, they are thrown away upon Junius.

You fay you are a man. Was it generous, was it manly, repeatedly to introduce into a news-paper, the name of a young lady, with whom you must heretofore have lived on terms of politeness and good-humour? But I have done with you. In my opinion, your credit is irrecoverably ruined. Mr. Townshend, I think is nearly in the same predicament. Poor Oliver has been shamefully duped by you. You have made him facrifice all the honour he got by his imprisonment. As for Mr. Sawbridge, whose character I really respect, I am astoninshed he does not see through your duplicity. Never was so base a design so poorly conducted. This letter, you see, is not intended for the public, but if you think it will do you any fervice, you are at liberty to publish it.

JUNIUS.

FR

Whe in he for I ex elegathe coard (whi dest) is m your the press.

to wl

ever

^{*} This letter was transmitted privately by the Printer to Mr. Horne, by Junius's request. Mr. Horne returned it to the Printer, with directions to publish it.

L. E. T-

LETTER LIII.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

1

S

S

fe

ie

er

is

C,

ou

S.

ter

dit

T-

31. July, 1771.

OU have disappointed me. When I told you that furmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs, I evidently hinted at the reply which I expected: but you have dropped your usual elegance, and feem willing to try what will be the effect of furmife and general abuse in very coarfe language. Your answer to my letter (which I hope was cool and temperate and modest) has convinced me that my idea of a man is much superior to yours of a gentleman. your former letters I have always faid materiem Superabat opus: I do not think so of the present; the principles are more detestable than the expressions are mean and illiberal. I am contented that all those who adopt the one should for ever load me with the other.

I APPEAL to the common-sense of the public, to which I have ever directed myself: I believe they have it, though I am sometimes half-inclined to suspect that Mr. Wilkes has formed a truer judgment of mankind than I have. However of this I am fure, that there is nothing else upon which to place a fleady reliance. Trick, and low cunning, and addressing their prejudices and passions, may be the fittest means to carry a particular point; but if they have not common-sense, there is no prospect of gaining for them any real permanent good. The fame passions, which have been artfully used by an honest man for their advantage, may be more artfully employed by a dishonest man for their destruction. I desire them to apply their common-sense to this letter of Junius, not for my fake, but their own; it concerns them most nearly, for the principles it contains lead to difgrace and ruin, and are inconfistent with every notion of civil fociety.

The charges which Junius has brought against me are made ridiculous by his own inconsistency and self-contradiction. He charges me positively with "a new zeal in support of admi-"nistration;" and with "endeavours in sup-"port of the ministerial nomination of She-"riffs." And he assigns two inconsistent motives for my conduct: either that I have "fold" myself to the ministry;" or am instigated "by the solitary, vindictive malice of a monk:" either that I am insluenced by a fordid desire of gain;

gain " ar the by 1 whi is I for " t pro ten con al l refe hin pre his . .. 1 " F par . 66 1

. 66 T

"

"

an

fen

he

to

len

pa

gain; or am hurried on by "personal hatred " and blinded by resentment." In his letter to the Duke of Grafton he supposes me actuated by both: in his letter to me he at first doubts which of the two, whether interest, or revenge is my motive: however, at last he determines for the former, and again positively asserts that " the ministry have made me promises;" yet he produces no instance of corruption, nor pretends to have any intelligence of a ministerial connexion: he mentions no cause of personal hatred to Mr. Wilkes, nor any reason for my refentment, or revenge; nor has Mr. Wilkes himself ever hinted any, though repeatedly pressed. When Junius is called upon to justify his accusation, he answers, " he cannot de-" fcend to an altercation with me in the news-" papers." Junius, who exists only in the newspapers, who acknowledges " he has attacked "my character" there, "and thinks I have fome "right to an explanation;" yet this Junius " cannot descend to an altercation in the news-" papers!" and because he cannot descend to an altercation with me in the news-papers, he fends a letter of abuse by the printer, which he finishes with telling me-" I am at liberty to publish it." This to be fure is a most excellent method to avoid an altercation in the newspapers!

d

of

THE

THE proofs of his positive charges are as extraordinary, "He does not pretend to any in-" telligence concerning me, or to know more " of my conduct than I myfelf have thought " proper to communicate to the public." He does not suspect me of such gross folly as to have folicited votes, or to have written anonymously in the news papers; because it is imposfible to do either of these without being detected and brought to shame. Junius says this! Who yet imagines that he has himself written two years under that fignature, (and more under others) without being detected !-his warmelt admirers will not hereafter add, without being brought to shame. But though he did never sufpect me of fuch gross folly as to run the bazard of being detected and brought to shame by anonymous writing, he infifts that I have been guilty of a much groffer folly of incurring the certainty of shame and detection by writings figned with my name! But this is a small flight for the towering Junius: "He is FAR from thinking " meanly of my abilities," though he is "con-" vinced that I want judgment extremely," and can, "really respect Mr. Sawbridge's charac-" ter," though he declares him + to be fo poor

of fro

a

thi

fwee " of luce the low

trei

" kr " if " fid " (to

on the

and h

ed.

[†] I beg leave to introduce Mr. Horne to the character of the Double Dealer. I thought they had been better acquaint-

a creature as not to "fee through the basest de"fign conducted in the poorest manner!" And
this most base design is conducted in the poorest
manner, by a man whom he does not suspect
of gross folly, and of whose abilities he is FAR
from thinking meanly!

Should we ask Junius to reconcile these contradictions, and explain this nonsense; the answer is ready; "he cannot descend to an alter"cation in the news-papers." He seels no reluctance to attack the character of any man: the throne is not too high, nor the cottage too low: his mighty malice can grasp both extremes: he hints not his accusations as opinion, conjecture,

0

0

d

-

-

-

r

g

d

T

re

of

it-

d.

ed. - " Another very wrong objection has been made by " fome, who have not taken leifure to diffinguish the cha-" racters. The hero of the play (meaning Mellefont) is a " gull, and made a fool, and cheated .- Is every man a gull " and a fool that is deceived ?-At that rate, I am afraid " the two classes of men will be reduced to one, and the " knaves themselves be at a loss to justify their title. But " if an open, honest-h arted man, who has an entire con-" fidence in one, whom he takes to be his friend, and who " (to confirm him in his opinion) in all appearance and up-" on feveral trials has been fo; if this n an be deceived by " the treachery of the other, must be of necessity commence " fool immediately, only because the other has proved a " villain ?-YEs, fays person Horne. No, says Congreve, and he, I think, is allowed to have known something of human nature.

positive assertions: Do the accused complain of injustice? He acknowledges they have some fort of right to an explanation; but if they ask for proofs and facts, he begs to be excused: and though he is no where else to be encountered "he "cannot descend an to altercation in the news "papers."

AND this perhaps Junius may think "the hberal resentment of a gentleman:" this skulking assassing affassination he may call courage. In all things as in this I hope we differ:

" I thought that fortitude had been a mean

"Twixt fear and rashness; not a lust obscene

" Or appetite of offending; but askill

" And nice discernment between good and ill.

" Her ends are honesty and public good,

" And without these she is not understood."

Of two things however he has condescended to give proof. He very properly produces a young lady to prove that I am not a man: and a good old woman, my grandmother, to prove Mr. Oliver a fool. Poor old foul! she read her bible far otherwise than Junius! she often found there that the fins of the fathers had been visited on the children; and therefore was cautious that herself and her immediate descendents should

the revenue the ly find he months

duc

nius a g hum der Wil laug mour fore firm he i his c butt neroz vina thep as ev treat

Bu " me

thould leave no reproach on her posterity: and they left none: how little could she foresee this reverse of Junius, who visits my political sins upon my grandmother! I do not charge this to the score of malice in him, it proceeded intirely from his propensity to blunder; that whilst he was reproaching me for introducing, in the most harmless manner, the name of one semale, he might himself at the same instant, introduce two.

I AM represented alternately as it suits Junius's purpose, under the opposite characters of a gloomy Monk, and a man of politeness and good bumour. I am called " a folitary Monk," in order to confirm the notion given of me in Mr. Wilkes's anonymous paragraphs, that I never laugh: and the terms of politeness and good bumour on which I am faid to have lived heretofore with the young lady, are intended to confirm other paragraphs of Mr. Wilkes, in which he is supposed to have offended me by refusing his daughter. Ridiculous! Yet I cannot deny but that Junius has proved me unmanly and ungenerous as clearly as he has shewn me corrupt and vindictive: and I will tell him more; I have paid the present Ministry as many wisits, and compliments as ever I paid to the young lady, and shall all my life treat them with the same politeness and good humour.

d

21

a

ve

er

nd

t-

us

Id

But Junius "begs me to believe that he "measures the integrity of men by their conduct, Vol. II. K "not

" not by their professions." Sure this Junius mutt imagine his readers as void of understanding, as he is of modesty! Where shall we find the standard of His integrity? By what are we to measure the conduct of this lurking affassin?-And he fays this to me, whose conduct, whenever I could perfonally appear, has been as direct and open and public, as my words; I have not, like him, concealed myself in my chamber to fhoot my arrows out of the window; nor contented myself to view the battle from afar; but publicly mixed in the engagement, and shared the danger. To whom have I, like him, refused my name upon complaint of injury? what printer have I defired to conceal me? in the infinite variety of business I have been concerned, where it is not fo easy to be faultless, which of my actions can he arraign? to what danger has any man been exposed, which I have not faced? information, action, imprisonment, or death? what labour have I refused? what expence have I declined? what pleafure have I not renounced ?- But Junius, to whom no conduct belongs, " measures the integrity of men by " their conduct, not by their professions;" himfelf all the while being nothing but professions, and those too anonymous! the political ignorance or wilful falshood of this declaimer is extreme: his own former letters justify both my conduct and those whom his last letters abuses; for the public

a a b ti

ab

and de fta fer had and The fibre other gui

mou out fitio

on,

desi

city

ceff

tain vant public measures, which Junius has been all along defending, were ours, whom he attacks; and the uniform opposer of those measures has been Mr. Wilkes, whose bad actions and intentions he endeavours to screen.

LET Junius now, if he pleases, change his abuse; and quitting his loose hold of interest and revenge, accuse me of vanity, and call this defence boasting. I own I have a pride to see statues decreed, and the highest honours conferred for measures and actions which all men have approved: whilft those who counselled and caused them are execrated and insulted. The darkness in which Junius thinks himself shrouded, has not concealed him; nor the artifice of only attacking under that fignature those he would pull down (whilft he recommends by other ways those he would have promoted) disguised from me whose partizan he is. When Lord Chatham can forgive the aukward fituation, in which for the fake of the public he was designedly placed, by the thanks to him from the city: and when Wilkes's name ceases to be neceffary to Lord Rockingham to keep up a clamour against the persons of the ministry, without obliging the different factions now in oppofition to bind themselves beforehand to some certain points, and to stipulate some precise advantages to the public; then, and not till then, K 2

11

1-

S,

at

ve

or

:X-

e I

luEt

by

im-

0115,

nce

me:

duct

the

blic

Ch

trea

the

to

mei

reig

mai

" f

" to

" d

" t

" t

fron

I fl

mit

fhou

ing

up righ

fenf

expi

Cha

opp

chai

any

thof

to g

may those whom he now abuses expect the approbation of Junius. The approbation of the public, for our faithful attention to their interest by endeavours for those stipulations, which have made us as obnoxious to the factions in opposition as to those in administration, is not perhaps to be expected till some years hence; when the public will look back and see how shamefully they have been deluded; and by what arts they were made to lose the golden opportunity of preventing, what they will surely experience,—a change of ministers, without a material change of measures, and without any security for a tottering constitution.

But what cares Junius for the security of the constitution? He has now unfolded to us his diabolical principles. As a public man be must ever condemn any measure which may tend accidentally to gratify the Sovereign: and Mr. Wilkes is to be supported and affisted in all his attempts (no matter how ridiculous and mifchievous his projects) as long as he continues to be athorn in the King's fide !- The cause of the country it seems, in the opinion of Junius, is merely to vex the King; and any rafcal is to be supported in any roguery, provided he can only thereby plant a thorn in the King's side .- This is the very extremity of faction, and the last , degree of political wickedness. Because Lord Chatham

Chatham has been ill-treated by the King and treacherously betrayed by the Duke of Grafton, the latter is to be "the pillow on which Junius " will rest his resentment;" and the public are to oppose the measures of government from mere motives of perfonal enmity to the Sovereign !- These are the avowed principles of the man, who in the same letter says, " if ever he " should be convinced, that I had no motive but " to destroy Wilkes, he shall then be ready to "do justice to my character, and to declare to "the world that he despises me somewhat less "than he does at present!" Had I ever acted from personal affection or enmity to Mr. Wilkes, I should justly be despised: But what does he deferve, whose avowed motive is personal enmity to the Sovereign; the contempt which I should otherwise feel for the absurdity and glaring inconfiftency of Junius, is here swallowed up in my abhorrence of his principle. right divine and sacredness of Kings is to me a fenfeless jargon. It was thought a daring expression of Oliver Cromwel in the time of Charles the First, that if he found himself placed opposite to the King in battle, he would difcharge his piece into his bosom as soon as into any other man's. I go farther: had I lived in those days, I would not have waited for chance to give me an opportunity of doing my duty, I would have fought him through the ranks, and.

S

be

2-

y

0-

ly

is

aft

rd

in

and without the least personal enmity, have discharged my piece into his bosom rather than into any other man's. The King, whose actions justify rebellion to his government, deserves death from the hand of every subject. And should such a time arrive, I shall be as free to act as to say. But till then, my attachment to the person and family of the Sovereign shall ever be found more zealous and sincere than that of his slatterers. I would offend the Sovereign with as much reluctance as the parent; but, if the happiness and security of the whole samily made it necessary, so far and no farther, I would offend him without remorse.

But let us consider a little whither these principles of Junius would lead us. Should Mr. Wilkes once more commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to procure for him a pension of one thousand pounds upon the Irish establishment for thirty years; he must be supported in the demand by the public—because it would mertify the King!

SHOULD he wish to see Lord Rockingham and his friends once more in administration, unclogged by any slipulations for the people, that he might again enjoy a pension of one thousand and forty pounds a year, viz. From the First Lord of the Treasury 5001. From the Lords of the Treasury 5001.

fary each tent Mr. mor

Can flant written must held the

thin pene do a miff a pe eftal a pa that he d finar ftrat and mini the :

fary 60l. each. From the Lords of Trade, 40l. each, &c. The public must give up their attention to points of national benefit, and assist Mr. Wilkes in his attempt—because it would mortify the King!

SHOULD he demand the Government of Canada, or of Jamaica, or the embasily to Conflantinople; and in case of refusal threaten to write them down, as he had before served another administration, in a year and a half; he must be supported in his pretensions and upheld in his insolence—because it would mortify the King!

Junius may chuse to suppose, that these things cannot happen! But that they have happened, notwithstanding Mr. Wilkes's denial, I do aver. I maintain that Mr. Wilkes did commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit for him a pension of one thousand pounds, on the Irish establishment for thirty years; with which and a pardon he declared he would be satisfied: and that, notwithstanding his letter to Mr. Onslow, he did accept a clandestine, precarious and eleemosinary pension from the Rockingham administration; which they paid in proportion to and and out of their salaries; and so entirely was it ministerial, that as any of them went out of the ministry, their names were scratched out of

the lift, and they contributed no longer. I fay, he did folicit the governments and the embaffy, and threatened their refusal nearly in these words-" It cost me a year and a half to "write down the last administration, should I " employ as much time upon you, very few of " you would be in at the death." When thefe threats did not prevail, he came over to England to embarrass them by his presence; and when he found, that Lord Rockingham was fomething firmer and more manly than he expected, and refused to be bullied-into what he could not perform, Mr. Wilkes declared, that he could not leave England without money; And the Duke of Portland and Lord Rockingham purchased his absence with one bundred pounds a piece; with which he returned to Paris. and for the truth of what I here advance, I appeal to the Duke of Portland, to Lord Rockingham, to Lord John Cavendish, to Mr. Walpole, &c .- I appeal to the hand-writing of Mr. Wilkes, which is still extant.

Should Mr. Wilkes afterwards (failing in this wholesale trade) chuse to dole out his popularity by the pound, and expose the city offices to sale to his brother, his attorney, &c. Junius will tell us, it is only an ambition that he has to make them chamberlain, town-clerk, &c. and he must not be opposed in thus robbing the ancient citizens

citi of l

his a de owr vice whi leng the g in c a fe fhou cont cum 600 for t of g his l any for fo (who conti penc

and t

conv

extra

citizens of their birth-right—because any defeat of Mr. Wilkes would gratify the King!

SHOULD he, after confuming the whole of his fortune and that of his wife, and incurring a debt of twenty thousand pounds merely by his own private extravagance, without a fingle fervice or exertion all this time for the public, whilst his estate remained; should he, at length, being undone, commence patriot, have the good fortune to be illegally perfecuted, and, in confideration of that illegality, be espoused by a few gentlemen of the purest public principles; should his debts, (though none of them were contracted for the public) and all his other incumbrances be discharged; should he be offered 600l. or 1000l. a year to make him independent for the future; and should he, after all, instead of gratitude for these services, insolently forbid his benefactors to bestow their own money upon any other object but himself, and revile them for fetting any bounds to their supplies; Junius (who, any more than Lord Chatham, never contributed one farthing to these enormous expences) will tell them, that if they think of converting the supplies of Mr. Wilkes's private extravagance to the support of public measures they are as great fools as my grandmother; and that Mr. Wilkes ought to hold the strings

1

n

-

es

113

to

ie

nt

of their purses—as long as he continues to be a thorn in the King's side!

UPON these principles I never have acted, and I never will act. In my opinion, it is less dishonourable to be the creature of a court than the tool of a faction. I will not be either. I understand the two great leaders of opposition to be Lord Rockingham and Lord Chatham; under one of whose banners all the opposing members of both houses, who defire to get places, enlift. I can place no confidence in either of them, or in any others, unless they will now engage, whilft they are out, to grant certain effential advantages for the fecurity of the public when they shall be in administration. These points they refuse to stipulate, because they are fearful lest they should prevent any future overtures from the court. To force them to these stipulations has been the uniform endeavour of Mr. Sawbridge, Mr. Townfend, Mr. Oliver, &c. and THEREFORE, they are abused by Junius. I know no reason but my zeal and industry in the same cause that should intitle me to the honour of being ranked by his abuse with persons of their fortune and station. It is a duty I owe to the memory of the late Mr. Beckford to fay, that he had no other aim than this when he provided that sumptuous enter-. tainment at the Mansion-house for the members

of be drew gave in te room oblig ligat leave was c fionmigh by th dence tion, refuse flatly " his off th preva ridicu the ci of an

thank were e favour fix Lo

nefit (

of both houses in opposition. At that time he drew up the heads of an engagement, which he gave to me with a request that I would couch it in terms fo cautious and precise, as to leave no room for future quibble, and evafion; but to oblige them either to fulfil the intent of the obligation, or to fign their own infamy, and leave it on record; and this engagement he was determined to propose to them at the Manfion-house, that either by their refusal they might forfeit the confidence of the public, or by the engagement lay a foundation for confidence. When they were informed of the intention, Lord Rockingham and his friends flatly refused any engagement; and Mr. Beckford as flatly swore, they should then-" eat none of "his broth;" and he was determined to put off the entertainment: But Mr. Beckford was prevailed upon by - to indulge them in the ridiculous parade of a popular procession through the city, and to give them the foolish pleasure of an imaginary consequence, for the real benefit only of the cooks and purveyors.

y

f

١.

e

1-

n

1-

d,

re

ny 1d

iis

n.

Ir.

an

er-

ers

of

It was the same motive which dictated the thanks of the city to Lord Chatham; which were expressed to be given for his declaration in savour of short parliaments: in order thereby to sax Lord Chatham at least to that one constitutional remedy, without which all others can

afford

afford no fecurity. The embarrassment no doubt was cruel. He had his choice either to offend the Rockingham party, who declared formally against short parliaments, and with the affistance of whose numbers in both houses he must expect again to be minister; or to give up the confidence of the public, from whom finally all real consequence must proceed. Lord Chatham chose the latter: and I will venture to fay, that, by his answer to those thanks, he has given up the people without gaining the friendship or cordial affiftance of the Rockingham faction; whose little politics are confined to the making of matches, and extending their family connexions, and who think they gain more by procuring one additional vote to their party in the house of commons, than by adding their languid property and feeble character to the abilities of a Chatham, or the confidence of a public.

WHATEVER may be the event of the prefent wretched state of politics in this country, the principles of Junius will fuit no form of government. They are not to be tolerated under any constitution. Personal enmity is a motive fit only for the devil. Whoever or whatever is Sovereign, demands the respect and support of the people. The union is formed for their happiness, which cannot be had without mut who of it and to re But hear mere does that

TO 7

the c

SI

to the of my Mr. ty of deteft the ce

mutual

mutual respect; and he counsels maliciously, who would persuade either to a wanton breach of it. When it is banished by either party, and when every method has been tried in vain to restore it, there is no remedy but a divorce: But even then he must have a hard and a wicked heart indeed, who punishes the greatest criminal merely for the sake of the punishment; and who does not let fall a tear for every drop of blood that is shed in a public struggle, however just the quarrel.

JOHN HORNE.

LETTER LIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-TISER.

SIR,

t

lt

e

1

n

t,

10

;

ir-

ne ni-

a

e-

of

n-

0-

at-

p-

for

ut

ial

15. Aug. 1771.

I OUGHT to make an apology to the Duke of Grafton, for fuffering any part of my attention to be diverted from his Grace to Mr. Horne. I am not justified by the similarity of their dispositions. Private vices however detestable, have not dignity sufficient to attract the censure of the press, unless they are united with

with the power of doing some signal mischief to the community.—Mr. Horne's situation does not correspond with his intentions.—In my own opinion, (which I know, will be attributed to my usual vanity and presumption) his letter to me does not deserve an answer. But I understand that the public are not satisfied with my silence;—that an answer is expected from me, and that, if I persist in resusing to plead, it will be taken for conviction. I should be inconsistent with the principles I profess, if I declined an appeal to the good sense of the people, or did not willingly submit myself to the judgment of my peers.

If any coarse expressions have escaped me, I am ready to agree that they are unfit for Junius to make use of, but I see no reason to admit that they have been improperly applied.

MR. HORNE, it feems, is unable to comprehend how an extreme want of conduct and discretion can confift with the abilities I have allowed him; nor can he conceive that a very honest man, with a very good understanding, may be deceived by a knave. His knowledge of human nature must be limited indeed. Had he never mixed with the world, one would think that even his books might have taught him

him whe. bels tion he d lord the prefe have taler whi hap valu Litt ftion ning nest us a exp littl guif with able extr his

forg

owe

lowe

the

y

d

e:

n-

tli

m

11-

I

he

10

ius

nit

re-

al-

ery

ng,

dge

Had

uld

ght

nim

him better. Did he hear Lord Mansfield, when he defended his doctrine concerning libels? Or when he stated the law in prosecutions for criminal conversation? --- Or when he delivered his reasons for calling the house of lords together to receive a copy of his charge to the jury in Woodfall's trial? --- Had he been prefent upon any of these occasions, he would have seen how possible it is for a man of the first talents, to confound himself in absurdities, which would difgrace the lips of an idiot. Perhaps the example might have taught him not to value his own understanding so highly. Lord Littleton's integrity and judgment are unquestionable; yet he is known to admire that cunning Scotchman, and verily believes him an honest man. I speak to facts, with which all of us are converfant,-I speak to men and to their experience, and will not descend to answer the little fneering fephistries of a collegian. Distinguished talents are not necessarily connected with discretion. If there be any thing remarkable in the character of Mr. Horne, it is, that extreme want of judgment should be united with his very moderate capacity. Yet I have not forgotten the acknowledgment I made him. owes it to my bounty; and though his letter has lowered him in my opinion, I fcorn to retract the charitable donation.

ISAID

I said it would be very difficult for Mr. Horne to write directly in defence of a ministerial measure, and not be detected; and even that difficulty I confined to his particular situation. He changes the terms of the proposition, and supposes me to assert, that it would be impossible for any man to write for the news-papers and not be discovered.

HE repeatedly affirms or intimates atleast, that he knows the author of these letters .- With what colour of truth then can he pretend that I am no where to be encountered but in a news-paper? -I shall leave him to his suspicions. It is not necessary that I should confide in the honour or discretion of a man, who already seems to hate me with as much rancour, as if I had formerly been his friend.-But he afferts that he has traced me thro' a variety of fignatures. To make the discovery of any importance to his purpose, he should have proved, either that the fictitious character of Junius has not been confiftently supported, or that the author has maintained different principles under different fignatures.—I cannot recal to my memory the numberless trifles I have written; -but I rely upon the consciousness of my own integrity, and defy him to fix any colourable charge of inconfiftency upon me.

IAM

of it is due my upo dre gag por verifiction the not

real prife at o Tha does wou

this

but

been purp whice of conferia

V

I AM not bound to affign the fecret motives of his apparent hatred of Mr. Wilkes: nor does it follow that I may not judge fairly of his conduct, though it were true that I had no conduct of my own .- Mr. Horne enlarges, with rapture, upon the importance of his fervices; --- the dreadful battles which he might have been engaged in, and the dangers he has escaped. In support of the formidable description, he quotes verses without mercy. The gentleman deals in fiction, and naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets .- Taking him at his word, he cannot but admit the superiority of Mr. Wilkes in this line of fervice. On one fide we fee nothing but imaginary distresses. On the other we see real persecutions; - real penalties; - real imprisonment; - life repeatedly hazarded; and, at one moment, almost the certainty of death. Thanks are undoubtedly due to every man who does his duty in the engagement; but it is the wounded foldier who deferves the reward.

h

ot

11

to r-

he

Co

ir-

en

has

ent

the

ely

and

in-

AM

I DID not mean to deny that Mr. Horne had been an active partizan. It would defeat my own purpose not to allow him a degree of merit, which aggravates his guilt. The very charge, of contributing his utmost efforts to support a minisserial measure, implies an acknowledgment of Vol. II.

his former fervices. If he had not once been diftinguished by his apparent zeal in defence of the common cause, he could not now be distinguished by deserting it .- As for myself, it is no longer a question, whether I shall mix with the throng, and take a fingle share in the danger .--Whenever Junius appears, he must encounter a host of enemies. But is there no honourable way to ferve the public, without engaging in personal quarrels with infignificant individuals, or fubmitting to the drudgery of canvaffing votes for an election? Is there no merit in dedicating my life to the information of my fellowfubjects? --- What public question have I declined, what villain have I fpared?---Is there no labour in the composition of these letters! Mr. Horne, I fear, is partial to me, and measures the facility of my writings, by the fluency of his own.

HE talks to us, in high terms, of the gallant feats he would have performed, if he had lived in the last century. The unhappy Charles could harldly have escaped him. But living princes have a claim to his attachment and re-Upon these terms, there is no danger in being a patriot. If he means any thing more than a pompous rhapfody, let us try, how well his argument holds together.—I prefume he is not yet so much a courtier as to affirm, that

the

1

n b

h

G

re

fta

ha

rai fha

thi

it i

fen Fir

oug

was it i

fub

mor

prel

be f

reco

diate

fpea!

First

fult]

degra

the constitution has not been grossly and daringly violated under the present reign. He will not fay, that the laws have not been shamefully broken or perverted;-that the rights of the fubject have not been invaded, or that redress has not been repeatedly folicited and refused .-Grievances like these were the foundation of the rebellion in the last century, and, if I underftand Mr. Horne, they would, at that period, have justified him to his own mind, in deliberately attacking the life of his Sovereign. I shall not ask him to what political constitution this doctrine can be reconciled. But, at least, it is incumbent upon him to shew, that the prefent King has better excuses, than Charles the First, for the errors of his government. ought to demonstrate to us that the constitution was better understood a hundred years ago than it is at prefent; -that the legal rights of the fubject, and the limits of the prerogative were more accurately defined, and more clearly comprehended. If propositions like these cannot be fairly maintained, I do not fee how he can reconcile it to his conscience, not to act immediately with the same freedom with which he speaks. I reverence the character of Charles the First as little as Mr. Horne; but I will not infult his misfortunes, by a comparison that would degrade him.

d

es

ıg

e-

er

ng

WC

me

the

L 2

It is worth observing, by what gentle degrees, the furious, perfecuting zeal of Mr. Horne has softened into moderation. Men and measures were yesterday his object. What pains did he once take to bring that great state criminal Macquirk to execution!—To-day he confines himself to measures only.—No penal example is to be left to the successors of the Duke of Graston.—To-morrow, I presume, both men and measures will be forgiven. The slaming patriot, who so lately scorched us in the meridian, sinks temperately to the west, and is hardly felt as he descends.

I COMPREHEND the policy of endeavouring to communicate, to Mr. Oliver and Mr. Sawbridge, a share in the reproaches, with which he supposes me to have loaded him. My memory fails me, if I have mentioned their names with disrespect;—unless it be reproachful to acknowledge a sincere respect for the character of Mr. Sawbridge, and not to have questioned the innocence of Mr. Oliver's intentions.

It feems I am a partizan of the great leader of the opposition. If the charge had been a reproach, it should have been better supported. I did not intend to make a public declaration of the respect I bear Lord Chatham. I well knew

to ever wan round is a that to do that

d

V

tl

in

gr

ga

of

M

cre

bii

wi

is fu knew what unworthy conclusions would be drawn from it. But I am called upon to deliver my opinion, and furely it is not in the little censure of Mr. Horne to deter me from doing fignal justice to a man, who, I confess, has grown upon my efteem. As for the common, fordid views of avarice, or any purpose of vulgar ambition, I question whether the applause of Junius would be of service to Lord Chatham. My vote will hardly recommend him to an increase of his pension, or to a feat in the cabinet. But if his ambition be upon a level with his understanding; -if he judges of what is truly honourable for himfelf, with the fame fuperior genius, which animates and directs him to eloquence in debate, to wisdom in decision, even the pen of Junius shall contribute to reward him. Recorded honours shall gather round his monument, and thicken over him. It is a folid fabric, and will support the laurels that adorn it. I am not conversant in the language of panegyric. These praises are extorted from me; but they will wear well, for they have been dearly earned.

My detestation of the Duke of Grafton is not founded upon his treachery to any individual: though I am willing enough to suppose that, in public affairs, it would be impossible to desert or betray Lord Chatham, without do-

er

a

d.

on

ell

ew

ing an effential injury to this country. My abhorrence of the Duke arises from an intimate knowledge of his character, and from a thorough conviction, that his baseness has been the cause of greater mischief to England, than even the unfortunate ambition of Lord Bute.

THE shortening the duration of parliaments is a fubject, on which Mr. Horne cannot enlarge too warmly; nor will I question his fincerity. If I did not profess the same sentiments, I should be shamefully inconfistent with myself. It is unnecessary to bind Lord Chatham by the written formality of an engagement. He has publicly declared himself a convert to Triennial Parliaments; and tho' I have long been convinced that this is the only possible resource we have left to preferve the substantial freedom of the constitution, I do not think we have a right to determine against the integrity of Lord Rockingham or his friends. Other measures may undoubtedly be supported in argument, as better adapted to the diforder, or more likely to be obtained.

Mr. Horne is well affured, that I never was the champion of Mr. Wilkes. But tho' I am not obliged to answer for the sirmness of his suture adherence to the principles he professes, I

have

h

di

W

m

to

co

or

tic aff

tit

far

fei

the

his

the

a h

cre

the

wh

Th

feer

ed,

the

nev rali

the

in a

to

have no reason to presume that he will hereafter difgrace them. As for all those imaginary cases, which Mr. Horne fo petulantly urges against me, I have one plain, honest answer to make Whenever Mr. Wilkes shall be to him. convicted of foliciting a pension, an embassy, or a government, he must depart from that situation, and renounce that character, which he affumes at prefent, and which, in my opinion, intitle him to the support of the public. By the fame act, and at the same moment, he will forfeit his power of mortifying the King; and, though he can never be a favourite at St. James's. his baseness may administer a solid satisfaction to the royal mind. The man, I speak of, has not a heart to feel for the frailties of his fellowcreatures. It is their virtues that afflict, it is their vices that confole him.

I GIVE every possible advantage to Mr. Horne, when I take the facts he refers to for granted. That they are the produce of his invention, seems highly probable; that they are exaggerated, I have no doubt. At the worst, what do they amount to, but that Mr. Wilkes, who never was thought of as a perfect pattern of morality, has not been at all times proof against the extremity of distress. How shameful is it, in a man who has lived in friendship with him, to reproach him with failings, too naturally connected

connected with despair! Is no allowance to be made for banishment and ruin? Does a two years imprisonment make no atonement for his crimes?-The refentment of a prieft is impla-No fufferings can foften, no penitence cable. can appease him. - Yet he himself, I think, upon his own fystem, has a multitude of political offences to atone for. I will not infift upon the naufeous detail, with which he fo long disgusted the public. He seems to be ashamed of it. But what excuse will he make to the friends of the constitution for labouring to promote this consummately bad man to a station of the highest national trust and importance? Upon what honourable motives did he recommend him to the livery of London for their representative; to the ward of Farringdon for their alderman; to the county of Middlesex for their knight? Will he affirm that, at that time, he was ignorant of Mr. Wilkes's folicitations to the ministry? That he should fay so, is indeed very necessary for his own justification, but where will he find credulity to believe him?

In what school this gentleman learned his ethics I know not. His logic seems to have been studied under Mr. Dyson. That miserable pamphleteer, by dividing the only precedent in point, and taking as much of it as suited his purpose,

purp Mid of a felf had the I ag of a wing that Will on King at the thing will be the will at the thing will be the thing the th

a fin inter preffit mear fepar coole pofes ous tings

and

purpose, had reduced his argument upon the Middlesex election to something like the shape of a fyllogism. Mr. Horne has conducted himfelf with the same ingenuity and candour. I had affirmed that Mr. Wilkes would preferve the public favour, " as long as he flood forth " against a ministry and parliament, who were "doing every thing they could to enflave the "country, and as long as he was a thorn in the "King's fide." Yet, from the exulting triumph of Mr. Horne's reply, one would think that I had rested my expectation, that Mr. Wilkes would be supported by the public, upon the fingle condition of his mortifying the This may be logic at Cambridge or at the Treasury, but among men of sense and honour, it is folly or villany in the extreme.

I see the pitiful advantage he has taken of a fingle unguarded expression, in a letter not intended for the public. Yet it is only the expression that is unguarded. I adhere to the true meaning of that member of the sentence, taken separately as he takes it, and now, upon the coolest deliberation, reassert that, for the purposes I referred to, it may be highly meritorious to the public, to wound the personal feelings of the Sovereign. It is not a general proposition,

re

0

d

t

is

n

1-

n

is

2,

position, nor is it generally applied to the chief magistrate of this, or any other constitution. Mr. Horne, knows as well as I do, that the best of princes is not displeased with the abuse, which he sees thrown upon his ostensible ministers. It makes them I presume more properly the objects of his royal compassion;—neither does it escape his sagacity, that the lower they are degraded in the public esteem, the more submissively they must depend upon his favour for protection. This, I affirm upon the most solvent folemn conviction, and the most certain knowledge, is a leading maxim in the policy of the closet. It is unnecessary to pursue the argument any farther.

MR. Horne is now a very loyal subject. He laments the wretched state of politics in this country, and sees, in a new light, the weakness and folly of the opposition. Whoever, or whatever is Sovereign, demands the respect and support of the people, * it was not so, when Nero siddled while Rome was burning. Our gracious Sovereign has had wonderful success, in creating new attachments to his person and family. He owes it, I presume, to the regular system he has pursued in the mystery of conversion. He began

concl a pity by Prown!

TH

ror

SII

having friend litics a

^{*} The very foliloque of Lord Suffolk, before he passed the Rubicon.

gan with an experiment upon the Scotch, and concludes with converting Mr. Horne.—What a pity it is, that the Jews should be condemned by Providence to wait for a Messiah of their own!

THE priesthood are accused of misinterpreting the scriptures. Mr. Horne has improved upon his profession. He alters the text, and creates a resutable doctrine of his own. Such artisces cannot long delude the understanding of the people; and without meaning an indecent comparison, I may venture to foretel, that the Bible and Junius will be read, when the commentaries of the Jesuits are forgotten.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PÚBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

d

S

e

n

ed

26. August 1771.

THE enemies of the people, having now nothing better to object to my friend Junius, are at last obliged to quit his politics and to rail at him for crimes he is not guilty

guilty of. His vanity and impiety are now the perpetual topics of their abuse. I do not mean to lessen the force of such charges, (supposing they were true,) but to shew that they are not founded. If I admitted the premises, I should readily agree in all the confequences drawn from them. Vanity indeed is a venial error, for it usually carries its own punishment with it; -but if I thought Junius capable of uttering a difrespectful word of the religion of his country, I should be the first to renounce and give him up to the public contempt and indignation. As a man, I am fatisfied that he is a Christian upon the most fincere conviction. As a writer, he would be grossly inconfistent with his political principles, if he dared to attack a religion established by those laws, which it seems to be the purpose of his life to defend.-Now for the proofs.—Junius is accused of an impious allusion to the holy facrament, where he fays that, if Lord Weymouth be denied the cup, there will be no keeping him within the pale of the ministry. Now, Sir, I affirm that this passage refers intirely to a ceremonial in the Roman catholic church, which denies the cup to the laity. It has no manner of relation to the Protestant creed, and in this country, as fair an object of ridicule as transubstantiation, or any other part of Lord Peter's history in the Tale of the Tub.

become destroys impious. himself pious, h

But

impiety

fcriptur

any fuc

underv

any, m

the hol

own c

folly in

lies the

" what

" you

" in 7

" long " but j

words,

expects

vive t

may pi

lignan

in his o

Suppor

would must li

trate

But Junius is charged with equal vanity and impiety, in comparing his writings to the holy scripture.-The formal protest he makes against any fuch comparison, avails him nothing. becomes necessary then to shew that the charge destroys itself .- If he be vain, he cannot be impious. A vain man does not usually compare himself to an object, which it is his defign to undervalue. On the other hand, if he be impious, he cannot be vain. For his impiety, if any, must consist in his endeavouring to degrade the holy fcriptures by a comparison with his own contemptible writings. This would be folly indeed of the groffest nature, but where lies the vanity?—I shall now be told,—" Sir, "what you fay is plaufible enough, but still " you must allow that it is shamefully impudent " in Junius to tell us that his works will live as "long as the Bible." My answer is. Agreed: " but first prove that he has said so. Look at his words, and you will find that the utmost he expects is, that the Bible and Junius will furvive the commentaries of the Jesuits, which may prove true in a fortnight. 'The most malignant fagacity cannot shew that his works are, in his opinion, to live as long as the Bible. Suppose I were to foretel that Jack and Tom would furvive Harry .- Does it follow that Jack must live as long as Tom? I would only illustrate my meaning and protest against the least idea of profaneness.

YET this is the way in which Junius is usually answered, arraigned and convicted. These candid critics never remember any thing he says in honour of our holy religion; though it is true that one of his leading arguments is made to rest upon the internal evidence which the purest of all religions carries with it. I quote his words, and conclude from them, that he is a true and hearty Christian, in substance, not in ceremony; though possibly he may not agree with my Reverend Lords the Bishops, or with the Head of the Church, that prayers are morality, or that kneeling is religion.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET-

FROM

on the it has your la and wi fluency in my is defo

your p afferted You re accusais not ruption

Yo

I H to you public

LETTER LVI.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

17. August, 1771.

Congratulate you, Sir, on the recovery of your wonted style, though it has cost you a fortnight. I compassionate your labour in the composition of your letters, and will communicate to you the secret of my fluency.—Truth needs no ornament; and, in my opinion, what she borrows of the pencil is deformity.

You brought a positive charge against me of corruption. I denied the charge and called for your proofs. You replied with abuse and reasserted your charge. I called again for proofs. You reply again with abuse only, and drop your accusation. In your fortnight's letter there is not one word upon the subject of my corruption.

I HAVE no more to fay, but to return thanks to you for your condescension, and to a grateful public and bonest ministry for all the favours they they have conferred upon me. The two latter, I am fure, will never refuse me any grace I shall solicit; and since you have been pleased to acknowledge that you told a deliberate lye in my favour out of bounty, and as a charitable donation, why may I not expect that you will hereaster (if you do not forget you ever mentioned my name with disrespect) make the same acknowledgement for what you have said to my prejudice?—This second recantation will perhaps be more abhorrent from your disposition; but should you decline it, you will only assorb one more instance how much easier it is to be generous than just, and that men are sometimes bountiful who are not honest.

AT all events I am as well fatisfied with your panegyric as Lord Chatham can be. Monument I shall have none; but over my grave it will be faid, in your own words, "Horne's fituation did not correspond with his intentions." *

JOHN HORNE.

LET-

TO

MI

are n gation idea They in the politic the g held t becam Lord with c to the if Cron Machia an app in focio of the midabl mous e

without

VOL.

^{*} The epitaph would not be ill suited to the character;

At the best, it is but equivocal.

LETTER LII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

th

e.

ny

ls,

bis

E.

T-

ter i

28. Sept. 1771.

THE people of England are not apprifed of the full extent of their obligations to you. They have yet no adequate idea of the endless variety of your character. They have feen you diftinguished and successful in the continued violation of those moral and political duties, by which the little, as well as the great focieties of life, are collected and held together. Every colour, every character With a rate of abilities, which became you. Lord Weymouth very juftly looks down upon with contempt, you have done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done, if Crom-well had been a coward, and as much as Machiavel, if Machiavel had not known, that an appearance of morals and religion are useful in fociety.-To a thinking man, the influence of the crown will, in no view, appear fo formidable, as when he observes to what enormous excesses it has fafely conducted your Grace, without a ray of real understanding, without VOL. II. M even even the pretenfions to common decency or principle of any kind, or a fingle spark of perfonal resolution. What must be the operation of that pernicious influence, (for which our Kings have wifely exchanged the nugatory name of prerogative) that, in the highest stations, can fo abundantly supply the absence of virtue, courage, and abilities, and qualify a man to be the minister of a great nation, whom a private gentleman would be ashamed and afraid to admit into his family! Like the univerfal paffport of an ambassador, it supersedes the prohibition of the laws, banishes the staple virtues of the country, and introduces vice and folly triumphantly into all the departments of Other princes, besides his Majesty, have had the means of corruption within their reach, but they have used it with moderation. In former times corruption was confidered as a foreign auxiliary to government, and only called in upon extraordinary emergencies. unfeigned piety, the fanctified religion of George the Third have taught him to new model the civil forces of the state. The natural resources of the crown are no longer confided in. Corruption glitters in the van ;-collects and maintains a standing army of mercenaries, and, at the fame moment, impoverishes and enslaves the country.-His Majesty's predecessors, (excepting that worthy family, from which you, my Lord.

ger vice The or I but The had to r with peop on.-

Lo

rage with publ The with

Stua

defer ther fuppe neces

was to other count

appar their Lord, are unquestionably descended,) had some generous qualities in their composition, with vices, 1 confess, or frailties in abundance. They were kings or gentlemen, not hypocrites or priests. They were at the head of the church, but did not know the value of their office. They faid their prayers without ceremony, and had too little priestcraft in their understanding, to reconcile the fanctimonious forms of religion with the utter destruction of the morality of their people. My Lord, this is fact, not declamation .- With all your partiality to the house of Stuart, you must confess, that even Charles the Second would have blushed at that open encouragement, at those eager, meretricious caresses. with which every species of private vice and public profitution is received at St. James's .-The unfortunate house of Stuart has been treated with an asperity, which, if comparison be a defence, seems to border upon injustice. Neither Charles nor his brother were qualified to support such a system of measures, as would be necessary, to change the government, and supvert the constitution of England. One of them was too much in earnest in his pleasures,—the other in his religion. But the danger to this country would cease to be problematical, if the crown should ever descend to a prince, whose apparent fimplicity might throw his fubjects off their guard, -who might be no libertine in behaviour, M 2

il

of

i-

ns

he

he

pt-

my

rd.

haviour,-who should have no sense of honour to restrain him, and who, with just religion enough to impose upon the multitude, might have no scruples of conscience to interfere with his morality. With these honourable qualifications, and the decifive advantage of fituation, low craft and falsehood are all the abilities that are wanting to destroy the wisdom of ages, and to deface the noblest monument that human policy has erected—I know fuch a man; -My Lord, I know you both; and with the bleffing of God (for I too am religious,) the people of England shall know you as well as I do. I am not very fure, that greater abilities would not in effect be an impediment to a defign, which feems at first fight to require a superior capacity. A better understanding might make him fensible of the wonderful beauty of that fystem he was endeavouring to corrupt. The danger of the attempt might alarm him. The meannefs, and intrinsic worthlessness of the object (supposing he could attain it) would fill him with shame, repentance, and difgust. But these are sensations, which find no entrance into a barbarous, contracted heart. In fome men, there is a malignant passion to destroy the works of genius, literature, and freedom. The Vandal and the Monk find equal gratification in it.

REFLECTIONS

F

ge

att

yo

me

fad

no

fic

WC

mı

tu:

cir

na bei

cor

cef

it f

the

pro

ous

ject min

the

REFLECTIONS like these, my Lord, have a general relation to your Grace, and inseparably attend you, in whatever company or situation your character occurs to us. They have no immediate connexion with the following recent sact, which I lay before the public, for the honour of the best of Sovereigns, and for the edification of his people.

A PRINCE (whose piety and self-denial, one would think, might fecure him from fuch a multitude of worldly necessities,) with an annual revenue of near a million sterling, unfortunately wants money .- The navy of England, by an equally strange concurrence of unforfeen circumstances, (though not quite so unfortunately for his Majesty) is in equal want of timber. The world knows, in what a hopeful condition you delivered the navy to your fucceffor, and in what a condition we found it in . the moment of diffress. You were determined it should continue in the situation in which you left it. It happened, however, very luckily for the privy purfe, that one of the above wants promised fair to supply the other. Our religious, benevolent, generous Sovereign has no objection to felling his own timber to his own admiralty, to repair his own ships, nor to putting the money into his own pocket. People of a religious turn naturally adhere to the principles

of the church. Whatever they acquire falls into mortmain. - Upon a representation from the admiralty of the extraordinary want of timber, for the indispensable repairs of the navy, the furveyor general was directed to make a furvey of the timber in all the royal chaces and forests in England. Having obeyed his orders with accuracy and attention, he reported, that the finest timber he had any where met with, and the properest in every respect for the purposes of the navy, was in Whittlebury Forest, of which your Grace, I think, is hereditary ranger. In consequence of this report, the usual warrant was prepared at the treasury, and delivered to the furveyor, by which he or his deputy were authorised to cut down any trees in Whittlebury Forest, which should appear to be proper for the purposes above-mentioned. The deputy being informed that the warrant was figned and delivered to his principal in London, crosses the country to Northamptonthire, and with an officious zeal for the public service, begins to do his duty in the forest. Unfortunately for him, he had not the warrant in his pocket. The overfight was enormous, and you have punished him for it accordingly. You have infifted that an active, useful officer should be dismissed from his place. You have ruined an innocent man, and his family. In what language shall I address so black, so cowardly a tyrant;—thou worfe

worf Stuar it is base but a furve rant, clared ing, ecute in th Forest a mod you a his b your vested ginal lic, co very r honou by a po timber this e ons of the no those e

rious n

the ma

their w

worse than one of the Brunswicks, and all the Stuarts !- To them, who know Lord North, it is unnecessary to fay, that he was mean and base enough to submit to you .- This however is but a small part of the fact. After ruining the furveyor's deputy, for acting without the warrant, you attacked the warrant itself. You declared it was illegal, and swore, in a fit of foaming, frantic passion, that it never should be ex-You afferted upon your honour, that ecuted. in the grant of the rangership of Whittlebury Forest, made by Charles the Second, (whom, with a modesty that would do honour to Mr. Rigby, you are pleafed to call your ancestor) to one of his bastards, (from whom I make no doubt of your descent,) the property of the timber is vested in the ranger. I have examined the original grant, and now, in the face of the public, contradict you directly upon the fact. The very reverse, of what you have afferted upon your honour, is the truth. The grant, expressly, and by a particular clause, reserves the property of the timber for the use of the crown. In spite of this evidence, -in defiance of the representations of the admiralty,-in perfect mockery of the notorious distresses of the English navy, and those equally pressing, and almost equally notorious necessities of your pious Sovereign,-here the matter rests. The lords of the treasury recal. their warrant; the deputy-furveyor is ruined for. doing

doing his duty; - Mr. John Pitt, (whose name I suppose is offensive to you) submits to be browbeaten and infulted; --- the oaks keep their ground;-the King is defrauded, and the navy of England may perish for want of the best and finest timber in the island. And all this is fubmitted to-to appeare the Duke of Grafton !-To gratify the man, who has involved the King and his kingdom in confusion and distress, and who, like a treacherous coward, deferted his Sovereign in the midst of it!

THERE has been a strange alteration in your doctrines, fince you thought it adviseable to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, in order to strengthen the interest of Lord Bute's fon-inlaw, before the last general election. Nullum tempus occurrit regi, was then your boasted motto, and the cry of all your hungry partizans. Now it feems a grant of Charles the Second to one of his baftards is to be held facred and inviolable! It must not be questioned by the King's fervants, nor submitted to any interpretation but your own. My Lord, this was not the language you held, when it fuited you to infult the memory of the glorious deliverer of England from that detested family, to which you are fill more nearly allied in principle than in blood. In the name of decency and commonfense, what are your Grace's merits, either with

King

King

fume

Is it t

the h

dence

Lord

cream

try fi

gentle

friend

that

fubfi perio

Is th

longe

your

nome

unde the f

it.

and a repin King or ministry, that should intitle you to asfume this domineering authority over both?-Is it the fortunate confanguinity you claim with the house of Stuart?-Is it the secret correspondence you have for fo many years carried on with Lord Bute, by the assiduous assistance of your cream-coloured parafite? Could not your gallantry find fufficient employment for him, in those gentle offices by which he first acquired the tender friendship of Lord Barrington? Or is it only that wonderful fympathy of manners, which fubfists between your Grace and one of your fuperiors, and does fo much honour to you both? Is the union of Blifil and Black George no longer a romance? From whatever origin your influence in this country arises, it is a phænomenon in the history of human virtue and understanding. Good men can hardly believe the fact. Wife men are unable to account for it. Religious men find exercise for their faith, and make it the last effort of their piety, not to repine against providence.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LVIII.

ADDRESSED TO THE LIVERY OF LONDON.

GENTLEMEN,

30. Sept. 1771.

I F you alone were concerned in the event of the present election of a chief magistrate of the metropolis, it would be the highest presumption in a stranger, to attempt to influence your choice, or even to offer you his opinion. But the fituation of public affairs has annexed an extraordinary importance to your resolutions. You cannot, in the choice of your magistrate, determine for yourselves only. You are going to determine upon a point, in which every member of the community is inter-I will not scruple to fay, that the very being of that law, of that right, of that constitution, for which we have been so long contending, is now at stake. They, who would enfnare your judgment, tell you, it is a common ordinary case, and to be decided by ordinary precedent and practice. They artfully conclude, from moderate peaceable times, to times which are not moderate, and which ought not to be peaceable.-While they folicit your favour, they in-GA

fift up

LE vour mean we a very that which obtai a full for th rate, the w mean with unaco he ha -As a pro the c powe ons i form he h thwa have

hithe

batio

fift upon a rule of rotation, which excludes all idea of election.

LET me be honoured with a few minutes of your attention.-The question, to those who mean fairly to the liberty of the people, (which we all profess to have in view) lies within a very narrow compass.-Do you mean to desert that just and honourable system of measures which you have hitherto purfued, in hopes of obtaining, from parliament or from the crown, a full redress of past grievances, and a security for the future ?- Do you think the cause desperate, and will you declare, that you think fo to the whole people of England ?-If this be your meaning and opinion, you will act confiftently with it, in choosing Mr. Nash .- I profess to be unacquainted with his private character. But he has acted as a magistrate,—as a public man. -As fuch I speak of him,-I see his name in a protest against one of your remonstrances to the crown.—He has done every thing in his power to destroy the freedom of popular elections in the city by publishing the poll upon a former occasion; and I know, in general, that he has diffinguished himself, by flighting and thwarting all those public measures, which youhave engaged in with the greatest warmth, and hitherto thought most worthy of your approbation.-From his past conduct, what conclusion will you draw, but that he will act the same part as Lord Mayor, which he has invariably acted as Alderman and Sheriff! He cannot alter his conduct, without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind.—I should be forry to injure the character of a man, who perhaps may be honest in his intention, by supposing it possible, that he can ever concur with you in any political measure, or opinion.

IF, on the other hand, you mean to perfevere in those resolutions for the public good, which, though not always fuccefsful, are always honourable, your choice will naturally incline to those men, who, (whatever they be in other respects,) are most likely to co-operate with you in the great purposes which you are determined not to relinquish?—The question is not, of what metal your instruments are made, but whether they are adapted to the work you have in hand? The honours of the city, in these times, are improperly, because exclusively, called a reward. You mean not merely to pay, but to employ .- Are Mr. Crofby and Mr. Sawbridge likely to execute the extraordinary, as well as the ordinary duties of Lord Mayor? ----- Will they grant you common halls when it shall be necessary?-Will they go up with remonstrances to the King ?—Have they firmness enough at import to haze if it for giflation answer made. I am comes

my he

to me

то т

SI

which people The c diminries w reputa to meet the fury of a venal house of commons?

—Have they fortitude enough not to shrink at imprisonment?—Have they spirit enough to hazard their lives and fortunes in a contest, if it should be necessary, with a prostituted legislature?—If these questions can fairly be answered in the affirmative, your choice is made. Forgive this passionate language.—I am unable to correct it.—The subject comes home to us all.—It is the language of my heart.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR,

e

5. October, 1771.

No man laments, more fincerely than I do, the unhappy differences, which have arisen among the friends of the people, and divided them from each other. The cause undoubtedly suffers, as well by the diminution of that strength, which union carries with it, as by the separate loss of personal reputation, which every man sustains, when

his character and conduct are frequently held forth in odious or contemptible colours.-These differences are only advantageous to the common enemy of the country. The hearty friends of the cause are provoked and disgusted. -The lukewarm advocate avails himself of any pretence to relapse into that indolent indifference about every thing that ought to interest an Englishman, so unjustly dignified with the title of moderation.-The false, insidious partisan, who creates or foments the diforder, fees the fruit of his dishonest industry ripen beyond his hopes, and rejoices in the promise of a banquet, only delicious to fuch an appetite as his own.-It is time for those, who really mean the Cause and the People, who have no view to private advantage, and who have virtue enough to prefer the general good of the community to the gratification of personal animosities, --- it is time for fuch men to interpose.-Let us try whether these fatal dissentions may not yet be reconciled; or, if that be impracticable, let us guard at least against the worst effects of division, and endeavour to perfuade these furious partizans, if they will not confent to draw together, to be separately useful to that cause, which they all pretend to be attached to .-Honour and honesty must not be renounced, although a thousand modes of right and wrong were to occupy the degrees of morality between

Zeno ples thoug exclu it pa ther. liber lishn Will bridg cate, Savil Chat their preta fpeal us er their mucl as the

> IF ture, teem imm ty of coun Maye

he m

Zeno

cann

them

Zeno and Epicurus. The fundamental principles of Christianity may still be preserved, though every zealous fectary adheres to his own exclusive doctrine, and pious Ecclesiastics make it part of their religion to persecute one another. The civil constitution too, that legal liberty, that general creed, which every Englishman professes, may still be supported, though Wilkes, and Horne, and Townfend, and Sawbridge, should obstinately refuse to communicate, and even if the fathers of the church, if Savil, Richmond, Camden, Rockingham, and Chatham, should disagree in the ceremonies of their political worship, and even in the interpretation of twenty texts in Magna Charta.-I speak to the people as one of the people.-Let us employ these men in whatever departments their various abilities are best suited to, and as much to the advantage of the common cause, as their different inclinations will permit. They cannot ferve us, without effentially ferving themselves.

IF Mr. Nash be elected, he will hardly venture, after so recent a mark of the personal esteem of his fellow-citizens, to declare himself immediately a courtier. The spirit and activity of the Sheriff, will, I hope, be sufficient to counteract any sinister intentions of the Lord-Mayor. In collision with their virtue, perhaps he may take sire

IT is not necessary to exact from Mr. Wilkes the virtues of a Stoic. They were inconfistent with themselves, who, almost at the same moment, represented him as the basest of mankind, yet feemed to expect from him fuch instances of fortitude and felf-denial, as would do honour to an apostle. It is not however flattery to fay, that he is obstinate, intrepid, and fertile in expedients.-That he has no possible resource, but in the public favour, is, in my judgment, a confiderable recommendation of him. I wish that every man, who pretended to popularity, were in the fame predicament. I wish that a retreat to St. James's were not so easy and open, as Patriots have found it. To Mr. Wilkes there However he may be misled by is no access. passion or imprudence, I think he cannot be guilty of a deliberate treachery to the public. The favour of his country constitutes the shield, which defends him against a thousand daggers. Defertion would difarm him.

I CAN more readily admire the liberal spirit and integrity, than the sound judgment of any man, who prefers a republican form of government, in this or any other empire of equal extent, to a monarchy so qualified and limited as ours. I am convinced, that neither is it in theory the wisest system of government, nor practicable

the its ma pul of tac any an the but affa

pra

the den trar con:

to l

the

whi

tho

fum circ we l pref mon

him

practicable in this country. Yet, though I hope the English constitution will for ever preserve its original monarchical form, I would have the manners of the people purely and strictly republican .- I do not mean the licentious spirit of anarchy and riot .- I mean a general attachment to the common weal, distinct from any partial attachment to persons or families; - an implicit fubmission to the laws only, and an affection to the magistrate, proportioned to the integrity and wisdom, with which he dittributes justice to his people, and administers their affairs. The present habit of our political body appears to me the very reverse of what it ought The form of the conflitution leans rather more than enough to the popular branch; while, in effect, the manners of the people (of those at least who are likely to take a lead in the country) incline too generally to a dependence upon the crown. The real friends of arbitrary power combine the facts, and are not inconfistent with their principles, when they strenuoully support the unwarrantable privileges affumed by the house of commons. - In these circumstances, it were much to be defired, that we had many fuch men as Mr. Sawbridge to represent us in parliament. I speak from common report and opinion only, when I impute to him a speculative predilection in favour of a republic. In the perfonal conduct and manners Vol. II. of

LS

n

le

ch

ar

of

W

hu

ne

m:

Br

nie

OV

po:

and

the

itfe

leg

and

con

del

to 1

the

Ia

fent

the

pro

por fub

reco

fible

lega

of the man, I cannot be mistaken. He has shewn himself possessed of that republican sirmness, which the times require, and by which an English gentleman may be as usefully and as honourably distinguished, as any citizen of ancient Rome, of Athens, or Lacedæmon.

MR. Townfend complains, that the public gratitude has not been answerable to his deserts. -It is not difficult to trace the artifices, which have fuggested to him a language, so unworthy of his understanding. A great man commands the affections of the people. A prudent man does not complain when he has loft them. Yet they are far from being lost to Mr. Townsend. He has treated our opinion a little too cavalierly. A young man is apt to rely too confidently upon himself, to be as attentive to his mistress, as a polite and passionate lover ought to be. Perhaps he found her at first too easy a conquest.-Yet, I fancy, she will be ready to receive him, whenever he thinks proper to renew his addresses. With all his youth, his spirit, and his appearance, it would be indecent in the lady to folicit his return.

I HAVE too much respect for the abilities of Mr. Horne, to flatter myself that these gentlemen will ever be cordially re-united. It is not, however, unreasonable to expect, that each of them

them should act his separate part, with honour and integrity to the public. As for differences of opinion upon fuch speculative questions, if we wait until they are reconciled, the action of human affairs must be suspended for ever. But neither are we to look for perfection in any one man, nor for agreement among many .--- When Lord Chatham affirms, that the authority of the British legislature is not supreme over the colonies in the same sense in which it is supreme over Great Britain ; --- when Lord Camden fupposes a necessity, (which the King is to judge of) and, founded upon that necessity, attributes to the crown a legal power (not given by the act itself) to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature, -I listen to them both with diffidence and respect, but without the smallest degree of conviction or affent. Yet, I doubt not, they delivered their real fentiments, nor ought they to be hastily condemned.—I too have a claim to the candid interpretation of my country, when I acknowledge an involuntary, compulfive affent to one very unpopular opinion. I lament the unhappy necessity, whenever it arises, of providing for the fafety of the state, by a temporary invasion of the personal liberty of the Would to God it were practicable to reconcile these important objects, in every posfible fituation of public affairs !- I regard the legal liberty of the meanest man in Britain, as much N 2

-

te

S

fe

fa

th

L

m

di

uj

ar

Ve

CO

pa

pa

re

ar

it

fie

is

much as my own, and would defend it with the fame zeal. I know we must stand or fall together. But I never can doubt, that the community has a right to command, as well as to purchase, the service of its members. I see that right founded originally upon a necessity, which supercedes all argument. I see it established by usage immemorial, and admitted by more than a tacit affent of the legislature. I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of things, for the grievance complained of; for, if there were, it must long fince have been redressed. Though numberless opportunities have presented themfelves, highly favourable to public liberty, no fuccessful attempt has ever been made for the relief of the subject in this article. Yet it has been felt and complained of, ever fince England had a navy. The conditions, which constitute this right, must be taken together. Separately, they have little weight. It is not fair to argue, from any abuse in the execution, to the illegality of the power; much less is a conclusion to be drawn from the navy to the land fervice. A feaman can never be employed but against the enemies of his country. The only case in which the King can have a right to arm his subjects in general, is that of a foreign force being actually landed upon our coast. Whenever that case happens, no true Englishman will inquire, whether the King's right to compel him to defend his

his country be the custom of England, or a grant of the legislature. With regard to the press for scamen, it does no follow that the symptoms may not be softened, although the distemper cannot be cured. Let bounties be increased as far as the public purse can support them. Still they have a limit; and when every reasonable expence is incurred, it will be found, in sact, that the spur of the press is wanted to give operation to the bounty.

UPON the whole, I never had a doubt about the strict right of pressing, until I heard that Lord Mansfield had applauded Lord Chatham for delivering fomething like this doctrine in the house of lords. That consideration staggered me not a little. But, upon reflection, his conduct accounts naturally for itself. He knew the doctrine was unpopular, and was eager to fix it upon the man, who is the first object of his fear and detestation. The cunning Scotchman never speaks truth without a fradulent defign. In council, he generally affects to take a moderate Besides his natural timidity, it makes part of his political plan, never to be known to recommend violent measures. When the guards are called forth to murder their fellow-subjects, it is not by the oftenfible advice of Lord Manffield. That odious office, his prudence tells him, is better left to fuch men as Gower and Weymouth.

mouth, as Barrington and Grafton. Lord Hilliborough wifely confines bis firmness to the diftant Americans. The defigns of Mansfield are more fubtle, more effectual, and fecure. Who attacks the liberty of the press? -- Lord Mansfield.—Who invades the constitutional power of juries? Lord Mansfield. What judge ever challenged a juryman, but Lord Mansfield? --- Who was that judge, who, to fave the King's brother, affirmed, that a man of the first rank and quality, who obtains a verdict in a fuit for criminal conversation, is entitled to no greater damages than the meanest mechanic? Lord Mansfield. Who is it makes commissioners of the great feal ?- Lord Mansfield. - Who is it forms a decree for those commissioners, deciding against Lord Chatham, and afterwards (finding himself opposed by the judges) declares in parliament, that he never had a doubt that the law was in direct opposition to that decree? -Lord Mansfield. Who is he, that has made it the study and practice of his life, to undermine and alter the whole system of jurisprudence in the court of King's Bench ?- Lord Mansfield. There never existed a man but himfelf, who answered exactly to so complicated a description. Compared to these enormities, his original attachment to the pretender, (to whom his dearest brother was confidential secretary) is a virtue of the first magnitude. But the hour

of

0

G

CC

H

p

O

m

pi

of

th

or

uf

th

Ly

be

W

fe:

pu

fe

Ye

th

CC

du

of

th

fu

ty.

H

of impeachment will come, and neither he nor Grafton shall escape me. Now let them make common cause against England and the house of Hanover. A Stuart and a Murray should sympathise with each other.

WHEN I refer to fignal instances of unpopular opinions delivered and maintained by men, who may well be supposed to have no view but the public good, I do not mean to renew the discussion of fuch opinions. I should be forry to revive the dormant questions of Stamp-act, Corn-bill, or Press-warrant. I mean only to illustrate one useful proposition, which it is the intention of this paper to inculcate; That we should not generally reject the friendship or services of any man, because be differs from us in a particular opinion. This will not appear a fuperfluous caution, if we obferve the ordinary conduct of mankind. In public affairs, there is the least chance of a perfect concurrence of fentiment, or inclination. Yet every man is able to contribute fomething to the common stock, and no man's contribution should be rejected. If individuals have no virtues, their vices may be of use to us. I care not with what principle the new-born patriot is animated, if the meafures he supports are beneficial to the community. The nation is interested in his own conduct. His motives are his own. The properties of a patriot

patriot are perishable in the individual, but there is a quick fuccession of the subjects, and the breed is worth preferving. --- The fpirit of the Americans may be an useful example to us. Our dogs and horses are only English upon English ground; but patriotism, it seems, may be improved by transplanting. -- I will not reject a bill, which tends to confine parliamentary privilege within reasonable bounds, though it it should be stolen from the house of Cavendish, and introduced by Mr. Onflow. The features of the infant are a proof of the descent, and vindicate the noble birth from the bafeness of the adoption.-I willingly accept of a farcasim from Colonel Barré, or a simile from Mr. Burke. Even the filent vote of Mr. Calcraft is worth reckoning in a division. What though he riots in the plunder of the army, and has only determined to be a patriot, when he could not be a peer?-Let us profit by the affiftance of fuch men, while they are with us, and place them, if it be possible, in the post of danger, to prevent desertion. The wary Wedderburne, the pompous Suffolk never threw away the scabbard, nor ever went upon a forlorn hope. They always treated the King's fervants as men, with whom, fome time or other, they might possibly be in friendship. When a man, who stands forth for the public, has gone that length, from which there is no practicable retreat, --- when

he. wh beg wil his 10 Lei but acc the the In end

> try cer for ene the nei pro fan ftit pre ing

> > on gar

> > me

he has given that kind of personal offence, which a pious monarch never pardons, I then begin to think him in earnest, and that he never will have occasion to solicit the forgiveness of his country.—But instances of a determination so entire and unreserved are rarely met with. Let us take mankind, as they are. Let us distribute the virtues and abilities of individuals, according to the offices they affect, and when they quit the service, let us endeavour to supply their places with better men than we have lost. In this country, there are always candidates enough for popular favour. The temple of fame is the shortest passage to riches and preferment.

Arove all things, let me guard my countrymen against the meanness and folly of accepting of a trisling or moderate compensation, for extraordinary and essential injuries. Our enemies treat us, as the cunning trader does the unskilful Indian. They magnify their generosity, when they give us baubles, of little proportionate value, for ivory and gold. The same house of commons, who robbed the constituent body of their right of free election, who presumed to make a law under pretence of declaring it, who paid our good King's debts, without once enquiring how they were incurred; who gave thanks for repeated murders committed at home.

home, and for national infamy incurred abroad; who screened Lord Mansfield; who imprisoned the magistrates of the metropolis, for afferting the subjects right to the protection of the laws; who erased a judicial record, and ordered all proceedings in a criminal fuit to be suspended; -this very house of commons have graciously confented, that their own members may be compelled to pay their debts, and that contested elections shall for the future be determined with fome decent regard to the merits of the case. The event of the fuit is of no consequence to While parliaments are feptennial, the crown. the purchase of the fitting member or of the petitioner makes but the difference of a day. - Concessions, such as these, are of little moment to the fum of things; unless it be to prove, that the worst of men are sensible of the injuries they have done us, and perhaps to demonstrate to us the imminent danger of our fituation. shipwreck of the state, trisles float and are preferved; while every thing folid and valuable finks to the bottom, and is loft for ever.

JUNIUS.

TO

S

is i man him dour him with who feren tainl the K counci the o he fo fity, o be ju judge

exist .-

LETTER LX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR,

15. October, 1771.

I AM convinced that Junius is incapable of wilfully mifreprefenting any man's opinion, and that his inclination leads him to treat Lord Camden with particular candour and respect. The doctrine attributed to him by Junius, as far as it goes, corresponds with that stated by your correspondent Scavola, who feems to make a distinction without a difference. Lord Camden, it is agreed, did certainly maintain that, in the recess of parliament, the King, (by which we all mean the King in council, or the executive power) might suspend the operation of an act of the legislature; and he founded his doctrine upon a supposed necesfity, of which the King, in the first instance, must be judge. The lords and commons cannot be judges of it in the first instance, for they do not exist .- Thus far Junius.

But fays Scawola, Lord Camden made perliament, and not the King, judges of the neceffity.-That parliament may review the acts of ministers is unquestionable; but there is a wide difference between faying that the crown has a legal power, and, that ministers may act at their peril. When we fay an act is illegal, we mean that it is forbidden by a joint resolution of the three estates. How a subsequent resolution of two of those branches can make it legal ab initio, will require explanation. If it could, the confequence would be truly dreadful, especially in these times. There is no act of arbitrary power, which the King might not attribute to necessity, and for which he would not be secure of obtaining the approbation of his proftituted lords and commons. If Lord Camden admits, that the subsequent fanction of parliament was necessary to make the proclamation legal, why did he so obstinately oppose the bill, which was foon after brought in, for indemnifying all those persons, who had acted under it?-If that bill had not been passed, I am ready to maintain, in direct contradiction to Lord Camden's doctrine, (taken as Scavola flates it) that a litigious exporter of corn, who had fuffered in his property in confequence of the proclamation, might have laid his action against the custom-house officers, and would infallibly falli coul mea affu hall I we ance hour law, parl stron an H

that pears tyran Infter legal,

cause

ating

" bed the

* col

fallibly have recovered damages. No jury could refuse them; and if I, who am by no means litigious, had been so injured, I would assuredly have instituted a suit in Westminsterhall, on purpose to try the question of right. I would have done it upon a principle of desiance of the pretended power of either or both houses to make declarations inconsistent with law, and I have no doubt, that, with an act of parliament of my side, I should have been too strong for them all. This is the way, in which an Englishman should speak and act, and not suffer dangerous precedents to be established, because the circumstances are favourable or palliating.

WITH regard to Lord Camden, the truth is, that he inadvertently over-shot himself, as appears plainly by that unguarded mention of a tyranny of forty days, which I myself heard. Instead of afferting, that the proclamation was legal, he should have said, "My lords, I know "the proclamation was illegal, but I advised it because it was indispensably necessary to save "the kingdom from samine, and I submit "myself to the justice and mercy of my "country."

ľ

0

C

0

Such language as this would have been manly, rational, and confistent:—not unfit for a lawyer, and every way worthy of a great man.

PHILO JUNIUS.

P. S. IF Scavola should think proper to write again upon this subject, I beg of him to give me a direct answer, that is, a plain affirmative or negative, to the following questions:-In the interval between the publishing such a proclamation (or order of council) as that in question, and it's receiving the fanction of the two houses, of what nature is it—is it legal or illegal; or is it neither one nor the other?-I mean to be candid, and will point out to him the consequence of his answer either way.-If it be legal, it wants no farther fanction. be illegal, the subject is not bound to obey it, consequently it is a useless, nugatory act, even as to it's declared purpose. Before the meeting of parliament, the whole mischief, which it means to prevent, will have been completed.

char a m tran his the call the

in d

In ficho ing If I very allow

King

LETTER LXI.

TO ZENO.

SIR,

17. 02. 1771.

HE sophistry of your letter in desence of Lord Manssield is adapted to the character you desend. But Lord Manssield is a man of form, and seldom in his behaviour transgresses the rules of decorum. I shall imitate his lordship's good manners, and leave you in the full possession of his principles. I will not call you liar, jesuit, or willain; but, with all the politeness imaginable, perhaps I may prove you so.

LIKE other fair pleaders in Lord Mansfield's school of justice, you answer Junius by misquoting his words, and mistaking his propositions. If I am candid enough to admit that this is the very logic taught at St. Omer's, you will readily allow that it is the constant practice in the court of King's-bench.—Junius does not say, that he never had a doubt about the strict right of pressing,

His words are, until be beard that Lord Manffield had applauded Lord Chatham for maintaining that doctrine in the house of lords. It was not the accidental concurrence of Lord Mansfield's opinion, but the suspicious applause given by a cunning Scotchman to the man he detests, that raised and justified a doubt in the mind of Junius. The question is not, whether Lord Mansfield be a man of learning and abilities (which Junius has never disputed,) but whether or no he abuses and misapplies his talents.

Junius did not fay that Lord Mansfield had advised the calling out the guards. On the contrary, his plain meaning is, that he left that odious office to men less cunning than himself. -Whether Lord Mansfield's doctrine concerning libels be or be not an attack upon the liberty of the press, is a question, which the public in general are very well able to determine. I shall not enter into it at present. Nor do l think it necessary to say much to a man, who had the daring confidence to fay to a jury, "Gentlemen, you are to bring in a verdict " guilty or not guilty, but whether the defendant " be guilty or innocent is not matter for your " confideration." Cloathe it in what language you will, this is the fum total of Lord Mansfield's

fiel

abi
the
bu
cie
al
fecce
Lor
wo
pri

ty can the havent

abı

and

on, to

tily

by

field doctrine. If not, let Zeno shew us the difference.

f

d

-

d

10

at

f.

1-

1-

ne

e.

1

10

у,

Et

nt

ur ge

15-

But it seems, the liberty of the press may be abused, and the abuse of a valuable privilege is the certain means to lose it. The first 1 admit,but let the abuse be submitted to a jury, a sufficient and indeed the only legal and constitutional check upon the licence of the press. The second, I flatly deny. In direct contradiction to Lord Mansfield I affirm that "the abuse of a " valuable privilege is not the certain means to "lose it." If it were, the English nation would have few privileges left; for where is the privilege that has not, at one time or other, been abused by individuals. But it is false in reason and equity, that particular abuses should produce a general forfeiture. Shall the community be deprived of the protection of the laws because there are robbers and murderers? - Shall the community be punished, because individuals have offended. Lord Mansfield fays fo, confiftently enough with his principles, but I wonder to find him fo explicit. Yet, for one concession, however extorted, I confess myself obliged The liberty of the press is after all a to him. valuable privilege. I agree with him most heartily, and will defend it against him.

You ask me, What juryman was challenged by Lord Mansfield?——I tell you, his name was Vol. II.

O

Benson.

jı

n

27

e

to

y

K

th

ca

th

of

it

pu br

pr

no

TI

of

fen

po

and

God

con

T

Lor

bab

tole

Benson. When his name was called, Lord Mansfield ordered the clerk to pass him by. As for his reasons, you may ask himself, for he assigned none. But I can tell you what all men thought of it. This Benson had been refractory upon a former jury, and would not accept of the law as delivered by Lord Mansfield; but had the impudence to pretend to think for himfelf. - But you it feems, honest Zeno, know nothing of the matter! You never read Junius's letter to your patron! You never heard of the intended instructions from the city to impeach Lord Mansfield !- You never heard by what dexterity of Mr. Paterson that measure was prevented! How wonderfully ill some people are informed!

Junius did never affirm that the crime, of seducing the wife of a mechanic or a peer, is not the same, taken in a moral or religious view. What he affirmed in contradiction to the levelling principle so lately adopted by Lord Mansfield was, that the damages should be proportioned to the rank and fortune of the parties; and for this plain reason; (admitted by every other judge that ever sat in Westminster Hall) because, what is a compensation or penalty to one man is none to another. The sophistical distinction you attempt to draw between the person injured, and the person injuring is Mansfield all over. If you can

can once establish the proposition that the injured party is not entitled to receive large damages, it follows pretty plainly that the party injuring should not be compelled to pay them; confequently the King's brother is effectually screened by Lord Mansfield's doctrine. Your reference to Nathan and David come naturally in aid of your patron's professed system of jurisprudence. He is fond of introducing into the court of King's Bench any law that contradicts or excludes the common law of England; whether it be canon, civil, jus gentium, or levitical. But, Sir, the Bible is the code of our religious faith, not of our municipal jurisprudence; and though it was the pleasure of God to inflict a particular punishment upon David's crime (taken as a breach of his divine commands) and to fend his prophet to denounce it, an English jury have nothing to do either with David or the prophet. They confider the crime, only as it is a breach of order, an injury to an individual, and an offence to fociety, and they judge of it by certain positive rules of law, or by the practice of their ancestors. Upon the whole, the man, after God's own heart is much indebted to you for comparing him to the Duke of Cumberland .-That his Royal Highness may be the man after Lord Mansfield's own heart feems much more probable, and you I think, Mr. Zeno, might fucceed tolerably well in the character of Nathan. The evil 0 2

e

n

S

e

t-

u

in

evil deity, the prophet, and the royal finner would be very proper company for one another.

You fay Lord Mansfield did not make the commissioners of the Great Seal, and that he only advised the King to appoint. I believe funius meant no more, and the distinction is hardly worth disputing.—

You fay he did not deliver an opinion upon Lord Chatham's appeal.—I affirm that he did, directly in favour of the appeal. This is a point of fact to be determined by evidence only. But you affign no reason for his supposed silence, nor for his defiring a conference with the judges the day before. Was not all Westminster-hall convinced that he did it with a view to puzzle them with fome perplexing question, and in hopes of bringing some of them over to him? -You fay the commissioners were very capable of framing a decree for themselves. By the fact, it only appears, that they were capable of framing an illegal one, which, I apprehend, is not much to the credit either of their learning or integrity.

WE are both agreed that Lord Mansfield has incessantly laboured to introduce new modes of proceeding in the court where he presides; but

you

30

fa

m

CO

B

lii (v

pl

bu

ali Yo

be

m

leg M

No

ex

gre

po

eaf wit

jud

Of

you attribute it to an honest zeal in behalf of innocence oppressed by quibble and chicane. I fay that he has introduced new law too, and removed the landmarks established by former decisions. I say that his view is to change a court of common law into a court of equity, and to bring every thing within the arbitrium of a prætorian court. The public must determine between us. But now for his merits. First, then, the establishment of the judges in their places for life, (which you tell us was advised by Lord Mansfield) was a concession merely to catch the people. It bore the appearance of a royal bounty, but had nothing real in it. The judges were already for life, excepting in the case of a demise. Your boasted bill only provides that it shall not be in the power of the King's fucceffor to remove them. At the best therefore, it is only a legacy, not a gift on the part of his present Majesty, fince, for himself, he gives up nothing. -That he did oppose Lord Camden and Lord Northington upon the proclamation against the exportation of corn, is most true, and with great ability. With his talents, and taking the right fide of so clear a question, it was im possible to speak ill. His motives are not so eafily penetrated. They, who are acquainted with the state of politics, at that period, will judge of them somewhat differently from Zeno. Of the popular bills, which you fay he supported

of

t

211

ed in the house of lords, the most material is unquestionably that of Mr. Grenville, for deciding contested elections. But I should be glad to know upon what possible pretence any member of the upper house could oppose such a bill, after it had passed the house of commons ?- I do not pretend to know what share he had in promoting the other two bills, but I am ready to give him all the credit you defire. Still you will find, that a whole life of deliberate iniquity is ill atoned for, by doing now and then a laudable action upon a mixed or doubtful principle. -If it be unworthy of him, thus ungratefuly treated, to labour any longer for the public, in God's name let him retire. His brother's patron, (whose health he once was anxious for) is dead, but the fon of that unfortunate prince furvives, and, I dare fay, will be ready to receive him.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET.

TO

tria
wer
Pre
of,
ftan

terp fion On

LETTER LXII.

TO AN ADVOCATE IN THE CAUSE OF THE PEOPLE.

SIR,

. 8. Oa. 1771.

Y OU do not treat Junius fairly. You would not have condemned him fo hastily, if you had ever read Judge Foster's argument upon the legality of pressing seamen. A man, who has not read that argument, is not qualified to fpeak accurately upon the fubject. In answer to strong facts and fair reasoning, you produce nothing but a vague comparison between two things, which have little or no resemblance to each other. General Warrants, it is true, had been often issued, but they had never been regularly questioned or refisted, until the case of Mr. Wilkes. He brought them to trial, and the moment they were tried, they were declared illegal. This is not the case of Press Warrants. They have been complained of, questioned, and refisted in a thousand inflances; but still the legislature have never interposed, nor has there ever been a formal decifion against them in any of the superior courts. On the contrary, they have been frequently recognized

cognized and admitted by parliament, and there are judicial opinions given in their favour, by judges of the first character. Under the various circumstances, stated by Junius, he has a right to conclude, for bimfelf, that there is no remedy. If you have a good one to propose, you may depend upon the affistance and applause of Junius. The magistrate, who guards the liberty of the individual, deserves to be commended. But let him remember that it is also his duty to provide, or at least not to hazard the fafety of the community. If, in the case of a foreign war and the expectation of an invasion, you would rather keep your fleet in harbour, than man it by preffing feamen, who refuse the bounty, I have done.

You talk of disbanding the army with wonderful ease and indifference. If a wiser man held such language, I should be apt to suspect his sincerity.

As for keeping up a much greater number of seamen in time of peace, it is not to be done. You will oppress the merchant, you will distress trade, and destroy the nursery of your seamen. He must be a miserable statesman, who voluntarily, by the same act, increases the public expence, and lessens the means of supporting it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

fire:

ing poor mitted Loral (a finction an all ed. this a cr

field
"T"
th

Chie

" of

LETTER LXIII.

22. October, 1771.

A FRIEND of Junius defires it may be observed, (in answer to A Barrister at Law)

ing ordered a juryman to be passed by (which poor Zeno never heard of) is now formally admitted. When Mr. Benson's name was called, Lord Manssield was observed to slush in the face, (a signal of guilt not uncommon with him) and cried out, Pass him by. This I take to be something more than a peremptory challenge. It is an unlawful command, without any reason assigned. That the council did not resist, is true; but this might happen either from inadvertance, or a criminal complaisance to Lord Manssield.—You Barristers are too apt to be civil to my Lord Chief Justice, at the expence of your clients.

of

e.

s n.

1-

X-

.

JS.

2°. Junius did never say that Lord Mansfield had destroyed the liberty of the press. "That his lordship has laboured to destroy,—

[&]quot;that his doctrine is an attack upon the liberty

[&]quot; of the press,—that it is an invasion of the

"right of juries," are the propositions maintained by Junius. His opponents never answer him in point; for they never meet him fairly upon his own ground.

- 3°. Lord Mansfield's policy, in endeavouring to screen his unconstitutional doctrines behind an act of the legislature, is easily understood.

 —Let every Englishman stand upon his guard;

 —the right of juries to return a general verdict, in all cases whatsoever, is a part of our constitution. It stands in no need of a bill, either enacting or declaratory, to consirm it.
- 4°. WITH regard to the Grosvenor cause, it is pleasant to observe that the doctrine, attributed by Junius to Lord Manssield; is admitted by Zeno and directly defended. The Barrister has not the assurance to deny it flatly, but he evades the charge and softens the doctrine by such poor, contemptible quibbles, as cannot impose upon the meanest understanding.
- of King's Bench proves nothing but the litigious spirit of the people, arising from the great increase of wealth and commerce. These however are now upon the decline, and will soon leave nothing but law suits behind them. When Junius

funito a cour those the v deceing funition who process in evolution to a court those the view of the v

he, 1

Lord

Our ficult howe matter fucce comm in his proper

6° bam's fers tained "poi

" har

ther :

Junius affirms, that Lord Mansfield has laboured to alter the fystem of jurisprudence, in the court where his lordship presides, he speaks to those who are able to look a little farther than the vulgar. Besides that the multitude are easily deceived by the imposing names of equity and fubfantial justice, it does not follow, that a judge, who introduces into his court new modes of proceeding, and new principles of law, intends, in every instance, to decide unjustly. Why should he, where he has no interest? ---- We say that Lord Mansfield is a bad man, and a worse judge; -but we do not fay that he is a mere devil .-Our adversaries would fain reduce us to the difficulty of proving too much. This artifice however shall not avail him. The truth of the matter is plainly this. When Lord Mansfield has succeeded in his scheme of changing a court of common law to a court of equity, he will have it in his power to do injustice, whenever he thinks proper. This, though a wicked purpose, is neither absurd nor unattainable.

r

d

S

r,

n

rt

15

1-

e-

n

us

6°. The last paragraph, relative to Lord Chatbam's cause cannot be answered. It partly refers to sacts, of too secret a nature to be ascertained and partly is unintelligible. "Upon one "point, the cause is decided against Lord Chat-"ham.—Upon another point, it is decided for "him." well suited to a Barrister!—If I have any guess at this honest gentleman's meaning, it is, that, "whereas the commissioners of the "Great Seal saw the question in a point of view unfavourable to Lord Chatham, and decreed accordingly,—Lord Mansfield, out of meer love and kindness to Lord Chatham, took the pains to place it in a point of view more favourable to the appellant."—Credat Judaus Apella.—So curious an affertion would stagger the faith of Mr. Sylva.

LETTER LXIV.

2. November, 1771.

WE are defired to make the following declaration, in behalf of Junius, upon three material points, on which his opinion has been mistaken, or misrepresented.

1°. Junius considers the right of taxing the colonies, by an act of the British Legislature, as a speculative right merely, never to be exerted, nor ever to be renouncea. To his judgment it appears plain, "That the general reasonings, which were employed against that power, went directly to our whole legislative right, and

" e

argi anfv time in t and es ihev plan divi com the missi whe poo: wife of den flior laws Nei

presse

the e

less

ther

" and that one part of it could not be yieldded to fuch arguments, without a virtual furrender of all the rest."

10

IV

it

W

ed

er

ok

re

e-

1.

ke

us,

11-

he

re,

1.t-

ent

gs,

er, ht,

nd

2°. THAT, with regard to press-warrants, his argument should be taken in his own words, and answered strictly ;-that comparisons may sometimes illustrate, but prove nothing; and that, in this case, an appeal to the passions is unfair and unnecessary. Junius feels and acknowledges the evil in the most express terms, and will shew himself ready to concur in any rational plan, that may provide for the liberty of the individual, without hazarding the fafety of the community. At the same time, he expects that the evil, fuch as it is, be not exaggerated or mifrepresented. In general, it is not unjust that, when the rich man contributes his wealth, the poor man should serve the state in person; otherwife the latter contributes nothing to the defence of that law and conftitution, from which he demands fafety and protection. But the queftion does not lye between rich and poor. The laws of England make no fuch distinctions. Neither is it true that the poor man is torn from the care and support of a wife and family, helpless without him. The single question is, whether the feaman*, in times of public danger, fhall

^{*} I confine myself strictly to feamen; --- if any others are pressed, it is a gross abuse, which the magistrate can and should correct.

shall ferve the merchant or the state, in that profession to which he was bred, and by the exercife of which alone he can honeftly support himself and his family. General arguments against the doctrine of necessity, and the dangerous use that may be made of it, are of no weight in this particular case. Necessity includes the idea of inevitable. Whenever it is fo, it creates a law, to which all positive laws, and all pofitive rights must give way. In this fense the levy of ship-money by the King's warrant was not necessary, because the business might have been as well or better done by parliament. doctrine, maintained by Junius, be confined within this limitation, it will go but very little way in support of arbitrary power. That the king is to judge of the occasion, is no objection, unless we are told how it can possibly be other-There are other instances, not less important in the exercise nor less dangerous in the abuse, in which the constitution relies entirely upon the King's judgment. The executive power proclaims war and peace, binds the nation by treaties, orders general embargoes, and imposes quarantines, not to mention a multitude of prerogative writs, which, though liable to the greatest abuses, were never disputed.

3°. It has been urged, as a reproach to Junius, that he has not delivered an opinion upon the

the gat.
reaforthose most on a ry p

certa decla Fore, ject, ble v pose natu the conv the ! felve trary late betw abfu dend ous, felf. fay

bills

it

-

rt

ts

r-

ht

ne

e-

0-

he

ot

en he

ed

tle

he

n,

r-

n-

he

ly

ve ti-

nd

de

he

FH-

on

the

the game laws, and particularly the late Dogact. But Junius thinks he has much greater reason to complain, that he is never assisted by those, who are able to affift him, and that almost the whole labour of the press is thrown upon a fingle hand, from which a discussion of every public question whatsoever is unreasonably expected. He is not paid for his labour, and certainly has a right to choose his employment. -As to the Game Laws, he never scrupled to declare his opinion, that they are a species of the Forest Laws, that they are oppressive to the subject, and that the spirit of them is incompatable with legal liberty :- that the penalties, imposed by these laws, bear no proportion to the nature of the offence, that the mode of trial and the degree and kind of evidence necessary to convict, not only deprive the subject of all the benefits of a trial by jury, but are in themfelves too fummary, and to the last degree arbitrary and oppressive. That, in particular, the late acts to prevent dog-stealing, or killing game between fun and fun, are distinguished by their abfurdity, extravagance, and pernicious tendency. If thefe terms are weak, or ambiguous, in what language can Junius express himfelf?-It is no excuse for Lord Mansfield to fay that he happened to be absent when these bills passed the house of lords. It was his duty duty to be present. Such bills could never have passed the house of commons without his knowledge. But we very well know by what rule he regulates his attendance. When that order was made in the house of lords in the case of Lord Pomfret, at which every Englishman shudders, my honest Lord Mansfield found himself, by mere accident, in the court of king's bench. -- Otherwise, he would have done wonders in defence of law and propriety! The pitiful evasion is adapted to the character. But Junius will never justify himself, by the example of this bad man. - The dictinction between doing wrong and avoiding to do right belongs to Lord Mansfield. — Junius difclaims it.

LETTER LXV.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

2. November, 1771.

T the intercession of three of your countrymen, you have bailed a man, who, I prefume, is also a Scotchman, and whom the Lord Mayor of London had refused to bail. I do not mean to enter into an examination of the partial, finister motives of your conduct; but

pa fire you tak upo circ den not not of ! I a Сн law Mrhea pled

TO

in : cha

good

er

out

by

ien

in

igeld

of

ive

y!

er.

ion

Elt

lif-

71.

ree

om ail.

of

et;

but

but confining myself strictly to the fact, I affirm, that you have done that, which by law you were not warranted to do. The thief was taken in the theft;—the stolen goods were found apon him, and he made no defence. In these circumstances, the truth of which You dare not deny, because it is of public notoriety) it could not stand indifferent, whether he was guilty or not, much less could there be any presumption of his innocence; and, in these circumstances, I affirm, in contradiction to YOU, LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD, that, by the laws of England, he was not bailable. If ever Mr. Eyre should be brought to trial, we shall hear what You have to fay for Yourfelf; and I pledge myfelf, before God and my country. in proper time and place to make good my charge against you.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-TISER.

9. November 1771.

JUNIUS engages to make good his charge against Lord Chief Justice Mans-Vol. II.

P field,

field, some time before the meeting of parliament, in order that the house of commons may, if they think proper, make it one article in the impeachment of the said Lord Chief Justice.

LETTER LXVII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

27. Nov. 1771.

WHAT is the reason, my Lord, that, when almost every man in the kingdom, without distinction of principles or party, exults in the ridiculous defeat of Sir James Lowther, when good and bad men unite in one common opinion of that baronet, and triumph in his distress, as if the event (without any reference to vice or virtue) were interesting to human nature, your Grace alone should appear fo miserably depressed and afflicted? In such univerfal joy, I know not where you will look for a compliment of condoleance, unless you appeal to the tender, fympathetic forrows of Mr. Bradshaw. That cream-coloured gentleman's tears, affecting as they are, carry confolation along with them. He never weeps, but like

like funi ings I do Gra a fig -0 wor an i bet. Iex who hone Low did ! The amo Lord dere your I thi nanc little ter. wher

to ha

have

like an April shower, with a lambent ray of funshine upon his countenance. From the feelings of honest men, upon this joyful occasion, I do not mean to draw any conclusion to your Grace. They naturally rejoice, when they fee a fignal instance of tyranny refisted with success; -of treachery exposed to the derision of the world ;-an infamous informer defeated, and an impudent robber dragged to the public gibbet .- But, in the other class of mankind, I own I expected to meet the Duke of Grafton. Men, who have no regard for justice, nor any sense of honour, feem as heartily pleafed with Sir James Lowther's well deserved punishment, as if it did not conflitute an example against themselves. The unhappy Baronet has no friends, even among those who resemble him. Lord, are not reduced to fo deplorable a state of dereliction. Every villain in the kingdom is your friend; and, in compliment to fuch amity, I think you should suffer your dismal countenance to clear up. Besides, my Lord; -I am a little anxious for the confiftency of your charac-You violate your own rules of decorum, when you do not infult the man, whom you have betrayed.

THE divine justice of retribution seems now to have begun its progress. Deliberate treachery entails punishment upon the traitor. There

P 2

is

ny g-

nes ne ph

ny to

ock

ou of

tlenfobut

like

is no possibility of escaping it, even in the highest rank, to which the consent of fociety can exalt the meanest and worst of men. The forced, unnatural union of Luttrell and Middlefex was an omen of another unhatural union, by which indefeafible infamy is attached to the house of Brunswick. If one of those acts was virtuous and honourable, the best of princes, I thank God, is happily rewarded for it by the other .-Your Grace, it has been faid, had some share in recommending Colonel Luttrell to the King; -or was it only the gentle Bradshaw, who made himself answerable for the good behaviour of his friend? An intimate connexion has long fubfifted between him and the worthy Lord Ira-It arose from a fortunate similarity of principles, cemented by the conftant mediation of their common friend Miss Davis. *

YET

There is a certain family in this country, on which nature feems to have entailed an hereditary baseness of disposition. As far as their history has been known, the son has regularly improved upon the vices of his father, and has taken care to transmit them pure and undiminished into the bosom of his successor. In the senate, their abilities have confined them to those humble, fordid services, in which the scavengers of the ministry are usually employed. But in the memoirs of private treachery, they stand first and unrivalled. The following story will serve to illustrate the character of this respectable family, and to convince the world

prolibeye reaffile best

famy to be given of foo

Th life, 1 of a f timac dupe His f conne fave h whofe This his fr the pa marry ther t on th lay wi

> Wh any th left ur age an

n

28

in

of

us

ık

in

g;

10

ur

ng 10-

Of

cn

ET

na-

poh-

has

has

the

have

hich

But lun-

e the

e the

world

YET I confess I should be forry that the opprobrious infamy of this match should reach beyond the family.-We have now a better reason than ever to pray for the long life of the best of princes, and the welfare of his royal iffue. - I will not mix any thing ominous with

my

world that the present possessor has as clear a title to the infamy of his ancestors, as he has to their estate. It deserves to be recorded for the curiofity of the fact, and should be given to the public as a warning to every honest member of fociety.

The present Lord Irnham, who is now in the decline of life, lately cultivated the acquaintance of a younger brother of a family, with which he had lived in some degree of intimacy and friendship. The young man had long been the dupe of a most unhappy attachment to a common prostitute. His friends and relations forefaw the consequences of this connexion, and did every thing that depended upon them to fave him from ruin. But he had a friend in Lord Irnham, whose advice rendered all their endeavours ineffectual. This hoary lecher, not contented with the enjoyment of his friend's mistress, was base enough to take advantage of the paffions and folly of a young man, and persuaded him to marry her. He descended even to perform the office of father to the prostitute. He gave her to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the kingdom, and the next night lay with her himself.

Whether the depravity of the human heart can produce any thing more base and detestable than this fact, must beleft undetermined, until the fon shall arrive at his father's. age and experience.

my prayers;—but let parliament look to it.—A Luttrell shall never succeed to the crown of England.—If the hereditary virtues of the family deserve a kingdom, Scotland will be a proper retreat for them.

THE next is a most remarkable instance of the goodness of providence. The just law of retaliation has at last overtaken the little, contemptible tyrant of the North. To this fon-inlaw of your dearest friend the Earl of Bute, you meant to transfer the Duke of Portland's property; and you hastened the grant, with an expedition unknown to the Treasury, that he might have it time enough to give a decifive turn to the election for the county. The immediate consequence of this flagitious robbery was, that he lost the election, which you meant to infure to him, and with fuch figual circumstances of scorn, reproach, and infult, (to say nothing of the general exultation of all parties) as, (excepting the King's brother-in-law Col. Luttrell, and old Simon his father-in-law) hardly ever fell upon a gentleman in this country.-In the event, he loses the very property, of which he thought he had gotten possession; and after an expence, which would have paid the value of the land in question twenty times over. -The forms of villainy, you fee, are necessary to its fuccefs. Hereafter you will act with greater

great rect you cept

con retr food that on i fed that pate of S was imp very diffi plus

mai glor lavi virt greater circumspection, and not drive so directly to your object. To fnatch a grace, beyond the reach of common treachery, is an exception, not a rule.

of

ie

2

of

of

n-

n-

u

0-

n

he

ve

11-

ry'

nt

n-

ly

(s)

ol.

d-

of

nd

he

er.

ry th

er

AND now, my good Lord, does not your conscious heart inform you, that the justice of retribution begins to operate, and that it may foon approach your person? --- Do you think that Junius has renounced the Middlesex election ?-Or that the King's timber shall be refufed to the Royal Navy with impunity?-Or that you shall hear no more of the sale of that patent to Mr. Hine, which you endeavoured to skreen by suddenly dropping your prosecution of Samuel Vaughan, when the rule against him was made absolute? I believe indeed there never was fuch an instance in all the history of negative impudence.—But it shall not fave you. very funshine you live in is a prelude to your When you are ripe, you shall be diffolution. plucked.

JUNIUS.

P. S. I beg you will convey to our gracious master my humble congratulations upon the glorious success of peerages and pensions, so lavishly distributed as the rewards of Irish virtue.

LETTER LXVIII.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIED

21. January, 1772.

you.

man as I

of E

char plai

to fl

of a

fulti

puta

stan

of y

Chi

Lor

the

app

fatis

law

fcie

aga

you

tion

the tho

for

for

law

tru

HAVE undertaken to prove that when, at the intercession of three of your countrymen, you bailed John Eyre, you did that, which by law you were not warranted to do, and that a felon, under the circumstances, of being taken in the fact, with the stolen goods upon bim, and making no defence, is not bailable by the laws of England. Your learned advocates have interpreted this charge into a denial that the court of King's Bench, or the judges of that court during the vacation, have any greater authority to bail for criminal offences, than a justice of peace. With the instance before me, I am supposed to question your power of doing wrong, and to deny the existence of a power, at the fame moment that I arraign the illegal exercife of it. But the opinions of fuch men, whether wilful in their malignity, or fincere in their ignorance, are unworthy of my notice. You, Lord Mansfield, did not understand me so, and, I promise you, your cause requires an abler defence.- I am now to make good my charge against

you.

2.

ve

ur

id

lo,

of.

he

ve he

at

u-

a

e,

ng

at

er-

e-

eir rd

I ie-

nst

you. However dull my argument, the subject of it is interesting. I shall be honoured with the attention of the public, and have a right to demand the attention of the legislature. Supported. as I am, by the whole body of the criminal law of England, I have no doubt of establishing my charge. If, on your part, you should have no plain, substantial defence, but should endeavour to shelter yourself under the quirk and evasion of a practifing lawyer, or under the mere, infulting affertion of power without right, the reputation you pretend to is gone for ever ;-you stand degraded from the respect and authority of your office, and are no longer, de jure, Lord Chief Justice of England. This letter, my Lord, is addressed, not so much to you, as to the public. Learned as you are, and quick in apprehension, few arguments are necessary to fatisfy you, that you have done that, which by law you were not warranted to do. Your conscience already tells you, that you have finned against knowledge, and that whatever defence you make contradicts your own internal conviction. But other men are willing enough to take the law upon trust. They rely upon your authority, because they are too indolent to search for information; or, conceiving that there is some mystery in the laws of their country, which lawyers only are qualified to explain, they diftrust their judgment, and voluntarily renounce the

the right of thinking for themselves. With all the evidence of history before them, from Tresillian to Jesseries, from Jesseries to Manssield, they will not believe it possible that a learned judge can act in direct contradiction to those laws, which he is supposed to have made the study of his life, and which he has sworn to administer faithfully. Superstition is certainly not the characteristic of this age. Yet some men are bigoted in politics, who are insidels in religion.

—I do not despair of making them ashamed of their credulity.

The charge I brought against you is expressed in terms guarded and well considered. They do not deny the strict power of the judges of the court of King's Bench to bail in cases, not bailable by a justice of peace, nor replevisable by the common writ, or ex officio by the Sheriss. I well knew the practice of the court, and by what legal rules it ought to be directed. But, far from meaning to soften or diminish the force of those terms I have made use of, I now go beyond them, and affirm,

I. That the superior power of bailing for selony, claimed by the court of King's Bench, is founded upon the opinion of lawyers, and the practice of the court;—that the assent of the legislature to this power is merely negative, and that

in a

IIof I pow and peri in t pow and wor ftan a d and of i But alle the viel law wha alleg of t fitiv fact that

mor

The

that it is not supported by any positive provision in any statute whatsoever.——If it be, produce the statute.

ili

al-

ey

ge

15,

of

er he

ire

n. ed

M-

ey

he

il-

by

I

by

ut,

ce

e-

fe-

h.

nd

he

nd

at

II. ADMITTING that the judges of the court of King's Bench are vested with a discretionary power to examine and judge of circumstances and allegations, which a justice of peace is not permitted to confider, I affirm that the judges, in the use and application of that discretionary power, are as strictly bound by the spirit, intent, and meaning, as the justice of peace is by the words of the legislature. Favourable circumstances, alledged before the judge, may justify a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty or not; and where the guilt is doubtful, a prefumption of innocence should, in general, be admitted. But, when any fuch probable circumstances are alledged, they alter the flate and condition of the prisoner. He is no longer that all-but-convicted felon, whom the law intends, and who by law is not bailable at all. If no circumstances whatfoever are alledged in his favour ;-if no allegation whatsoever be made to lessen the force of that evidence which the law annexes to a pofitive charge of felony, and particularly to the fact of being taken with the maner, I then fay that the Lord Chief Justice of England has no more right to bail him than a justice of peace. The discretion of an English judge is not of

deliE

baila

noth

victi

will

of h

been

ten !

fest

fhall

your

to y

vou

ther

my

own

of t

diou

law

very

prof

mod

a pl

grit

rupt

wife

any

dou

mere will and pleasure; --- it is not arbitrary; -it is not capricious; but, as that great lawyer, (whose authority I wish you respected half fo much as I do) truly fays *, " Difcretion, ta-" ken as it ought to be, is, discernere per legem " quid sit justum. If it be not directed by the " right line of the law, it is a crooked cord, " and appeareth to be unlawful." --- If discretion were arbitrary in the judge, he might introduce whatever novelties he thought proper; but, fays, Lord Coke " Novelties, without warrant " of precedents, are not to be allowed; fome " certain rules are to be followed; ____ Quicquid " judicis authoritati subjicitur, novitati non sub-" jicitur;" and this found doctrine is applied to the Star-chamber, a court confessedly arbitrary. If you will abide by the authority of this great man, you shall have all the advantage of his opinion, wherever it appears to favour you. Excepting the plain, express meaning of the legislature, to which all private opinions must give way, I defire no better judge between us than Lord Coke.

III. I AFFIRM that, according to the obvious, indisputable meaning of the legislature, repeatedly expressed, a person positively charged with feloniously stealing and taken in flagrante deliste,

* 4 Inft. 41. 66.

y ;

W-

alf

ta-

rem

the

rd,

re-

ro-

ut,

ant

me

nuid

ub-

to

IV.

eat

pi-

Ex-

gif-

rive

han

vi-

ire,

rg-

ante

Sto.

lawyer,

delisto, with the stolen goods upon him, is not bailable. The law confiders him as differing in nothing from a convict, but in the form of conviction, and (whatever a corrupt judge may do) will accept of no fecurity, but the confinement of his body within four walls. I know it has been alledged in your favour, that you have often bailed for murders, rapes, and other manifest crimes. Without questioning the fact, I shall not admit that you are to be justified by your own example. If that were a protection to you, where is the crime that, as a judge, you might not now fecurely commit? But neither shall I suffer myielf to be drawn aside from my prefent argument, nor you to profit by your own wrong .- To prove the meaning and intent of the legislature will require a minute and tedious deduction. To investigate a question of law demands fome labour and attention, though very little genius or fagacity. As a practical profession, the study of the law requires but a moderate portion of abilities. The learning of a pleader is usually upon a level with his integrity. The indifcriminate defence of right and wrong contracts the understanding, while it corrupts the heart. Subtlety is foon mistaken for wisdom, and impunity for virtue. If there be any instances upon record, as some there are un-

doubtedly, of genius and morality united in a

lawyer, they are distinguished by their singularity, and operate as exceptions.

I MUST folicit the patience of my readers. This is no light matter, nor is it any more susceptible of ornament, than the conduct of Lord Mansfield is capable of aggravation.

As the law of bail, in charges of felony, has been exactly ascertained by acts of the legislature, it is at present of little consequence to enquire how it stood at common law, before the statute of Westminster. And yet it is worth the reader's attention to observe, how nearly, in the ideas of our ancestors, the circumstance of being taken with the maner approached to the conviction of the felon *. It " fixed the " authoritative stamp of verisimilitude upon the " accufation, and, by the common law, when " a thief was taken with the maner (that is, with " the thing stolen upon him, in manu) he might, " fo detected flagrante delicto, be brought into " court, arraigned and tried, without indict-" ment; as, by the Danish law, he might be " taken and hanged upon the fpot, without ac-" cusation or trial." It will soon appear that our statute law, in this behalf, tho' less summary in point of proceeding, is directed by the fame fame
adher
a ma
was of
To e
flate,
to ba
may
ting
do no

1275 " and

to de

" hav

" par

" per

" ner

* "

" come

^{*} Blackstone, 4. 303.

fame spirit. In one instance, the very form is adhered to. In offences relating to the forest, if a man was taken with vert, or venison +, it was declared to be equivalent to indistment.—
To enable the reader to judge for himself, I shall state, in due order, the several statutes relative to bail in criminal cases, or as much of them as may be material to the point in question, omitting superstuous words. If I misrepresent, or do not quote with sidelity, it will not be difficult to detect me.

* The statute of Westminster the first, in 1275, sets forth that, "Forasmuch as Sherists" and others, who have taken and kept in prison persons detected of selony, and incontinent have let out by replevin such as were not re"plevisable because they would gain of the one party and grieve the other? and, forasmuch as, before this time, it was not determined which persons were replevisable and which not, it is provided and by the King commanded that fuch prisoners, &c. as be taken with the manner, &c. or for manifest offences, shall be in no wife replevisable by the common writ, nor "without

)

t

^{† 1} Ed. III. cap. 1 .-- and 7 Rich. II. cap. 4.

^{* &}quot;Videtur que le statute de mainprise nest que rebersall del " comen ley." Bro. Mainp. 61.

"without writ." +-Lord Coke in his exposition of the last part of this quotation, accurately distinguishes between replevy by the common writ, or ex officio, and bail by the King's Bench. The words of the statute certainly do not extend to the judges of that court. But, besides that the reader will foon find reason to think that the legislature, in their intention, made no difference between bailable and replevisable, Lord Coke himself (if he be understood to mean nothing but an exposition of the statute of Westminster, and not to state the law generally) does not adhere to his own distinction. In expounding the other offences, which, by this statute, are declared not replevisable, he constantly uses the words not bailable. " That outlaws, for in-" flance, are not bailable at all - that per-" fons, who have abjured the realm, are attaint-" ed upon their own confession, and therefore " not bailable at all by law; ---- that provers are " not bailable; -- that notorious felons are not " bailable."

† "There are three points to be confidered in the con"fruction of all remedial statutes; --- the old law, the missis"chief, and the remedy; --- that is, how the common law
"stood at the making of the act, what the mischief was for
"which the common law did not provide, and what remedy
the parliament hath provided to cure this mischief. It is
the business of the judges, so to construe the act, as to
suppress the mischief and advance the remedy."

Blackstone, 1. 87.

66 / cou min " m " p " P " of conf oppo statu on to a co pear: ral o cond " are few

> " caf added two

" co

this cesting the fe

.

†

h.

d

at

he

r-

rd

0-

ft-

cs

d-

are

he

n-

er-

nt-

ore

are

not

e."

eno:

mif-

law

s for

It is to

87-

"bailable." -- The reason, why the superior courts were not named in the statute of Westminster, was plainly this, "because antiently " most of the business, touching bailment of "prisoners for felony or misdemeanors, was " performed by the Sheriffs, or special bailiffs " of liberties, either by writ, or virtute officii *;" confequently the fuperior courts had little or no opportunity to commit those abuses, which the statute imputes to the Sheriffs .- With submission to Dr. Blackstone, I think he has fallen into a contradiction, which, in terms at least, appears irreconcileable. After enumerating feveral offences not bailable, he afferts, without any condition or limitation whatfoever +, " all thefe-" are clearly not admissible to bail." Yet in a few lines after he fays, "it is agreed that the " court of King's Bench may bail for any crime "whatfoever, according to circumstances of the "case." To his first proposition he should have added, by Sheriffs or Justices; otherwise the two propositions contradict each other; with this difference however, that the first is absolute, the second limited by a consideration of circumstances. I fay this without the least intended difrespect to the learned author. His work is of pub-VOL. II.

^{* 2} Hale, P. C. 128. 136.

⁺ Blackftone, 4. 296.

lic utility, and should not hastily be condemned.

The statute of 17 Richard II. cap. 10. 1393, sets forth, that "forasmuch as thieves notori"ously defamed, and others taken with the ma"ner, by their long abiding in prison, were
delivered by charters, and favourable inquests
procured, to the great hindrance of the people, two men of law shall be assigned, in every commission of the peace, to proceed to the
deliverance of such felons, &c." It seems
by this act, that there was a constant struggle
between the legislature and the officers of justice.
Not daring to admit selons taken with the maner
to bail or mainprize, they evaded the law by
keeping the party in prison a long time, and
then delivering him without due trial.

The statute of 1 Richard III. in 1483, sets forth, that "forasmuch as divers persons have "been daily arrested and imprisoned for suspici"on of selony, sometime of malice, and some time of a light suspicion, and so kept in prison "without bail or mainprize, be it ordained that every justice of peace shall have autho"rity, by his discretion, to let such prisoners and persons so arrested to bail or main"prize."—By this act it appears that there had been abuses in matter of imprisoment, and that

the me

cla

" t

" (" t

" r

" in " v " b " g

Ma

" re
" th

" w

" of

that the legislature meant to provide for the immediate enlargement of persons arrested on light suspicion of felony.

n-

13,

ri-

ia-

ere

elts

-09

vethe

gle

ice.

ner

by

fets

ave

rici-

me-

ison ned

tho-

ners

ain-

here

and

that

The statute of 3 Henry VII. in 1486, declares, that "under colour of the preceding "act of Richard the Third, persons, such as "were not mainpernable, were often-times let to bail or mainprize, by justices of the peace, "whereby many murderers and selons escaped, the King, &c. hath ordained, that the justices of the peace, or two of them at least "(whereof one to be of the quorum) have authority to let any such prisoners or persons, "mainpernable by the law, to bail or main-"prize."

The statute of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary, in 1554, sets forth, that "notwith-"ing the preceding statute of Henry the Se-"venth, one justice of peace hath oftentimes, by sinister labour and means, set at large the greatest and notablest offenders, such as be not replevisable by the laws of this realm, and yet, the rather to hide their affections in that be-"half, have signed the cause of their appre-"hension to be but only for suspicion of selony, whereby the said offenders have escaped unpu"nished, and do daily, to the high displeasure of Almighty God, the great peril of the Q2 "King

"King and Queen's true subjects, and encou-" ragement of all thieves and evil-doers; -- for " reformation whereof be it enacted, that no "justices of peace shall let to bail or main-" prize any fuch perfons, which, for any offence " by them committed, be declared not to be re-" plevised, or bailed, or be forbidden to be re-" plevised or bailed by the statute of Westminster "the first; and furthermore that any persons, " arrested for manslaughter, felony, being baila-" ble by the law, shall not be let to bail or main-" prize, by any justices of peace, but in the from "therein after prescribed,"- In the two preceding statutes, the words bailable, replevisable, and mainternable are used synonymously t, or promiscuously to express the same single intention of the legislature, viz. not to accept of any fecurity but the body of the offender; and when the latter statute prescribes the form, in which perfons arrested on suspicion of felony (being bailable by the law) may be let to bail, it evidently supposes that there are some cases, not bailable by the law.—It may be thought perhaps, that I attribute to the legislature an appearance of inaccuracy in the use of terms, merely to serve my present purpose. But, in truth, it would make more forcibly for my argument to prefume that the legislature were constantly aware of the ftrict

ald Tome (com

figni
Kin

Ari

tha

it b

by

thir

the

lar

cou

bou

pofi

affir

bail

then

law

whe

ral,

by c

King

tive

or in

bitra

what

er,

viola

^{† 2} Hale, P. C. 2. 124.

e

r

,

-

n

e,

or

n-

le-

he

r-

a-

ly

ole

t I

n-

ve

ald

me

ict

firich legal diffinction between bail and replevy, and that they always meant to adhere to it +. For if it be true that replevy is by the Sheriffs, and bail by the higher courts at Westminster, (which I think no lawyer will deny) it follows that, when the legislature expressly say, that any particular offence is by law not bailable, the fuperior courts are comprehended in the prohibition, and bound by it. Otherwise, unless there was a positive exception of the superior courts (which I affirm there never was in any statute relative to bail) the legislature would grofsly contradict themselves, and the manifest intention of the law be evaded. It is an established rule that, when the law is special, and reason of it general, it is to be generally understood; and though, by custom, a latitude be allowed to the court of King's Bench, (to confider circumstances inductive of a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty or innocent) if this latitude be taken as an arbitrary power to bail, when no circumstances whatfoever are alledged in favour of the prifoner, it is a power without right, and a daring violation of the whole English law of bail.

THE act of the 31st of Charles the Second (commonly called the Habeas Corpus act) particularly

Selden, State Tr. 7. 149.

[†] Vide 2 Inft. 150. 186.--" The word replevisable never fignifies bailable. Bailable is in a court of record by the "King's justices; but replevisable is by the Sheriff."

cularly declares, that it is not meant to extend to treason or felony plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment. The prifoner is therefore left to feek his Habeas Corpus at common law; and fo far was the legislature from supposing that persons, (committed for treason or felony plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment) could be let to bail by a fingle judge, or by the whole court, that this very act provides a remedy for fuch persons, in case they are not indicted in the course of the term or fessions subsequent to their commitment. The law neither fuffers them to be enlarged before trial, nor to be imprisoned after the time, in which they ought regularly to be tried. In this cafe the law fays, " It shall and may be law-" ful to and for the judges of the court of King's "Bench and justices of over and terminer, or " general gaol delivery, and they are hereby " required, upon motion to them made in open " court, the last day of the term, session, or " gaol delivery, either by the prisoner or any " one in his behalf, to fet at liberty the prisoner "upon bail; unless it appear to the judges and "justices, upon oath made, that the witnesses " for the King could not be produced the same "term, fessions, or goal delivery."-Upon the whole of this article I observe, 1. That the provision, made in the first part of it, would be, in a great measure, useless and nugatory, if any fingle

fing arbi
mig com
z.W
bail
we r
act,
stand
enact
the p
grea
who
to ba
be n

parli it m histo vario

not l

the coder of might almoster for

deter

fingle judge might have bailed the prisoner ex arbitrio, during the vacation; or if the court might have bailed him immediately after the commencement of the term or fessions. ---2. When the law fays, It shall and may be lawful to bail for felony under particular circumstances, we must presume that, before the passing of that act, it was not lawful to bail under those circum-The terms used by the legislature are enacting, not declaratory .- 3. Notwithstanding the party may have been imprisoned during the greatest part of the vacation, and during the whole fession, the court are expressly forbidden to bail him from that fession to the next, if oath be made that the witnesses for the King could not be produced that same term or sessions.

HAVING faithfully stated the several acts of parliament relative to bail in criminal cases, it may be useful to the reader to take a short, historical review of the law of bail, through its various gradations and improvements.

By the ancient common law, before and fince the conquest, all felons were bailable, till musder was excepted by statute, so that persons might be admitted to bail, before conviction, almost in every case. The statute of Westminster says that, before that time, it had not been determined, which offences were replevisable,

and

and which where not, whether by the common writ de homine replegiando, or ex officio by the She-It is very remarkable that the abuses arising from this unlimited power of replevy, dreadful as they were and destructive to the peace of fociety, were not corrected or taken notice of by the legislature, until the commons of the kingdom had obtained a share in it by their representatives; but the house of commons had fcarce begun to exist, when these formidable abuses were corrected by the statute of Westminster. It is highly probable that the mischief had been severely felt by the people, although no remedy had been provided for it by the Norman Kings or Barons. * " The iniquity of "the times was fo great, as it even forced the " fubjects to forego that, which was in account " a great liberty, to stop the course of a grow-"ing mischief." The preamble to the statutes, made by the first parliament of Edward the First, affigns the reason of calling it, † " because "the people had been otherwise entreated than "they ought to be, the peace less kept, the " laws less used, and offenders less punished than "they ought to be, by reason whereof the peo-* ple feared less to offend;" and the first attempt

* Selden, by N. Bacon. 182.

to re

Fo appea of ba nison ment spirit of 2 of aff fuch a the fu days, obvio They perfo the th hibit, probil ad illi " me

> By of ba of per they on ligh

ed, v

" pai

⁺ Parliamentary History. 1. 82.

to reform these various abuses was by the contracting the power of replevying felons.

For above two centuries following it does not appear that any alteration was made in the law of bail, except that being taken with vert or venison was declared to be equivalent to indictment. The legislature adhered firmly to the spirit of the statute of Westminster. The statute of 27th of Edward the first directs the justices of affize to enquire and punish officers bailing fuch as were not bailable. As for the judges of the fuperior courts, it is probable that, in those days, they thought themselves bound by the obvious intent and meaning of the legislature. They confidered not fo much to what particular persons the prohibition was addressed, as what the thing was, which the legislature meant to prohibit, well knowing that in law, quando aliquid probibetur, probibetur et omne, per quod devenitur ad illud. " When any thing is forbidden, all the " means, by which the fame thing may be com-" passed or done, are equally forbidden."

f

,

By the statute of Richard the third, the power of bailing was a little enlarged. Every justice of peace was authorised to bail for felony; but they were expressly confined to persons arrested on light suspicion; and even this power, so limited, was found to produce such inconveniences that,

that, in three years after, the legislature found it necessary to repeal it. Instead of trusting any longer to a fingle justice of peace, the act of 3d. Henry VIIth, repeals the preceding act, and directs " that no prisoner, (of those aubo " are mainternable by the law) shall be let to " bail or mainprise, by less than two justices, " whereof one to be of the quorum." And so indispensably necessary was this provision thought, for the administration of justice, and for the fecurity and peace of fociety, that, at this time, an oath was proposed by the King to be taken by the knights and efquires of his household, by the members of the house of commons, and by the peers spiritual and temporal, and accepted and fworn to quasi una voce by them all, which, among other engagements, binds them " not to let any man to bail or mainprise, "knowing and deeming him to be a felon, " upon your honour and worship. So help you " God and all faints." *

In about half a century however even these provisions were found insufficient. The act of Henry the seventh was evaded, and the legislature once more obliged to interpose. The act of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary takes away intirely from the justices all power of bailing for

for offe Westm

TH. who ha by Ch beas C constit grieva of com folved nuous affume the re Habea refolu where and d reason the ca is the to the cause prifor rate to with 16th (Bench

> returi termi

Parliamentary History. 2. 419.

for offences declared not bailable by the statute of Westminster.

THE illegal imprisonment of several persons, who had refused to contribute to a loan exacted by Charles the first, and the delay of the Habeas Corpus and subsequent refusal to bail them, constituted one of the first and most important grievances of that reign. Yet when the house of commons, which met in the year 1628, refolved upon measures of the most firm and strenuous resistance to the power of imprisonment assumed by the King or privy-council, and to the refusal to bail the party on the return of the Habeas Corpus, they did expressly, in all their resolutions, make an exception of commitments, where the cause of the restraint was expressed. and did by law justify the commitment. reason of the distinction is, that, whereas when the cause of commitment is expressed, the crime is then known and the offender must be brought to the ordinary trial; if, on the contrary, no cause of commitment be expressed, and the prisoner be thereupon remanded, it may operate to perpetual imprisonment. This contest with Charles the first produced the act of the 16th of that King, by which the court of King's Bench are directed, within three days after the return to the Habeas Corpus to examine and determine the legality of any commitment by the-

1

1

.

e

y

the King or privy-council, and to do what in justice shall appertain in delivering, bailing, or remanding the prisoner.—Now, it seems, it is unnecessary for the judge to do what appertains to justice. The same scandalous traffic, in which we have seen the privilege of parliament exerted or relaxed, to gratify the present humour, or to serve the immediate purpose of the crown, is introduced into the administration of justice. The magistrate, it seems, has now no rule to follow, but the dictates of personal enmity, national partiality, or perhaps the most prostituted corruption.

To complete this historical inquiry, it only remains to be observed that, the Habeas Corpus act of 31st of Charles the second, so justly considered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom * "extends only to the case of commit-"ments for such criminal charge, as can produce no inconvenience to public justice by a "temporary enlargement of the prisoner."—So careful were the legislature, at the very moment, when they were providing for the liberty of the subject, not to surnish any colour or pretence for violating or evading the established law of bail in the higher criminal offences. But the exception, stated in the body of the act, puts

But "thie

" whi

puts

ing th

disch

furety

foner

added

" is

" the

" IS

W

thus i

ing el

inforr

But I

cape.

ced ag

the di

you a

you di

made

conde

" T

^{*} Blackstone. 4. 137.

10

01

it

r-

ic,

a-

nt

of

ti-

as er-

ps

ly

bus

n-

g-

it-

.0-

7 a

10-

or

ed

ut

et,

uts

puts the matter out of all doubt. After directing the judges how they are to proceed to the discharge of the prisoner upon recognizance and surety, having regard to the quality of the prisoner and nature of the offence, it is expressly added, "unless it shall appear to the said Lord "Chancellor, &c. that the party, so committed, "is detained for such matters, or offences, for the which, by the law the prisoner "IS NOT BAILABLE."

When the laws, plain of themselves, are thus illustrated by facts, and their uniform meaning established by history, we do not want the authority of opinions, however respectable, to inform our judgment or to consirm our belief. But I am determined that you shall have no escape. Authority of every fort shall be produced against you, from Jacob to Lord Coke, from the dictionary to the classic.——In vain shall you appeal from those upright judges, whom you disdain to imitate, to those whom you have made your example. With one voice, they all condemn you.

[&]quot;To be taken with the maner is where a "thief, having stolen any thing, is taken with "the same about him, as it were in his hands, "which is called flagrante delicto. Such a criminal

"minal is not bailable by law." —— Jacob under the word Maner.

"THOSE, who are taken with the Maner, are excluded, by the statute of Westminster, from the benefit of a replevin."—Hawkins. P. C. 2. 98.

"Or fuch heinous offences no one, who is notoriously guilty, seems to be bailable by the intent of this statute."— D° . 2. 99.

"THE common practice, and allowed gene"ral rule is, that bail is only then proper,
"where it stands indifferent whether the party
"were guilty or innocent."—D°. D°.

"THERE is no doubt but that the bailing of a person, who is not bailable by law, is puinishable, either at common law as a negligent escape, or as an offence against the several statutes relative to bail."—Do. 89.

"IT cannot be doubted but that, neither the
judges of this, nor of any other superior court
of justice, are strictly within the purview of
that statute, yet they will always, in their
discretion, pay a due regard to it, and not
admit a person to bail, who is expressly declared by it irreplevisable, without some particular

" tica

" wh

" &c

" wit

" gra

" wro

" to

" aga

7. 11

...

" for

" stat

" eth

" If t

" ed the w

cab

er,

er,

ins.

is by

neper,

arty

g of

pu-

gent

Ita-

the

ourt

w of

their

not

de-

par-

cular

"ticular circumstance in his favour; and therefore it seems difficult to find an instance,
where persons, attainted of selony, or notoriously guilty of treason or manslaughter,
&c. by their own confession, or otherwise,
have been admitted to the benefit of bail,
without some special motive to the court to
grant it."—Do. 114.

"IF it appears that any man hath injury or wrong by his imprisonment, we have power to deliver and discharge him;——if other"wise, he is to be remanded by us to prison again."——Lord Ch. J. Hyde. State Trials.
7. 115.

"THE statute of Westminster was especially for direction to the Sherists and others, but to say courts of justice are excluded from this statute, I conceive it cannot be."—Attorney General Heath. Do. 132.

"The court, upon view of the return, judg"eth of the sufficiency or insufficiency of it.
"If they think the prisoner in law to be baila"ble, he is committed to the Marshal and bail"ed; if not, he is remanded."—Through the whole debate, the objection, on the part of the prisoners, was, that no cause of commitment

ment was expressed in the warrant; but it was uniformly admitted by their council that, if the cause of commitment had been expressed for treason or felony, the court would then have done right in remanding them.

The Attorney General having urged, before a committee of both houses, that, in Beckwith's case and others, the lords of the council sent a letter to the court of King's Bench to bail; it was replied by the managers of the house of commons, that this was of no moment, for "that either the prisoner was bailable by the "law, or not bailable;—if bailable by the "law, then he was to be bailed without "any such letter;—if not bailable by the law, then plainly the judges could not have bailed him upon the letter, without breach of their oath, which is, that they are to do justice according to the law, &c."—State Trials. 7. 175.

"So that, in bailing upon fuch offences of the highest nature, a kind of discretion, rather than a constant law, hath been exercised, when it stands wholly indifferent in the eye of the court, whether the prisoner be guilty or not." Selden St. Tr. 7. 230. 1.

" I DENY

" C

plai ble, fitio

" pe

" ab The in th

" no Hale.

" tur

" tur

Hale. Vo

* It marks.

as if

or ve

re

's

2

it of

or

be

he

ut

he

ve

ice

ils.

of

ra-

er-

the

be

NY

"I DENY that a man is always bailable, when imprisonment is imposed upon him for custody." Attorney General Heath. Do. 238.—By these quotations from the State Trials, though otherwise not of authority, it appears plainly that, in regard to bailable or not bailable, all parties agreed in admitting one proposition as incontrovertible.

"In relation to capital offences there are efpecially these acts of parliament that are the
common landmarks * touching offences bailable or not bailable." Hale. 2. P. C. 127.
The enumeration includes the several acts cited
in this paper.

"PERSONS, taken with the Manouvre, are "not bailable, because it is furtum manifestum." Hale. 2. P. C. 133.

"THE writ of Habeas Corpus is of a high na"ture; for if persons be wrongfully committed,
"they are to be discharged upon this writ re"turned; or, if bailable, they are to be bail"ed;—if not bailable, they are to be committed."
Hale. 2. P. C. 143. This doctrine of Lord
Vol. II. R Chief

^{*} It has been the fludy of Lord Mansfield to remove landmarks.

.. 0

cc n

Ma

" n

ce l

66 8

178

Inft

66 }

66 1

66 }

44 f

.. (

"

66 t

2.

Chief Justice Hale refers immediately to the superior courts from whence the writ issues.—
"After the return is filed, the court is either to discharge, or bail, or commit him, as the nature of the cause requires." Hale. 2. P. C. 146.

"IF bail be granted, otherwise than the law "alloweth, the party that alloweth the same, "shall be fined, imprisoned, render damages, "or forseit his place, as the case shall require." Selden by N. Bacon. 182.

"This induces an absolute necessity of ex"pressing, upon every commitment, the rea"fon, for which it is made; that the court,
"upon a Habeas Corpus, may examine into its
"validity, and according to the circumstances of
"the case, may discharge, admit to bail, or
"remand the prisoner." Blackstone. 3. 133.

"MARRIOT was committed for forging indorsements upon bank bills, and, upon a
Haleas Corpus, was bailed, because the crime
was only a great misdemeanor;—for though
the forging the bills be felony, yet forging
the indorsement is not." Salkeld. 1. 104.

"APPELI. de Mahem, &c. ideo ne fuit lesse a baille, nient plus que in appell de robbery ou " ou murder; quod nota, et que in robry et "murder le partie n'est baillable." Bro. Mainprise. 67.

)

w

e,

S,

Y-

a-

ts

of

or

n-

2

ne

gh

ng

sie ry

u

"THE intendment of the law in bails is, "quod ftat indifferenter whether he be guilty or "no; but, when he is convict by verdict or "confession, then he must be deemed in law to be guilty of the felony, and therefore not bailable at all." Coke. 2. Inst. 188.—4.

"BAIL is quando stat indifferenter, and not when the offence is open and manifest." 2 Inst. 189.

"In this case non stat indifferenter whether he be guilty or no, being taken with the Maner, that is, with the thing stolen, as it were in his hand." Do. Do.

"IF it appeareth that this imprisonment be igust and lawful, he shall be remanded to the former gaoler; but, if it shall appear to the court; that he was imprisoned against the law of the land, they ought, by force of this statute, to deliver him; if it be doubtful, and under consideration, he may be bailed."

2. Inst. 55.

IT is unnecessary to load the reader with any farther quotations. If these authorities are not deemed sufficient to establish the doctrine maintained in this paper, it will be in vain to appeal to the evidence of law-books, or to the opinions of judges. They are not the authorities, by which Lord Mansfield will abide. He assumes an arbitrary power of doing right; and, if he does wrong, it lies only between God and his conscience.

Now, my Lord, although I have great faith in the preceding argument, I will not fay, that every minute part of it is absolutely invulnerable. I am too well acquainted with the practice of a certain court, directed by your example, as it is governed by your authority, to think there ever yet was an argument, however conformable to law and reason, in which a cunning, quibbling attorney might not discover a flaw. But, taking the whole of it together, I affirm that it constitutes a mass of demonstration, than which nothing more complete or fatisfactory can be offered to the human mind. How an evafive, indirect reply will fland with your reputation, or how far it will answer in point of defence at the bar of the house of lords, is worth your confideration. If, after all that has been faid, it should still be maintained,

that

tha lon pur que pla and giffa and exce thei as i ty o refe peri yet

> to t of t com pref was oath Wil

gard

of t

er t

oufly lue

that the court of King's Bench, in bailing felons, are exempted from all legal rules whatfoever, and that the judge has no direction to purfue, but his private affections, or mere unquestionable will and pleasure, it will follow plainly, that the distinction between bailable and not bailable, uniformly expressed by the legislature, current through all our law-books, and admitted by all our great lawyers without exception, is in one fense a nugatory, in another a pernicious distinction. It is nugatory, as it supposes a difference in the bailable quality of offences, when, in effect, the distinction refers only to the rank of the magistrate. It is pernicious, as it implies a rule of law, which yet the judge is not bound to pay the least regard to, and impresses an idea upon the minds of the people, that the judge is wifer and greater than the law.

It remains only to apply the law, thus stated, to the fact in question. By an authentic copy of the mittimus it appears that John Eyre was committed for felony, plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment. He was charged before Alderman Halifax by the oath of Thomas Fielding, William Holder, William Payne, and William Nash, for feloniously stealing eleven quires of writing-paper, value six shillings, the property of Thomas Beach.

Beach, &c .- by the examinations, upon oath, of the four persons mentioned in the mittimus, it was proved, that large quantities of paper had been missed, and that eleven quires (previously marked from a suspicion that Eyre was the thief) were found upon him. Many other quires of paper, marked in the same manner, were found at his lodgings; and after he had been sometime in Wood-street Compter, a key was found in his room there, which appeared to be a key to the closet at Guildhall, from whence the paper was stolen. When asked what he had to fay in his defence, his only aniwer was, I hope you will bail me. Mr. Holder, the Clerk, replied, That is impossible. There never was an instance of it, when the stolen goods svere found upon the thief. The Lord Mayor was then applied to, and refused to bail him. Of all these circumstances it was your duty to have informed yourfelf minutely. The fact was remarkable, and the chief magistrate of the city of London was known to have refused to bail the offender. To justify your compliance with the folicitations of your three countrymen, it should be proved that such allegations were offered to you, in behalf of their affociate, as honeftly and bona fide reduced it to a matter of doubt and indifference, whether the prisoner was innocent or guilty .- Was any thing offered by the Scotch triumvirate that tended to invalidate

rece Or, evid tion of c mon any noth have tune main abro hap moft of fo vole Eyre be a Ory who

the

did i

of y

kind

date

fou

infi

that

not

four

,

-

15

r

۳,

d

y

d

m

ed

1-

r,

290

ds

as

to

as

ci-

to

ice

en,

ere

as

of

vas

red

ali-

ate

date the positive charge made against him by four credible witnesses upon oath?-Was it even infinuated to you, either by himfelf or his bail, that no felony was committed ;-or that be was not the felon ;-that the stolen goods were not found upon him; -or that he was only the receiver, not knowing them to be stolen?-Or, in short, did they attempt to produce any evidence of his infanity? To all these questions, I answer for you, without the least fear of contradiction, positively NO. From the moment he was arrested, he never entertained any hope of acquittal; therefore thought of nothing but obtaining bail, that he might have time to fettle his affairs, convey his fortune into another country, and fpend the remainder of his life in comfort and affluence abroad. In this prudential scheme of suture happiness, the Lord Chief Justice of England most readily and heartily concurred. At fight of so much virtue in distress your natural benevolence took the alarm. Such a man as Mr. Eyre, struggling with adversity, must always be an interesting scene to Lord Mansfield. Or was it that liberal anxiety, by which your whole life has been distinguished, to enlarge the liberty of the subject? --- My Lord, we did not want this new instance of the liberality of your principles. We already knew what kind of subjects they were, for whose liberty you

you were anxious. At all events, the public are much indebted to you for fixing a price, at which felony may be committed with impunity. You bound a felon, notoriously worth thirty thousand pounds, in the sum of three hundred. With your natural turn to equity, and knowing, as you are, in the doctrine of precedents, you undoubtedly meant to fettle the proportion between the fortune of the felon, and the fine, by which he may compound for his felony. The ratio now upon record, and transmitted to posterity under the auspices of Lord Mansfield, is exactly one to a hundred. My Lord, without intending it, you have laid a cruel restraint upon the genius of your countrymen. In the warmest indulgence of their passions, they have an eye to the expence, and if their other virtues fail us, we have a resource in their economy.

By taking so trisling a security from John Eyre, you invited and manifestly exhorted him to escape. Although in bailable cases, it be usual to take four securities, you lest him in the custody of three Scotchmen, whom he might have easily satisfied for conniving at his retreat. That he did not make use of the opportunity you industriously gave him, neither justifies your conduct, nor can it be any way accounted for, but by his excessive and monstrous avarice. Any other

other Scotc hund infam poffib felf, a par feems the p in far we v lenity The hund in th Your force had i and most had : ed b had the p to th

was

his g

fins.

our :

other man, but this bosom-friend of three Scotchmen, would gladly have facrificed a few hundred pounds, rather than to submit to the infamy of pleading guilty in open court. It is possible indeed that he might have flattered himfelf, and not unreasonably, with the hopes of a pardon. That he would have been pardoned feems more than probable, if I had not directed the public attention to the leading step you took in favour of him. In the present gentle reign, we well know what use has been made of the lenity of the court and of the mercy of the crown. The Lord Chief Justice of England accepts of the hundredth part of the property of a felon taken in the fact, as a recognizance for his appearance. Your brother Smythe brow-beats a jury, and forces them to alter their verdict, by which they had found a Scotch serjeant guilty of murder; and though the Kennedies were convicted of a most deliberate and atrocious murder, they still had a claim to the royal mercy. They were faved by the chastity of their connexions.—They had a fifter; -yet it was not her beauty, but the pliancy of her virtue that recommended her to the King .- The holy author of our religion was feen in the company of finners; but it was his gracious purpose to convert them from their Another man, who in the ceremonies of our faith might give lessons to the great enemy of

1

of it, upon different principles keeps much the fame company. He advertises for patients, collects all the diseases of the heart, and turns a royal palace into an hospital for incurables.—

A man of honour has no ticket of admission at St. James's.—— They receive him, like a virgin at the Magdalen's;—— Go thou and do likewise.

My charge against you is now made good. I shall however be ready to answer or to submit to fair objections. If, whenever this matter shall be agitated, you suffer the doors of the house of lords to be shut, I now protest, that I shall consider you as having made no reply.-From that moment, in the opinion of the world, you will stand self-convicted. Whether your reply be quibbling and evafive, or liberal and in point, will be matter for the judgment of your reers; but if, when every possible idea of difrespect to that noble house, (in whose honour and justice the nation implicitly confides) is nere most solemnly disclaimed, you should endeavour to represent this charge, as a contempt of their authority, and move their lordships to censure the publisher of this paper, I then affirm that you support injustice by violence; that you are guilty of a heinous aggravation of your offence, and that you contribute your utmost influence to projudica nation

TO

that takes fertil good name defen ert, abili bene fet f nece the : lf a jecte Man ment

Afte

to promote, on the part of the highest court of judicature, a positive denial of justice to the nation.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LXIX.

TO THE RIGHT HON. LORD CAMDEN.

MY LORD,

the

its.

S 2

at

an

od.

ter

the t I

-

ld,

re-

in

nur

of

our

13

en-

npt

to

rm

ou

ce,

nce

to

I TURN, with pleasure, from that barren waste, in which no falutary plant takes root, no verdure quickens, to a character fertile, as I willingly believe, in every great and good qualification. I call upon you, in the name of the English nation, to stand forth in defence of the laws of your country, and to exert, in the cause of truth and justice, those great abilities, with which you were entrusted for the benefit of mankind. To afcertain the facts. fet forth in the preceding paper, it may be necessary to call the persons, mentioned in the mittimus, to the bar of the house of lords. If a motion for that purpose should be rejected, we shall know what to think of Lord Mansfield's innocence.—The legal argument is fummitted to your lordship's judgment. After the noble stand you made against Lord Mansfield

Mansfield upon the question of libel, we did expect that you would not have suffered that matter to have remained undetermined. was faid, that Lord Chief Justice Wilmot had been prevailed upon to vouch for an opinion of the late Judge Yates, which was supposed to make against you; and we admit of the excuse. When fuch detestable arts are employed to prejudge a question of right, it might have been imprudent, at that time, to have brought it to a decision. In the present instance you will have no fuch opposition to contend with. If there be a judge, or a lawyer of any note in Westminster-hall, who shall be daring enough to affirm that, according to the true intendment of the laws of England, a felon, taken with the Maner, in flagranti delicto, is bailable; or that the discretion of an English judge is merely arbitrary, and not governed by rules of law, - I should be glad to be acquainted with Whoever he be, I will take care that he shall not give you much trouble. Your lordship's character assures me that you will assume that principal part, which belongs to you, in supporting the laws of England, against a wicked judge, who makes it the occupation of his life, to misinterpret and pervert them. If you decline this honourable office, I fear it will be faid that, for some months past, you have kept too.

render
honous
the fits
do no
appeal
judgm
gerous
done r
punish
rial of
bound

altar.

too m

When

of the

did

hat

t it

nad

of

to

ife.

re-

een

to

vill

If

in

igh

ndcen

le; is of ith he rd-me up-ced ife, de-

aid ept too too much company with the Duke of Grafton. When the contest turns upon the interpretation of the laws, you cannot, without a formal surrender of all your reputation, yield the post of honour even to Lord Chatham. Considering the situation and abilities of Lord Manssield, I do not scruple to affirm, with the most solemn appeal to God for my sincerity, that, in my judgment, he is the very worst and most dangerous man in the kingdom. Thus far I have done my duty in endeavouring to bring him to punishment. But mine is an inferior, ministerial office in the temple of justice.——I have bound the victim, and dragged him to the altar.

JUNIUS.

LET-

LETTER LXX.

The Reverend Mr. John Horne having with his usual veracity and honest industry, circulated a report that Junius, in a letter to the Supporters of the Bill of Rights, had warmly declared himself in favour of long parliaments, and rotten boroughs, it is thought necessary to submit to the public the following extract * from his letter to John Wilkes, Esq; dated the 7th of September, 1771, and laid before the society on the 24th of the same month.

A MAN, who honeftly engages in a public cause, must prepare himself for events, which will at once demand his utmost patience, and rouse his warmest indignation. I feel myself, at this moment, in the very situation I describe; yet, from the common enemy, I expect nothing but hostilities against the people. It is the conduct of our friends that surprizes and afflicts me. I cannot but resent the injury done to the common

* The Letter is here published entire, but the extract referred to is marked with inverted commas.

comm Tave partic their to the proof, judgn adopt gard it wi zeal mean lent i either vagan or im that t cere Wilke prude No po fuade my o endea

I of th

per,

cautio

common cause by the assembly at the London Tavern, nor can I conceal from you my own particular disappointment. They had it in their power to perform a real, effectual fervice to the nation; and we expected from them a proof, not only of their zeal, but of their judgment.-Whereas the measure they have adopted is fo shamefully injudicious, with regard to its declared object, that, in my opinion, it will, and reasonably ought, to make their zeal very questionable with the people they mean to ferve. When I fee a measure, excellent in itself, and not absolutely unattainable, either not made the principal object, or extravagantly loaded with conditions palpably abfurd or impracticable, I cannot easily satisfy myself, that the man, who proposes it, is quite so fincere as he pretends to be. You, at least, Mr. Wilkes, should have shewn more temper and prudence, and a better knowledge of mankind. No personal respects whatsoever should have perfuaded you to concur in these Resolutions. But my own zeal, I perceive, betrays me; I will endeavour to keep a better guard upon my temper, and apply to your judgment in the most cautious and measured language.

I OBJECT, in the first place, to the bulk, and much more to the stile, of your resolutions of the 23d of July; though some part of the preamble

his ated supmly

folohn

rlia-

ber, 24th

enf for t pafeel I de-

kpect is the Micts

o the amon

ne ex-

preamble is as pointed as I could wish, you talk of yourselves with too much authority and importance. By affuming this false pomp and air of consequence, you either give general difgust, or, what is infinitely more dangerous, you expose yourselves to be laughed at. The English are a fastidious people, and will not submit to be talked to in fo high a tone, by a fet of private gentlemen, of whom they know nothing, but that they call themselves Supporters of the Bill of Rights. There are questions, which in good policy, you should never provoke the people in general to ask themselves. At the same time, Sir, I am far from meaning to undervalue the institution of this society. On the contrary, I think the plan was admirable; that it has already been of fignal fervice to the public, and may be of much greater; and I do most earnestly wish, that you consider of, and promote, a plan for forming constitutional clubs all thro' the kingdom. A measure of this kind would alarm government more, and be of more effential fervice to the cause, than any thing that can be done relative to new modelling the house of commons. You fee, then, that my objections are directed to the particular measure, not to the general institution.

In the confideration of this measure, my first sobjection goes to the declared purpose of the resolutions

refol you corr impo out gum ly a Can are i fible thin fuch ticle not lotte law and fide, expe cour will ed to on t free refol coun

" ly

peop

"ly

of

ce.

fe-

or,

ofe

are

be

ate

but

Bil!

boo

ple

ne,

the

, I

al-

ind

ar-

te,

ro'

uld

en-

hat

use

ai-

not

first

the

ons

resolutions, in the terms and mode in which you have described it, viz. the extermination of corruption. In my opinion, you grasp at the impossible, and lose the really attainable. Without plaguing you or myself with a logical argument upon a speculative question, I willingly appeal to your own candour and judgment. Can any man in his fenfes affirm, that, as things are now circumstanced in this country, it is posfible to exterminate corruption? Do you seriously think it possible to carry through both houses fuch a place-bill as you describe in the fifth article; or, supposing it carried, that it would not be evaded? When you talk of contracts and lottery tickets, do you think that any human law can really prevent their being distributed and accepted? In short, Sir, would you, bona fide, and as a man of honour, give it for your expectation and opinion, that there is a fingle, county or borough in the kingdom, that will conform to the declaration recommended to them in the refolutions, and enforce it upon the candidates? For myself, I will tell you freely, not what I think, but what I know; the refolutions, are either totally neglected in the country, or, if read, are laughed at, and by people who mean as well to the cause as any of us.

"With regard to the articles taken separate"ly, I own I am concerned to see, that the Vol. II.

S
"great

" great condition, which ought to be the fine " qua non of parliamentary qualification; which " ought to be the basis, as it affuredly will be " the only support, of every barrier raised in " defence of the constitution; I mean a declara-" tion upon oath to shorten the duration of parlia-" ments; is reduced to the fourth rank in the ef-" teem of the fociety, and, even in that place, far " from being infifted on with firmness and vehe-" mence, seems to have been particularly slighted " in the expression, You shall endeavour to restore an-" nual parliaments. Are these the terms which " men who are in earnest make use of, when the " falus reipublicæ is at flake! I expected other lan-" guage from Mr. Wilkes. Besides my objection " in point of form, I disapprove highly of the " meaning of the 4th article, as it stands.-"Whenever the question shall be seriously agi-"tated, I will endeavour fand, if I live, will " affuredly attempt it) to convince the English " nation, by arguments, to my understanding " unanswerable, that they ought to insist upon a "triennial, and banish the idea of an annual " parliament."

ARTICLE 1. The terms of the first article would have been very proper a century or two ago, but they are not adapted to the present state of the constitution. The King does not act directly either in imposing or redressing grievances.

We

tice mig no spe & or r com the mad haza infin want fuch flitu mons reign cond ces: we co of the of un (that

until

in due

be a

word,

accord

of the

the lat

incessa

We

10

h

e

n

7-

7-

1-

ar

e-

ed

22-

ch

he

n-

on

he

gi-

vill

lifh

ing

n a

ual

icle

two

tate

di-

nces.

We

We need not now bribe the crown to do us juftice; and, as to the refusal of supplies, we might punish ourselves indeed, but it would be no way compulfory upon the King. With respect to his civil list, he is already independent, or might be fo, if he had common fense, or common refolution; and as for refusing to vote the army or navy, I hope we shall never be mad enough to try an experiment every way fo hazardous. But, in fact, the effort would be infinitely too great for the occasion, All we want is an honest representative, or, at least, fuch a one as will have fome respect for the constituent body. Formerly the house of commons were compelled to bargain with the Sovereign. At prefent they may prescribe their own conditions. So much, in general, for grievances: as to particular grievances, almost all those we complain of are, apparently, the acts either of the Lords or the Commons. The appointment of unworthy ministers is not strictly a grievance, (that is, a legal subject of complaint to the King) until those ministers are arraigned and convicted in due course of law. If, after that, the King should perfist in keeping them in office, it would be a grievance in the strict, legal fense of the word, and would undoubtedly justify rebellion, according to the forms, as well as the spirit, of the constitution. I am far from condemning the late addresses to the throne; they ought to be incessantly repeated. The people, by the singu-S 2 131

lar situation of their affairs, are compelled to do the duty of the House of Commons.

ARTICLE 2. I object to the fecond article, because I think that multiplying oaths is only multiplying perjury.—Besides this, I am satisfied, that, with a triennial parliament, (and without it all other provisions are nugatory) Mr. Grenville's bill is, or may be made, a sufficient guard against any gross, or slagrant offences in this way.

ARTICLE 3. The terms of the third article are too loofe and indefinite to make a diffinct or ferious impression. That the people are not equally and fully reprefented is unquestionable. But let us take care what we attempt. We may demolish the venerable fabric we intend to repair; and where is the strength and virtue to erest a better in its stead? I should not, for my own part, be so much moved at the corrupt and odious practices by which inconfiderable men get into parliament, nor even at the want of a perfeet representation, (and certainly nothing can be less reconcileable to the theory, than the prefent practice, of the constitution) if means could be found to compel fuch men to do their duty, (in essentials at least) when they are in parliament. Now, Sir, "I am convinced, that " if shortening the duration of parliaments, " (which "

35

"

" ed

"

"

«« \

" I

" r

" d

" ti

d

le

act

le.

av

re-

ect

wn

di-

get

er-

can

ore-

ans heir

e in

that

nts,

hich

" (which in effect is keeping the representative " under the rod of the constituent) be not made " the basis of our new parliamentary jurispru-" dence, other checks or improvements fignify " nothing. On the contrary, if this be " made the foundation, other measures may " come in aid, and, as auxiliaries, be of consi-" derable advantage. Lord Chatham's project, " for instance, of increasing the number of "knights of shires, appears to be admira-" ble," and the moment we have obtained a triennial parliament it ought to be tried. " As to cutting away the rotten boroughs, I am " as much offended as any man at feeing fo ma-" ny of them under the direct influence of the " crown, or at the disposal of private persons; " yet, I own, I have both doubts and apprehen-" fions, in regard to the remedy you propose. " I shall be charged, perhaps, with an unusual " want of political intrepidity, when I honest-" ly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea " of fo extensive an amputation. In the first " place, I question the power, de jure, of the " legislature to disfranchise a number of bo-" roughs, upon the general ground of improv-"ing the constitution. There cannot be a " doctrine more fatal to the liberty and proper-"ty we are contending for, than that which " confounds the idea of a supreme and an arbi-" trary legislature. I need not point out to " you

" you the fatal purpofes, to which it has been, " and may be applied. If we are fincere in the po-" litical creed we profess, there are many things " which we ought to affirm cannot be done by "King, Lords, and Commons. Among these, "I reckon the disfranchifing a borough with a " general view to improvement. I confider it " as equivalent to robbing the parties concerned " of their freehold, of their birth-right. I fay, " that, although this birth-right may be for-" feited, or the exercise of it suspended in par-"ticular cases, it cannot be taken away by a " general law, for any real or pretended pur-" pose of improving the constitution. I believe "there is no power in this country to make " fuch a law. Supposing the attempt made, I " am persuaded you cannot mean, that either "King or Lords should take an active part in "it. A bill, which only touches the represen-"tation of the people, must originate in the "house of commons, in the formation, and " mode of passing it. The exclusive right of "the commons must be afferted as scrupulously " as in the case of a money bill. Now, Sir, I " fhould be glad to know by what kind of rea-" foning it can be proved, that there is a power " vested in the representative to destroy his im-" mediate constituent; from whence could he " possibly derive it? A courtier, I know, will " be

"

..

" l

" d

" p
" p
" a
" tl

" d

" fu " qu " m

" al " pa " at

" at

" aw " pa " tai

" at

" be ready enough to maintain the affirmative. "The doctrine fuits him exactly, because it " gives an unlimited operation to the influence " of the crown. But we, Mr. Wilkes, must " hold a different language. It is no answer to " me to fay, that the bill, when it passes the " house of commons, is the act of the majority; " and not of the representatives of the particu-"lar boroughs concerned. If the majority can "disfranchife ten boroughs, why not twenty? "--- Why not the whole kingdom? ----"Why should not they make their own feats in " parliament for life?-When the septennial act " passed, the legislature did what, apparently " and palpably, they had no power to do; but "they did more than people in general were " aware of; they disfranchifed the whole king-"dom for four years. For argument's fake, I will " now suppose, that the expediency of the mea-" fure, and the power of parliament, were un-"questionable-ftill you will find an infur-" mountable difficulty in the exclusion. When " all your instruments of amputation are pre-" pared-when the unhappy patient lies bound " at your feet, without the possibility of resist-" ance, by what infallible rule will you direct "the operation? When you propose to cut " away the rotten parts, can you tell us what " parts are perfectly found? Are there any cer-" tain limits, in fact or theory, to inform you " at what point you must stop-at what point " the

"the mortification ends? To a man, so capable " of observation and reflection as you are, it is " unnecessary to fay all that might be faid upon "the fubject. Besides, that I approve highly " of Lord Chatham's idea of 'infufing a por-"tion of new health into the conflitution, to " enable it to bear its infirmities,' (a brilliant " expression, and full of intrinsic wisdom) other " reasons concur in persuading me to adopt it. "I have no objection" * to paying him fuch compliments as carry a condition with them, and either bind him firmly to the cause, or become the bitterest reproach to him if he deserts it .-Of this last I have not the most distant suspici-There is another man, indeed, with whose conduct I am not so completely satisfied ; yet even be, I think, has not refolution to do any thing flagrantly

* The man, who fairly and completely answers this argument, shall have my thanks and my applause. My heart is already with him...-I am ready to be converted...-I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith.—Grateful, as I am, to the good being, whose bounty has imparted to me this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myself proportionably indebted to him, from whose inlightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. But neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind, a gift worthy of the divinity; nor any assistance, in the improvement of them, a subject of gratitude to my fellow creature, if I were not satisfied, that really to inform the understanding corrects and enlarges the heart.

flagi At t pay in g be g who imp ence nish cour not fpec: have repre which the 1 then let 1 crea fines caba

> T long have

nuge

liam

A the

flagrantly impudent in the face of this country. At the fame time, that I think it good policy to pay those compliments to Lord Chatham, which in good truth he has nobly deferved, I should be glad to mortify those contemptible creatures, who call themselves noblemen, whose worthless importance depends entirely upon their influence over boroughs, and cannot be fafely diminished, but by increasing the powers of the counties at large. Among these men, I cannot but distinguish the meanest of the human species, the whole race of the C-n-w-ys. I have but one word to add: I would not give representatives to those great trading towns, which have none at prefent. If the merchant and the manufacturer must be really represented, let them become freeholders by their industry, and let the representation of the county be increased. You will find the interruption of bufiness in those towns, by the triennial riots and cabals of election, too dear a price for the nugatory privilege of fending members to parliament.

11

y

115

1y

ti-

g, et,

m,

wnk

orent

FI

ing

THE remaining articles will not require a long discussion: of the 4th and 5th articles I have spoken already.

ARTICLE 6. The measures recommended in the fixth are unexceptionable. My only doubt

is, how can an act, apparently done by the house of commons, be fixed, by sufficient legal evidence, upon the Duke of G—n or Lord N—h, of whose guilt I am nevertheless completely satisfied? As for Lord W——h and Lord B—n, their own letters are a sufficient ground of impeachment.

ARTICLE 7. The seventh article is also very proper and necessary. The impeachment of Lord M——d, upon his own paper, is indispensable. Yet suffer me to guard you against the seducing idea of concurring in any vote, or encouraging any bill, which may pretend to ascertain, while in reality it limits, the constitutional power of juries. I would have their right, to return a general verdict in all cases whatsoever, considered as a part of the constituon, sundamental, sacred, and no more questionable by the legislature, than whether the government of the country shall be by King, Lords, and Commons. Upon this point, an enacting bill would be pernicious; a declaratory bill, to say the best of it, useless.

ARTICLE 8. I think the eighth article would be more properly expressed thus: You shall grant no money, unless for services known to, and approved of by, parliament. In general the supplies are appropriated, and cannot easily be misapplied.

mifap deed, the he vided it is these. on th and r nation outra

> Ar I thin than very a and n

Are venth and, may j of Ire think plete to car Clear way Amer fefs I

propo

misapplied. The house of commons are, indeed, too ready in granting large sums under the head of Extraordinaries incurred and not provided for. But the accounts lie before them;—it is their own fault if they do not examine these. The manner in which the late debt upon the civil list was pretended to be incurred, and really paid, demands a particular examination. Never was there a more impudent outrage offered to a patient people.

ARTICLE 9. The ninth is indispensable; but I think the matter of it fitter for instruction, than the declaration you have in view. I am very apprehensive of clogging the declaration, and making it too long.

r

ARTICLE 10 and 11. In the tenth and eleventh you are civil to Ireland and America; and, if you mean nothing but oftentation, it may possibly answer your purpose. Your care of Ireland is much to be commended. But, I think, in good policy, you may as well complete a reformation at home, before you attempt to carry your improvements to such a distance. Clearing the fountain is the best and shortest way to purify the stream. As for taxing the Americans by their own representatives, I confess I do not perfectly understand you. If you propose, that, in the article of taxation, they should

should hereafter be left to the authority of their respective assemblies, I must own, I think, you had no business to revive a question, which should, and probably would, have lain dormant for ever. If you mean, that the Americans should be authorised to send their representatives to the British parliament, I shall be contented with referring you to what Mr. Burke has faid upon this fubject, and will not venture to add any thing of my own, for fear of difcovering an offensive difregard of your opinion. Since the repeal of the Stamp-act, I know of no acts tending to tax the Americans, except that which creates the tea-duty; and even that can hardly be called internal: yet it ought to be repealed, as an impolitic act, not as an oppreffive one. It preserves the contention between the mother-country and the colonies, when every thing worth contending for, is, in reality, given up. When this act is repealed, I presume you will turn your thoughts to the postage of letters; a tax imposed by authority of parliament, and levied in the very heart of the colonies. I am not fufficiently informed upon the subject of that excise, which you say is substituted in North America to the laws of cuftoms, to deliver fuch an opinion upon it as I would abide by. Yet I can eafily comprehend, that, admitting the necessity of raising a revenue for the support of government there, any other be nug this wition, conclu

IF 1

the att confide know back t of what and confidence don T amine ir

u

h

nt

ns a-

n-

ke

re

if-

n.

no

iat

an

be ef-

en ve-

ty, me of arthe con ubcufas I nd, eveany other revenue laws, but those of excise, would be nugatory in such a country as America. I say this with great diffidence as to the point in question, and with a positive protest against any conclusion from America to Great-Britain.

If these observations shall appear to deserve the attention of the society, it is for them to consider what use may be made of them. I know how dissicult and irksome it is to tread back the steps we have taken; yet if any part of what I have submitted to you carries reason and conviction with it, I hope that no false shame will insluence our friends at the London Tavern. Let my opinions be fairly examined.

JUNIUS.

A

AMON

An

Are the Dro

Thy p Oft Oft to Wi

When

LETTER I.

A MONODY; OR THE TEARS OF SEDITION ON THE DEATH OF JUNIUS.

Quis tibi Silure furor?

AND are these periods fill'd with tuneful care, Those thoughts which gleam'd with Ciceronian ore,

Are they, my Junius, pass'd like vulgar air, Droop'd is thy plume, to rise on same no more?

Thy plume!—it was the harp of fong in profe:
Oft has its numbers footh'd the felon's ear,
Oft to its tune my Wilkite heroes rofe
With couch'd tobacco-pipes in acts to spear.

Where now shall stormy Clodius and his crew, My dear assembly to the midnight hour,

Ah! where acquire a trumpeter?—fince you No more shall rouze them with your classic power.

Accurs'd Silurus! blasted be thy wing!

That grey Scotch wing which led th' unerring dart!

In virtue's cause could all that's satire sting
A bosom, with corruption's poison fraught

Impossible—then hear me, fiends of hell,
This dark event, this mystery unfold;
Poison'd was Junius? No: alas! he fell
"Mid'st arrows dipp'd in ministerial gold."

Then hear me, rioters, of my command, Condemn the villain to a traitor's doom; Let none but faithful knaves adorn my band; Go, fink this character into his tomb.

Here funk an essayist, of dubious name, Whose tinsel'd page on airy cadence run. Friendless, with party—noted, without same, Virtue and vice disclaim'd him as a son.

POETIKASTOS.

ADD

S

posed being it, th to be I hop partise the m which would or vir earness under presen structioned the

Voi

comm

LETTER II.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUB-LIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

April 12, 1769.

I HE monody on the sup. posed death of Junius is not the less poetical for being founded on a fiction. In some parts of it, there is a promise of genius, which deserves to be encouraged. My letter of Monday will, I hope, convince the author that I am neither a partifan of Mr. Wilkes, nor yet bought off by the ministry. It is true I have refused offers, which a more prudent or a more interested man would have accepted. Whether it be fimplicity or virtue in me, I can only affirm that I am in earnest; because I am convinced, as far as my understanding is capable of judging, that the present ministry are driving this country to destruction; and you, I think, Sir, may be fatisfied that my rank and fortune place me above a common bribe.

VOL. II.

T-

T IUNIUS.

LETTER III.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUE-LIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

Sept. 5, 1769.

AMIDST the great number of correspondents who have raised your paper to a superiority over the rest, I don't remember to have observed lately any of the female fex. To a woman of spirit, the most intolerable of all grievances is a reftraint on the liberty of the tongue. I can't bear to fee the men have it all to themselves; and shall certainly burst, if I am not permitted to put in a word. Much has been faid of late about grievances and apprehensions, instructions and petitions, elections and expulsions. Now, Sir, I want to enter the lifts with one of the most celebrated of your political correspondents: Here I throw down my glove, and am in hopes it will be taken up by-Junius.

Some people perhaps may blame me for meddling with politics, a science sit only for the men; but Junius has no right to find fault; for Junius has been the aggressor, by making such fuc ral

niu find tier ters poin of o hape as fo is of fona can a rej

mole view micr fpect out (cover a fier calur

empl

fuch frequent incursions into scandal, the natu- . ral province of the women.

However, I will do your correspondent Junius the justice to fay, that I think him a very fine writer, a great master of composition, and indeed upon the whole I have not feen a prettier fellow-upon a paper. His former letters have confifted of general declamation, or pointed personal abuse. In both of these he has proved himself an adept. There is a great deal of oratory in his declamations, though he is perhaps too flowery and metaphorical, and feems as fond of point and antithesis as any woman is of point lace and French filk. As to his perfonal attacks, they are irrefiftible; no character. can stand before him; he is the very butcher of a reputation: " Heaven preserve the characters. " of all my tribe from Junius!"

1-

y

n ly.

1.

es S,

to of

W be

d-

he

lt;

ng

ich

In the art of exaggeration he has no equal; molehills he magnifies into mountains, and views your smallest peccadillo through a double microscope. Should there be the least spot or fpeck on your reputation, Junius can spread it out (with the help of a few drops of ink) till it covers you all over, and makes you as black as a fiend; in thort, Junius is chief japanner or calumniator-general to the opposition; he isemployed to befmear the ministry with his very T 2

beit.

best liquid blacking; and when he was written them out of office, he will no doubt change his colours, take a different brush, and white-wash their successors. I wish he may make as distinguished a figure in the sweet work of panegyric as he has done in the painful task of calumny and detraction!

But of all kinds of abuse, private scandal seems to be his favourite morsel; Junius lays hold of a scandalous anecdote, with as much keenness as a spider seizes an unfortunate sty; he crawls forth from the dark hole where he lay concealed; how eagerly he clutches it; with what a malicious pleasure he drags it along; his eyes gloat upon it with cruel delight; he winds it round and round with his cobweb rhetoric, and sucks the very heart's blood of samily peace!

Various have been the conjectures formed on the question, "Who is this Junius?"——I have heard at least twenty persons named, whom suspicion points the singer at; nay I have been assured at different times, that each of them was the author in question. They could not all be the writer; perhaps none of them is.—But in spite of all the curiosity which is imputed to our sex, I declare sincerely, that I would

diff he the

wil race the aga inc fen aga fhoi hav leav Wo pit ; who pitte bets does happ muc of th " a er on " co

" gr

And

would not give a pin for the fecret. 'Tis indifferent to me who the man is; and whether he was first dipped in the Thames or the Tweed, the Liffy or the Shannon.

Bur though I can't tell who Junius is, I will tell you what he is like. Junius is like a racer in the field of politics, who walks over the course alone; no one venturing to flart against him; I have for some time had a violent inclination to enter at the post, though I am fenfible the odds at starting would be greatly against the filly; but for all that, perhaps I should be up with him at the long run. But I have run out my fimile, and therefore must beg leave to take a fresh one. Your paper, Mr. Woodfall, is become the grand political cockpit; and Junius struts about in it like a cock whom no-body can match; fuppose that I were pitted against him, how do you think the bets would go? and what are the odds that he does not come off hen-pecked? If I should happen to get the better of him, it would be as much a matter of public wonder as the late affair of the hen in Scotland-Yard, who "attacked " a prodigious large rat that was carrying off " one of her chickens, and after fighting a " confiderable time, killed the rat, to the " great joy and furprise of the spectators." And befides, how great will be the honour acciuing

h

y

m is

I

ld

cruing to our fex from such a victory? It will be recorded amongst the most famous exploits in the annals of female prowess; and I shall be ranked with the most renowned heroines of antiquity, Thomyris and Semiramis, Judith and Deborah.

But perhaps the defeat of this political Holofernes may not be fo very difficult; and indeed on a nearer view he does not appear half fo formidable. When Junius stalked upon the heights of declamation, he appeared of more than ordinary fize; but now that he has defcended to the plain ground of reason and argument, he appears nearly on a level with com mon men. His letters on the Middlesex election are most fophistically dull, unless where he throws in some personalities by way of giving spirit and flavour to his political olio. However, I don't believe that with all his fophistry he has made a fingle convert to his opinion. I fancy there is hardly one cool, moderate, impartial person in England, who does not think that the house of commons are the only judges of their own privileges; that no power on earth can force a member upon them, whom they have declared incapable of being elected; and that if any person under such known and declared incapacity, happens to have the greatest number of votes, that candidate, who has the fee to did did in f

fact

ro

S

ried or co I hop pal d made

upon

name

next

next greatest number of legal votes, must of course be the sitting member. This opinion seems to me to be perfectly agreeable to reason, to common sense, and the principles of the constitution, and (notwithstanding the delusive appearance of petitions obtained we all know how) I do verily believe it is the opinion of every candid, impartial, unprejudiced person in England; in short, of all those who are not the tools of saction, or the dupes of party.

JUNIA.

LETTER IV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVER-

SIR.

S

h

y

d

r-

ft

ne

xt.

Sept. 7. 1769.

I FIND myself unexpectedly married in the news-papers, without my knowledge or consent. Since I am sated to be a husband, I hope at least the lady will perform the principal duty of a wife. Marriages, they say, are made in heaven, but they are consummated upon earth; and since Junia has adopted my name, she cannot, in common matrimonial de-

cency,

cency, refuse to make me a tender of her perfon. Politics are too barren a subject for a new-married couple. I should be glad to furnish her with one more fit for a lady to handle, and better fuited to the dexterity of her fex. In fhort, if Junia be young and handsome, she will have no reason to complain of my method of conducting an argument. I abominate all tergiversation in discourse, and she may be affured that whatever I advance, whether it be weak or forcible, shall at any rate be directly in point. It is true I am a strenuous advocate for liberty and property, but when these rights are invaded by a pretty woman, I am neither able to defend my money nor my freedom. The divine right of beauty is the only one an Englishman ought to acknowledge, and a pretty woman the only tyrant he is not authorised to refift.

JUNIUS.

LET-

A D

SI

I am

my p in the imperation whice receil bene combito the

I rep to be Juni

news

fer of

LETTER V.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, OA. 17, 1769.

PERFECTLY convinced as I am of my own inability to enter the lists, or use my pen against the two-edged sword that glitters in the hand of Junius, nothing but my being impelled by that uncommon kind of gratitude, which makes us not only thankful for benefits received, but inclines us to love and respect our benefactor, could tempt me forth to so unequal a combat, or prevail on me to offer even a fact to the public, through such a channel as our news-papers.

LET my motive then plead my excuse, while I reply to the charge which appears most difficult to be cleared, because it is most general, which Junius has made against the Duke of Bedford.

Junius calls upon Sir William Draper to 'en-'ter boldly into the detail of indigence relieved; 'of arts encouraged; of science patronized; of 'men

men of learning protected; and works of genius rewarded.'

UNDER any of these denominations, it must be extremely painful to a woman, whose highest merit should be modesty, and of course a blushing merit, to appear; yet truth and gratitude ought to surmount semale delicacy so far, as to relate a matter of sact, which she hopes will be one proof of the injustice of the charge here quoted against the Duke of Bedford.

When his Grace was lord lieutenant of Ireland, the feries of letters between Henry and Frances happened to fall into his hands. In the preface, Henry speaks of the distresses of his fortune, and the justifiable means by which those distresses were occasioned.—His Grace's humanity was affected; he enquired into the author's situation, and on sinding it to be what is there described, unsolicited by aught but his own noble nature, he sent for Henry, and in the most obliging and gracious manner presented him with a patent employment, which was at that time vacant.

SURE I am, that many parallel, perhaps more meritorious, instances of his Grace's munificence, might be recounted, if those, who, like like ficies they

fince the circ a cr ftory in y

and

it v

vete

argi

the pea

like me, have partaken of them, had virtue sufficient to acknowledge themselves obliged, when they had received an obligation.

FRANCES

LETTER VI.

A LETTER OF MODESTUS TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

e

A

e

e

d

e

S

h

S

S

3

e

t

3

Nov. 13, 1769.

HREE weeks are elapsed fince you favoured the public with an essay on the arrest of a general officer. You wrested the circumstances, with which it was attended, into a crime against administration. You told the story in your own way; you reasoned upon it in your own way also; you abused, you praised, you challenged, and you concluded. In all this it would be difficult to decide, whether the inveteracy of your malice, the absurdity of your argument, the barbarity of your intention, or the dulness of your stile and composition, appeared most conspicuous.

But, Sir, waving the rest, you challenged, and these are the precise terms of your defiance: 'I have

I have been accused of endeavouring to inflame the passions of the people—let me now appeal to their understanding.—If there be any tool of administration daring enough to deny these facts, or shameless enough to defend the conduct of the ministry, let him come forward. I care not under what title he appears. He shall find me ready to maintain the truth of my narrative, and the justice of my observations upon it, at the hazard of my utmost credit with the public.

Two days after your letter made its appearance in the Public Advertiser, an answer to it appeared in the Gazetteer, in which your challenge was accepted in the following words: · You challenge any tool of administration to · defend the conduct of the ministry; I accept of 'your challenge, though it is not addressed to me. I am no tool of administration, but your equal, Junius, perhaps your superior, in every ' thing that may become a man. I defire for ' judges of the contest, justice, candor, and im-' partiality. I dare you to the uttermost; and if I do not make you appear, in the eyes of all reasonable men, as contemptible as you deserve to be, let the scorn be transferred to " myfelf."

What is the reason, Junius, that you have hitherto taken no notice of that letter? The author

auth not men that tions abfu knov you give has tells most your tions that on d the o fuffe to b he n flatly He d from ed. other houf the à

> to fo who

It is

y

y

d.

Ie

of

i-

lit

r-

it

ıl-

5:

to

of

to

ur

ry

or

m-

nd

res

ou

to

ave

he

nor

author of it, too candid to affirm what he could not immediately prove, supposed, in his argument, your narrative to be true; and even on that supposition, he demonstrated your observations not only unjust, but inconfistent, even to absurdity. But if he could not with certain knowledge deny the fact, he doubted it; he told you fo; and, in the belief that no man would give a formal challenge without pursuing it, he has enquired into the truth of that fact. tells you now, and will maintain it at the utmost hazard of HIS credit with the public, that your narrative is no less false than your observations are fallacious. It is false (for instance) that the general officer applied to a ferjeant, not on duty, to favour his escape. It is false, that the officer of the guard stood at a distance, and fuffered the bufiness to be done. He was spoke to by the other officer in the coffee-house, and he not only declined interfering in person, but flatly refused his assistance directly or indirectly. He did more; he diffuaded his brother officer from his intention, and believed he had prevailed. His only fault was being the dupe of the other's apparent repentance, who left the coffeehouse as if he intended to proceed no farther in the attempt; and took the opportunity to apply to fome foldiers of the guard while the officer who commanded it remained in the coffee-house. It is false that the guard was turned out, or under

der arms. And it is a most malicious construction of the fairest conduct, to blame administration, because these gentlemen have not been punished by military law.

THE truth is, that it was proposed to try the offenders by military law, immediately after the offence was committed; but, in a confultation with the civil magistrate, it was judged improper, lest a military trial should prejudge the action now depending, and in which the offenders are at present under bail. A fair trial is the right of every Englishman, whatever offence he may be guilty of. Our civil rights are our most precious blessings; and our form of trial is the bulwark of these rights; and, Sir, you contradict the principles you profess, when you endeavour to fet up martial, in opposition to common law, and give that the lead which ought to follow. Had these gentlemen been first tried by military law, the evidences brought before a court-martial must have been afterwards examined in the courts of law; but witnesses already examined upon oath, according to the arbitrary proceedings of a court-martial, cannot be unexceptionable in a subsequent civil action. Their evidence, however extorted, would awe them to conceal, or difguise the truth, which our form of civil trial is fo well calculated to discover. And you, Junius, a patriot, an affertor

ferto decla ance court office a rigi

of ca how verdi ment quen which you v offenzance the v paffic you v again

Ir challed I have to you the arryou of

least,

fertor of the rights of Englishmen, would have declaimed and exclaimed, with fome appearance of justice, against the proceedings of a court-martial, which should have deprived these officers of that fair and legal trial, which they have a right, as Englishmen, to demand.

n

1e ie n

0-1-

rs he

ce

ur

al

ou

ou

to

ch

en ht

rds

Tes ar-

not

n.

we

ich

to af-

tor

Our military laws prescribe the punishment of cashiering, for offences of this nature. But how is this crime to be proved? Only by the verdict of a jury in a civil action; and the judgment upon it is evidence of record in the subsequent court-martial: but these are matters of which you are ignorant. You go on in your own method, to clap the cart before the horse; and you would have punished, by military law, an offence, which military law cannot take cognizance of, until it has been legally found one by the verdict of a jury. Thus, blinded by your passion, or unacquainted with the constitution, you would overturn it, to wreak your refentment against a ministry, which, in this instance at least, has acted in its truest spirit.

IT is time, Junius, you should think of the challenge you gave. I know you to be flow, and I have not hurried you. But fomething is due to your character; which has already fuffered in the article of challenges. I should wish to meet you on this ground; or, indeed, on any other.

Stand

Stand forward, man; the cause is not so bad, but something may still be said for it: you can, at least, call names. I warn you, however, that I shall take no notice of any of your myrmidons; not even Philo-Junius, should you write another letter under that signature. But I warn you also, that if you suffer yourself to be thus insulted, after the provocation you have given, you are ruined with the public, and you will pass, even in the opinion of Wilkes and Liberty, for what you are, the bassled emissary of a desperate saction.

MODESTUS.

LETTEŔ VII.

A LETTER OF SCEVOLA TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

O.A. 12. 1771.

You have mistaken Lord Camden's opinion, and changed it into as weak and mischievous a tenet as could have proceeded from Scroggs or Jesseries.—You have made it the counter part of the ship-money doctrine.—In this representation you follow Lord M—d, who gave that colour to the argument in the house of lords.—The great point of difference between

bette the my the min pleasured twice 'That' if the 's and 's and

" ft

by A

ble I that forced a qui whice lie, nicion

presen

mifta

between the representation and the truth is, that the former makes Lord Camden pronounce the the King judge of the necessity, and the latter, viz. my Lord Camden's real speech makes parliament the judge of it, and exposes the head of the minister who advised the illegal act upon the plea of its necessity to the mercy of parliament. -Lord Camden's opinion, which I heard him twice deliver in the house of lords was, this: That " if the King should, in the recess of par-"liament, issue a proclamation, directing a " step to be taken flat against a subsisting law, " and at the next meeting of parliament the " flep should appear to them to have been neces-" fary for the good of the flate-their declara-"tion of that necessity would operate with a re-" trospect so as to make the act become legal ab " initio" -- (which is an idea countenanced by Mr. Locke.)

That this was the scope and tenor of the noble Lord's argument I appeal to himself and all that heard him.—Whether the opinion so restored be or be not erroneous in point of law, is a question foreign to the purpose of this letter, which has no other view but to convince the public, that his Lordship never delivered that pernicious and foolish opinion, which Junius by mistake, and Lord M—d by the basest misre-presentation, have imputed to him.

SCÆVOLA.

e

u

d

١.

·d

ak

d

ie.

n

d,

ne

ce

en

LETTER VIII.

A LETTER OF SCEVOLA TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

08. 19, 1771.

V

ti

R

th

th

ed

do

fif

fit

fol

ly

elf bu

stag gy-

cat

upo

ly t

cla

any

In the Public Advertiser of to day we are told that Junius and Philo-Junius are the same. This has tempted me to read the papers of the latter signature, and amongst them I perceive one addressed in some measure to me.

I LITTLE meant to cope with a person of your very superior abilities;——I wrote my last sew lines, and write now with sear and trembling, lest I should injure my Lord Camden by a weak desence; but I have some reliance upon truth, which perhaps in my plain language may overcome all your dexterity.

You put me under the necessity of changing the word mistake, used in my former address to you, into the word misrepresentation; for you now admit a very material part of your first account to have been salse by admitting that my Lord Camden's doctrine did not make the King sole, exclusive and absolute judge of the necessity; whereas

whereas I appeal to every man of common sense, whether your expression "of which the King is "to judge," does not imply that he is that sole, exclusive, absolute judge; and that he might at all times justify the act by saying that it was in bis opinion a necessary act. I declare solemnly, that I for one, with my common rate of understanding, did so interpret that phrase.

f

15

n

0

ir

11

k

6,

r-

18

to

W

nt

rd

le,

as

As to the crown being judge in the first inflance, which you represent as a necessary part of the noble Lord's doctrine, I shall only answer, that this abfurdity, which you have been pleafed to fasten upon his Lordship, is your own. I don't at all weaken or in the least contradict the tenor of the opinion really delivered, when I infift that the King is never the judge of the necesfity, unless you mean to avail yourself of the twofold sense of the word judge. The King certainly judges (conceives) the act to be necessary,else he or his ministers would not run the risk : but he is no judge in the fense of decision in any stage of the business. Let us argue from analogy-The court of king's bench declares in judicature a point of law. This adjudication may upon appeal be superseded, but it binds absolutely till superseded; -not so in this case of the proclamation :- The King is not competent to decide any thing upon the necessity of it; -no, not for U 2 a time

a time—and as I said before, this idea is perfectly confisent with my Lord Camden's doctrine.

As to the general supposition that an illegal step may by subsequent circumstances become legal ab initio—there's no riddle in it—many instances of it could be pointed out.

As to your distinction between parliament and the two bouses, between the indemnity bill and the simple declaration of the necessity, I only beg to observe, that as to the supposed and imputed mischief of Lord Camden's doctrine, it is (in your own words) "a distinction without a diffe-"rence;" for in the case which you somewhat cavalierly put, of prostituted Lords and Commons, may not the King as effectually shelter his advisers, and aggrieve the subject, by an indemnity bill passing through this medium of prostitution, as by a vote of the two prostituted houses, justifying the measure (according to Lord Camden's doctrine) by a declaration of the necessity?

I HAVE hitherto confined myself to the mischief and pernicious tendency of the doctrine, not to say its gross folly, from which I have endeavoured to clear my Lord Camden's argument by restoring it, and stating it honestly as I did in my last.—I come now to the law—my Lord Camden certainly thought the vote of the two houses

de ma No am WI ftri on he no ma twe ing bot leg of hou jud exp hou plea on o

initi

tory

opir

I co

coul

posi

ho

houses in this case equivalent to a parliamentary declaration: he also thought such declaration made the act (illegal before) legal ab initio. Now as Lord Camden is no patron of mine, I am free to declare, that I am fatisfied he was wrong in both of those points upon the foot of firict law; that he was wrong upon his conviction Junius himself has once admitted; and that he was wrong upon fair and rational, though not fatisfactory, grounds will appear to every man of good understanding. The shade between his erroneous doctrine and the true one being in fense and reason hardly distinguishable: both doctrines admit the proclamation to be illegal, and at the minister's peril till the meeting of parliament; both doctrines admit the two houses of parliament (in this or that mode) fole judges of the necessity: both doctrines agree in exposing the minister to impeachment, if the two houses of parliament should decide against his plea of necessity. Whether upon the declaration of necessity the act becomes good in law ab initio or not, is the only question. Locke (no tory) holds the affirmative. The law, in my opinion, strictly taken, is in the negative: for I conceive that nothing but an indemnity bill could justify the crown for having superfeded a positive act of parliament.

t

-

t

n

es

BUT I return again and again to the burden of my fong: Cui malo to the fubject, if my Lord Camden's doctrine were the law of the land?

As to his Lordship's opposing the indemnitybill, one should think you had no comprehension of the subject, by accusing him of inconfistency for that opposition. The indemnity-bill supposes the act to continue illegal to all eternity. Lord Camden supposed that no such illegality remained, but was purged and taken away from the moment of the declaration of the necessity. As an honest man, therefore, he was bound to oppose the indemnity-bill.

I HAVE already answered your question put in the postscript. You say if the act was illegal till the meeting of parliament, it was nugatory, and the mischief would be completed before the meeting. Be it so: but is not that objection equally applicable to your own doctrine?

THE upshot of all I have said will, I trust, be this, in the mind of every honest reader, that Lord Camden's opinion, though erroneous in point of law, would, if it were the law, be as constitutional in its main principle, and as salutary in its operation, as the true law stated by Junius, and acknowledged by

SCÆVOLA.

AT

S

agr prod lang lars powe forth But, in th turne in cr those —I I unde and t their his fe it all. can fi

Spirit,

LETTER IX.

FROM ZENO TO JUNIUS,

ALIAS EDMUND THE JESUIT OF ST. OMERS. .

SIR,

YOUR Letter of the 8th is a greater miracle than any you have hitherto produced. I do not mean in it's argument, language, and arrangement. In these particulars you have been invested with a creative power; and whatever you are pleased to bring forth, is not for us to approve but to admire. But, Sir, your letter of the 8th is not written . in the fingle spirit of calumny; you have now turned the efforts which formerly were exerted in creating divisions amongst the good, to cement those which never fail to arise amongst the bad. -I have no objection to your success in this undertaking .- Let the fathers of YOUR church and the fons of the city unite.-Let them club their arts and their powers .- Let Wilkes enjoy his fertility in expedients, he will have need for it all .- But neither that fertility, the republican firmness of Sawbridge; no, nor the youth, Spirit, and graces of Townsend will avail to over-

turn the constitution, or even procure to them or to you the ultimate object of your desires—a little money.

YET, Sir, why, in a letter professedly written to reconcile the patriots of the city, do you make a digression to abuse lord Manssield? Is it because of the diametrical opposition of his character to theirs? certainly it must be so; and Junius is less a sool than I believed him. Nothing more likely to reconcile rogues who rail at each other, than railing at honest men. If your dogs are of the true breed, they will leave off worrying one another, and join in the cry against the common enemy.

IT is on the subject of this abuse that I take the liberty to address the mighty Junius.

This Phanix of politicians and of reasoners tells the public, that "he never had a doubt" about the strict right of pressing, till he knew "Lord Manssield was of the same opinion." That indeed stagger'd him not a little; and to be sure it was a staggering consideration. For who is to learn that Lord Manssield is utterly ignorant of the law? and that his judgment is avowedly so weak and perverse, that a wise politician (I mean so very wise a politician as Junius) will examine no further, but at once conclude.

Clud

Si inco ferve you vou the this anfw relat lies a ed to infin Lord out Fiel fhap mat hap dare

libe deed reftr fo h

you

clude, that proposition in law to be false, which Lord Mansfield holds to be true.

SIR, when you are only puerile, blundering, inconsistent and absurd, I treat you as you deferve, with ridicule and contempt. But when you affert positive falthoods, the mildest usage. you can expect, is to have them crammed down the foul throat from which they issued. this nature are the questions you make, and the answers you are pleased to give to yourself in relation to Lord Mansfield. So many infamous lies as these answers contain, were never crouded together before-not even by Junius. You infinuate (and you dare but infinuate) that Lord Mansfield was the fecret adviser of sending out the guards when the affair of St. George's Fields happened; that his lordship was in any shape oftensibly or otherwise concerned in that matter; that he knew of it 'till days after it happened, is a lie of the first magnitude; and I dare you to bring even the shadow of proof of your infamous affertion.

It is also a lie that Lord Mansfield attacks the liberty of the press. He has endeavoured, indeed, by legal and constitutional methods, to restrain the abuse of that liberty; and in doing so he has shewn himself a good citizen. Are you a politician, and ignorant that the abuse

of the best things makes them degenerate into the worst? Are you a pretender to reason, and ignorant that the abuse of a valuable privilege, is the certain mean to lose it? Are not you a public desamer of every respectable character in the nation? Have not you carried the licence of the press beyond the bounds not only of decency and humanity, but even of human conception? And dare you complain that it's liberty is attacked? Your reliance on the ignorance of those to whom you write must be great indeed, when you dare affirm a fast which is contradicted and proved a lie by the very affirmation of it's truth.

Nor is it less false, that Lord Mansfield invades the constitutional power of juries. I refer all who are not willing to believe a lie upon the credit of a common lier, to the letters of Philo-leutherus Anglicanus, and those under the signature of A Candid Enquirer, for information on this subject. The letters are in the Public Advertisers of November and December last. And from them, all who are able to form a judgment on a question of law, will see it clearly demonstrated, that Lord Mansfield's opinion with respect to the power of juries, is no less the law of the land, than the advantage of the subject.

of just and publicant an active linjur

tates and l

ftanc

confi

been brotl

dark

fitio

but

Y

chall

derst

A ch

com

and

coun

and

Your question relating to Lord Manssield's challenging a juryman, I confess I do not understand. Neither do I know to what it alludes. A charge of that nature ought to have been accompanied with circumstances of time, place and occasion. When, where, and on what account was this done? Answer me these questions, and I pledge myself to the public, that I shall prove, to the conviction of every reasonable man, that if it was so done, it was legally done.

Your next accusation shews you no less void of judgment and confiltency, than of justice You accuse Lord Mansfield to the and truth. public, for faying a Lord is entitled to no greater damages in a fuit for debauching his wife, than a mechanic. Lord Mansfield did fay, that in an action of damages for criminal conversation, the law did not confider the rank of the person injured. And in this he uttered not only the dictates of law, but the dictates of common-sense and humanity, neither of which you feem to understand. Had Lord Mansfield faid that the law did not consider the rank of the injuring person, it might have been argued that he meant to screen the King's brother. But the difference between light and darkness is not greater than between this propofition and the proposition he maintained. None but an Irish understanding could possibly take the

the change, or suppose them convertible propositions. But can you, Junius, seriously make your court to the people, by telling them there is a wide difference between the crime of debauching the wife of a Lord, and one of their own? You were bred at St. Omers. You were destined for a church, not that indeed of which Savile, &c. are the fathers; but however a church which requires some reading. Reading the scriptures, it is true, is forbid by your canons; but furely you have heard of the prophet Nathan's address to David on a subject of this nature? prophet, worse than Lord Mansfield, thought that debauching the wife of a poor man was a greater crime than debauching the wife of a For this plain and humane reason, that a a poor man's wife was his all; his only comfort and confolation; whereas a rich man had many Yet Junius, the popular Junius, tells the people plainly, that debauching one of their wives is nothing in comparison of lying with a a Lord's, and arraigns the upright and difcerning judge, who fays that the injury to the hufband is in both cases equal.

Who makes commissioners of the great seal? Lord Manssield—indeed;—I thought that power had only resided in the King. To see how plain men may mistake! If you, Junius, by making commissioners mean, advising the king to make commissioners,

on i oblig me per toffice

Bi miffi decre own abfu impr decre them Man himf comn who And field in dir an op ed th the u atten who

> T_H Mans

commissioners, I understand you. The expression is rather inaccurate, but that one is often obliged to pass over in Junius. In my turn give me leave to ask you a question. Who so proper to advise his Majesty in the choice of a law officer as Lord Manssield.

r

1

or c.

2-

s, ly

fs

ne

ht

a

a

a

rt

ny

lls

eir

ı a

n-

11-

11?

ver ain

ing

ake

rs.

But Lord Mansfield not only made the commissioners of the great seal: He also framed their decree, and then disavowed the decree of his own framing in the house of peers. This is an absurd and an improbable lye. It is absurd and improbable to suppose Lord Mansfield framed a decree for three judges very capable to frame one themselves. It is more absurd to suppose Lord Mansfield would difavow the decree which he himself had made, in the presence of the three commissioners for whom he had made it, and who could fo easily have detected his duplicity. And it is a direct and public he that Lord Manffield faid he never had a doubt that the law was in direct opposition to that decree. He did not give an opinion in the house of peers. He only stated the question; and the decree was reversed on the unanimous opinion of the eight judges who attended. For the truth of this I appeal to all who were present.

THE last charge of Junius represents Lord Mansfield making it his study to undermine and alter

alter the whole system of jurisprudence in the King's Bench. One would fearely believe that there could be an understanding so twisted, or a heart fo corruptly malignant as to make that an article of accusation, which fairly taken includes in it the most exalted merit and virtue. If there be a superlatively eminent quality in Lord Mansfield's great and deferved character, it is the unremitting and unwearied efforts he constantly has made to rescue injured and oppressed innocence from the harpy fangs of chi--cane and quibble. The nation does him justice in this particular; and all the arts and lies, that have been employed to defame him, have never -been able to stagger the public confidence in his judgment and integrity. The proof of this is in the breast of every man to whom I write; and the croud of fuiters in the court where he prefides gives the most honourable testimony to the truth which I affirm, and the most palpable lye to the affertion of the abandoned Junius.

AND now, Sir, having answered all your questions, you are worth no further notice. I shall in my turn address a few queries to the public; and I am forry, that the temper of the times should oblige me to recal to their memory things, which ought to be indelibly engraven on the heart of every Englishman.

By

atter tion pen prec tion whi

me

tem
carr
ed.t
the
appr

the

mor

of the men com

any and Mr. the l

henc

By whose advice was it that his Majesty immediately on his accession to the throne made the judges places for life, thereby rendering them independent on King or Minister? Lord Mansfield. -When Lord Chatham and Lord Camden attempted to revive the impious and unconflitutional doctrine of a power in the crown to difpense with the laws of the land; (which was precifely the point on which the glorious revolution binged, and the doctrine for maintaining of which James II. loft his crown;) who flood in the breach, and with eloquence and argument, more than human, defeated the pernicious attempt? Lord Mansfield.-Who supported and carried through the house of peers the bill called-the nullum tempus bill. That law by which the minds of the people were quieted against apprehension of claims on the part of the crown? Lord Mansfield. To whom do we owe the fuccess of the bill for restraining the privilege of parliament, of fuch essential service to the internal commerce of the nation; and especially to that part of it which could least afford to lie under any disadvantage, the industrious shop-keeper and tradesman? Lord Mansfield. Who carried Mr. Grenville's last legacy to the nation through the house of peers; that bill by which questions of elections in the house of commons are henceforth to be tried in a manner, which will prevent

he nat or

bat inue.

er, he

bi-

hat ver his

s is and ore-

the lye

our I the

nory n on

By

prevent the injustice supposed to have been done in the Middlesex election, and guard against the bad consequences which it was feared might follow from the determination? Lord Mansfield.

I MIGHT add many other conflitutional quefitions in which Lord Mansfield has ever been on the fide of public liberty. But if what I have already faid be not sufficient to vindicate the first character in the nation from the false aspersions of an unprincipled scribbler, I am bold to say, that the time is now arrived when it is unworthy of an honest man to labour for the public; and the character of an Englishman, once so respectable, will no longer be known but by its folly and ingratitude.

ZENO.

po

er

be

teg

th

pl

the

fer

pa

the

fier thi fou war but affin thei ligh hav was

thei the i

LET-

LETTER X.

TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

HERE is a bigottry in politics as well as in religion. Precepts, which, on examination, we should have found to be erroneous, are often implicitly received by us, because we have formed an opinion of the integrity and found judgment of those by whom they were penned; but the majority of the people are biafed by those principles entirely, which they have imbibed in their youth, and pay deference to those persons and things, which their parents instructed them to revere. The greater, therefore, the reputation of a writer, the stricter guard I must keep over my belief, for the eafier he might lead my judgment aftray. I even think it my duty, when fuch a writer errs, to found the alarm; lest my fellow citizens be unwarily misled .- Junius is their favourite guide : but shall they follow him blindfold, because he affirms it to be dark? No, let them walk with their eyes open, and see if there be not a ray of light. --- Credulity and fuperstitious veneration have ever held in darkness the human mind. It was not till the pope and his priests had forfeited their character of holiness and infallibility that the reformation took place, and mankind began to think for themselves; the scriptures began to Vol. II.

he

be understood in their original meaning, though many to this day interpret them; not as they have confidered them in their own minds, but as, by their priests or their parents, they are taught to believe. It was not till the prerogative of the crown was abused by the house of Stuart, that the revolution succeeded in the government of Britain. Men then lost that fear and reverence with which they used to behold their King; and they began to imagine it would be better for the common-weal, that his power and prerogative were curtailed. The authority of the monarchical law-writers became also difregarded; and customs, which, before that period, were peaceably received as the laws of the land, were then found to be illegal and inconfistent with the rights of a free man .- Our minds are becoming still daily more enlightened; general warrants have lately been abolished as illegal; and you, Junius, have publicly arraigned the conduct of our chief magistrate, with a freedom hitherto unknown. A few years ago a jury of your own countrymen would have perused your sentiments of their King, with almost the same horror and detestation, as they would have read blasphemy against their God. You have indeed, Sir, been the greatest reformer of our political creed, and I revere you for your enlarged mind. But, though in general I assent to the articles of your faith, I cannot entirely agree with you in the opinions delivered to

us, Wh pre wiff give fay, 46 fe 46 W « li 46 b " I as th " if " d on is of t of a told fubf the : fo to befo if, rant agai long gene imm men by t Sir,

gate

felf :

us, in your letter of the 8th of this month. What you have there written on the subject of press-warrants, does not become your pen. I wish, Sir, for your own honour, you would give that matter a fecond confideration. You fay, "I fee the right (of pressing men into the " fea fervice) founded originally upon necessity, " which supercedes all argument. I see it estab-" lished by usage immemorial, and admitted " by more than a tacit assent of the legislature. "I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of " things, for the grievance complained of; for, " if there were, it must long since have been re-"dreffed." Now really, Sir, this conclufion is more like the argument of a bigotted priest of the church of Rome than the found reasoning of a protestant divine. You might as well have told us to reverence the pope, to believe in transubstantiation, and to kneel to all the images of the popish saints; because, if it were not proper fo to do, our ancestors would not have done fo before us. Would you not have been laughed at if, in the debate on the legality of general warrants, you had declared there was no remedy against them, because, if there were, they must long fince have been declared illegal? Were not general warrants as much established, by usage immemorial, as is the arbitrary custom of pressing men? And were they not as anciently admitted by the tacit affent of the legislature? Surely, Sir, if you had been feriously inclined to investigate the truth, you would have delivered yourself in a more rational stile. AMAN

A MAN of your fertile imagination could eafily have thought of a remedy against the grievance complained of, in the custo m of pressing men. You could have shewn us, that a body of seamen, kept in constant pay, was much more necessary for the defence of this country than a standing You could, during the peace, have found employment for those seamen in the dock yards, in the herring fishery, in the custom-house cutters, and in fully manning those inactive men of war, now most improperly called guard ships, tho' originally intended to guard our ifle. fhort, Sir, if those seamen were to do nothing during the peace, they would still be more requifite than an army in peace only employed to add. force to the prerogative of the crown. But Junius was not in earnest. He is perhaps one of our discarded ministers (or rather one of their fecretaries, for ministers rarely write so well.) He expects to be employed again; and, as he may then have occasion for men, suddenly to put a fleet to sea, he must not deliver his opinion against press-warrants; if it were received, he might hereafter find a difficulty to equip his fleet; the remedy, though found by him, being not yet applied to the grievance of which the nation would complain.

An Advocate in the Cause of the people.

END OF VOL. II.

